acquire additional voting shares of Guaranty Bank & Trust Company of Delhi, Delhi, Louisiana.

Dated: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 5, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 00–11783 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice of to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than May 26, 2000.

- A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta** (Lois Berthaume, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–2713:
- 1. Francis O. Bourg, Jr., Francis O. Bourg, III, Augustine Bourg Taylor, Josephine Bourg Junot, and Dean Paul Chauvin, Jr., (collectively) Houma, Louisiana; to acquire additional voting shares of South Louisiana Financial Corporation, Houma, Louisiana, and thereby indirectly acquire additional voting shares of South Louisiana Bank, Houma, Louisiana.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 8, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 00–11880 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part

225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 5, 2000.

- A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Lois Berthaume, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–2713:
- 1. City Savings Bancshares, Inc.,
 Deridder, Louisiana; to become a bank
 holding company by acquiring 100
 percent of the voting shares of City
 Savings Bank & Trust Company,
 Deridder, Louisiana.
- B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (D. Michael Manies, Assistant Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198–0001:
- 1. Nebraska Bankshares, Inc., Farnam, Nebraska; Stockmens Financial Corporation, Rushville, Nebraska; and Stamford Banco., Inc., Stamford, Nebraska; to each buy more than 10 percent of the voting shares of First Gothenburg Bancshares, Inc., Gothenburg, Nebraska, and thereby indirectly acquire First State Bank, Gothenburg, Nebraska.
- C. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201– 2272:
- 1. Plains Bancorp, Inc., Lubbock, Texas; to merge with Sudan Bancshares, Inc., Sudan, Texas, and thereby indirectly acquire First National Bank, Sudan, Texas.

- D. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Maria Villanueva, Consumer Regulation Group) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:
- 1. Pacific Capital Bancorp, Santa Barbara, California; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of San Benito Bank, Hollister, California.
- 2. Westamerica Bancorporation, San Rafael, California; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of First Counties Bank, Clearlake, California.
- 3. Pacific Capital Bancorp, Santa Barbara, California; to merge with Los Robles Bancorp, Thousand Oaks, California, and thereby indirectly acquire Los Robles Bank, Thousand Oaks, California.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 5, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 00–11784 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals To Engage in Permissible Nonbanking Activities or To Acquire Companies That Are Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR Part 225) to engage de novo, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than May 26, 2000.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston (Richard Walker, Community Affairs Officer) 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02106–2204:

1. Washington Trust Bancorp, Inc., Westerly, Rhode Island; to acquire Phoenix Investment Management Company, Inc., Providence, Rhode Island, and thereby engage in investment advisory services consistent with section 225.28(b)(6) of Regulation V

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 8, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 00–11879 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Public Forum: Warranty Protection for High-Tech Products and Services

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Initial notice requesting academic papers and public comment and announcing public forum.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission plans to hold a public forum to examine warranty protection for software and other computer information products and services that are marketed to consumers, and seeks academic papers and public comment to inform this examination.

DATES: Papers and written comments are requested to be submitted on or before September 11, 2000. The forum will be held during the fall of 2000.

ADDRESSES: Six hard copies of each paper and written comment should be submitted to: Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, Room H-159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580. Alternatively, the Commission will accept papers and comments submitted to the following email address: "softwarecomments@ftc.gov." The content of any papers or comments submitted by email should be organized in sequentially numbered paragraphs. All submissions should be captioned "High-Tech Warranty Project—Comment, P994413."

Form and Availability of Comments: To enable prompt review and accessibility to the public, papers and comments also should be submitted, if possible, in electronic form, on either a 5½ or 3½ inch computer disk, with a disk label stating the name of the submitter and the name and version of the word processing program used to create the document. (Programs based

on DOS or Windows are preferred. Files from other operating systems should be submitted in ASCII text format.)

Papers and written comments will be available for public inspection in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, and Commission regulations, 16 CFR Part 4.9, on normal business days between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at Room 130, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. The Commission will make this notice and, to the extent possible, all papers or comments received in electronic form in response to this notice available to the public through the Internet at the following address: http://www.ftc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The exact dates, location, and information about public participation in the forum will be announced later by Federal Register notice. For questions about this request for academic papers and comments, contact either:

Adam Cohn, Attorney, Division of
Marketing Practice, Bureau of
Consumer protection, Federal Trade
Commission, 600 Pennsylvania
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580,
telephone 202–326–3411; or
Carole Danielson, Senior Investigator,
Division of Marketing Practices,
Bureau of Consumer Protection,
Federal Trade Commission, 600
Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20580, telephone
(202) 326–3115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act

In 1975, Congress passed the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act ("Act") 1 in response to a number of widespread problems consumers encountered when the products they purchased were defective. First, warranties were often very vague or extremely technical and thus difficult to understand and interpret. Second, companies often gave a narrow written warranty, but then disclaimed all implied warranties in the same document, thus providing the consumer with little or no recourse if the product turned out to be defective. Third, some manufacturers restricted the warranty and limited its remedies to such an extent that the warranty proved to be useless to consumers. Finally, the lack of privity with a distant manufacturer often precluded the consumer from seeking a remedy in court.

In addressing these problems, the Congress did not mandate that

manufacturers or sellers provide written warranties on consumer products, nor did it mandate substantive warranty terms for consumer products. Rather, Congress mandated that any company that chooses to give a written warranty on a consumer product must follow some basic ground rules. As set forth in the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act and in the regulations promulgated under the Act,2 these basic ground rules were designed to ensure: that warranties for consumer products be clear and understandable; that warranties not become vehicles to disclaim or otherwise restrict substantive consumer rights provided by state law; that warranties be available prior to sale so consumers could know the warranty terms before buying the product and could compare the warranties of different sellers; and, that sellers and manufacturers honor the terms of their warranties. Finally, the Act gave consumers the right to sue for any violation of the Act, including breach of express or implied warranty.

Software and Other Computer Information Products and Services

Today, many of the issues that were important three decades ago in the context of written consumer product warranties are being debated in the context of mass market "shrinkwrap" or "clickwrap" software licenses. For example, software licenses may be written in technical, or otherwise complicated language that some consumers might find difficult to understand. Additionally, just as written warranties prior to 1975 were sometimes used to disclaim substantive implied warranty protections provided by state law, some of today's mass market software licenses contain provisions that seek to disclaim similar state-implied warranty protections (e.g., fitness, merchantability). Moreover, some mass market software licenses may not be available for consumers to review until after the consumer has paid for the software. Thus, consumers may be unaware of the terms and conditions until after the product is purchased.3

Continued

¹ 15 U.S.C. 2301 et seq.

² 16 C.F.R. parts 701, 702 and 703.

³ Many of these issues have recently been debated in the context of the drafting of a proposed state law, drafted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL). That proposed law, entitled the "Uniform Computer Information Transaction Act" (UCITA), would, among other things, affirm the enforceability of mass market software licenses. Many of the provisions of UCITA, including the provisions dealing with mass market licenses, have raised concern among some consumer groups and law enforcement officials, including the staff of the Federal Trade Commission. The FTC staff advocacy letters can be found on the Commission's web site