complaining stations. In addition to the required minimum number of valid listener statements, a station submitting a translator interference claim package pursuant to either section 74.1203(a)(3) or 74.1204(f) must include: (1) A map plotting the specific locations of the alleged interference in relation to the 45 dBu contour of the complaining station; (2) a statement that the complaining station is operating within its licensed parameters; (3) a statement that the complaining station licensee has used commercially reasonable efforts to inform the relevant translator licensee of the claimed interference and attempted private resolution; and (4) U/D data demonstrating that at each listener location the ratio of undesired to desired signal strength exceeds -20 dB for co-channel situations. -6 dB for firstadjacent channel situations or 40 dB for second- or third-adjacent channel situations, calculated using the Commission's standard contour prediction methodology set out in Section 73.313.

In the FM Translator Interference Report and Order, the Commission outlines two paths for resolving interference if the translator decides to continue operation on its original channel. First, a translator operator may resolve each listener complaint by working with a willing listener to resolve reception issues. The translator operator must then document and certify that the desired station can now be heard on the listener's receiver, i.e., that the adjustment to or replacement of the listener's receiving equipment actually resolved the interference. Second, the translator operator may work with the complaining station to resolve station signal interference issues using rule-compliant suitable technical techniques. (The Commission provides flexibility to the parties to determine the testing parameters for demonstrating that the interference has been resolved, for example, the use of on-off testing or field strength measurements.) Once agreement is reached, the translator operator submits the agreed-upon remediation showing to the Commission.

Federal Communications Commission.

## Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2022–02326 Filed 2–3–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[GN Docket No. 17-208; FRS 17381]

# Meeting of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, this notice announces the February 23, 2022, meeting of the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) Communications Equity and Diversity Council (CEDC or Council).

**DATES:** Wednesday, February 23, from 10:00 a.m. ET to 4:00 p.m. ET.

**ADDRESSES:** The CEDC meeting will be held virtually and be available to the public for viewing via the internet at *http://www.fcc.gov/live*.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jamila Bess Johnson, Designated Federal Officer (DFO) of the CEDC, (202) 418– 2608, Jamila-Bess. Johnson@fcc.gov; Rashann Duvall, Co-Deputy DFO of the CEDC, (202) 418–1438,

Rashann.Duvall@fcc.gov; or, Keyla Hernandez-Ulloa, Co-Deputy DFO of the CEDC, (202) 418–0965,

Keyla.Hernandez-Ulloa@fcc.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Agenda: The agenda for the meeting will include a discussion of the proposed workstreams for the three CEDC working groups (Innovation and Access, Digital Empowerment and Inclusion, and Diversity and Equity) during the two-year charter. The workstreams will provide a roadmap for how each working group will support the Council's mission to make recommendations to the Commission on advancing equity in the provision of, and access to, digital communication services and products for all people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, or disability. This agenda may be modified at the discretion of the CEDC Chair and the DFO. The CEDC meeting will be accessible to the public on the internet via live feed from the Commission's web page at www.fcc.gov/live. Members of the public may submit questions during the meeting to *livequestions@fcc.gov*. Oral statements at the meeting by parties or entities not represented on the CEDC will be permitted to the extent time permits and at the discretion of the CEDC Chair and the DFO.

Members of the public may submit comments to the CEDC using the FCC's Electronic Comment Filing System, ECFS, at www.fcc.gov/ecfs. Comments to the CEDC should be filed in GN Docket No. 17–208.

Open captioning will be provided for this event. Other reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities are available upon request. Requests for such accommodations should be submitted via email to fcc504@fcc.gov or by calling the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY). Such requests should include a detailed description of the accommodation needed. In addition, please include a way for the Commission to contact the requester if more information is needed to fulfill the request. Please allow at least five days' notice; last minute requests will be accepted but may not be possible to accommodate.

Federal Communications Commission.

### Thomas Horan,

Chief of Staff, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2022-02335 Filed 2-3-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

#### **FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

[Docket No. OP-1765]

# Framework for the Supervision of Insurance Organizations

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board).

**ACTION:** Proposed guidance; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Board is seeking comment on a new supervisory framework for depository institution holding companies significantly engaged in insurance activities, or supervised insurance organizations. The proposed framework would provide a supervisory approach that is designed specifically to reflect the differences between banking and insurance. Within the framework, the application of supervisory guidance and the assignment of supervisory resources would be based explicitly on a supervised insurance organization's complexity and individual risk profile. The proposed framework would formalize the ratings applicable to these firms with rating definitions that reflect specific supervisory requirements and expectations. It would also emphasize the Board's policy to rely to the fullest extent possible on work done by other relevant supervisors, describing, in particular, the way it will rely more fully on reports and other supervisory information provided by state insurance