November 2009. Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs). Proposals under this program are not subject to Executive Order 12372.

Executive Order 13132 (Federalism). This notice does not contain policies with Federalism implications as defined in Executive Order 13132.

Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review). This notice is not a significant regulatory action under Sections 3(f)(3) and 3(f)(4) of Executive Order 12866, as it does not materially alter the budgetary impact of a grant program and does not raise novel policy issues. This notice is not an "economically significant" regulatory action under Section 3(f)(1) of the Executive Order, as it does not have an effect on the economy of \$100 million or more in any one year, and it does not have a material adverse effect on the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities.

Administrative Procedure Act and Regulatory Flexibility Act. Prior notice and comment are not required under 5 U.S.C. 553, or any other law, for rules relating to public property, loans, grants, benefits or contracts (5 U.S.C. 553(a)). Because prior notice and an opportunity for public comment are not required pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other law, the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) are inapplicable. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required and has not been prepared.

Dated: June 29, 2009.

#### Patrick Gallagher,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. E9–15816 Filed 7–1–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

# **COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS**

### **Notice of Meeting**

The next meeting of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts is scheduled for 16 July 2009, at 10 a.m. in the Commission offices at the National Building Museum, Suite 312, Judiciary Square, 401 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001–2728. Items of discussion may include buildings, parks and memorials.

Draft agendas and additional information regarding the Commission are available on our Web site: http://www.cfa.gov. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or oral statements should be addressed

to Thomas Luebke, Secretary, U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address or call 202–504–2200. Individuals requiring sign language interpretation for the hearing impaired should contact the Secretary at least 10 days before the meeting date.

Dated 26 June 2009 in Washington, DC. **Thomas Luebke**,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–15634 Filed 7–1–09; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Campus Development Project Within the Fort Meade Complex, MD

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of intent; notice of public meeting; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DOD) announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) as part of the environmental planning process for a Campus Development Project at Fort George G. Meade, Maryland (hereafter referred to as Fort Meade). The DOD proposes the development of a portion of Fort Meade (referred to as "Site M") as an operational complex and to construct and operate consolidated facilities to meet the National Security Agency's (NSA) continually evolving requirements and for Intelligence Community use. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to provide facilities that are fully-supportive of the Intelligence Community's mission. The need for the action is to consolidate multiple agencies' efforts to ensure capabilities for current and future mission accomplishments as directed by Congress and the President.

Publication of this notice begins a scoping process that identifies and determines the scope of environmental issues to be addressed in the EIS. This notice requests public participation in the scoping process and provides information on how to participate.

**DATES:** There will be an open house at 4 p.m. followed by a scoping meeting from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. on Tuesday, July 21, 2009, at Fort Meade Middle School, 1103 26th Street, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755. Comments or questions regarding this EIS should be submitted by 45 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register** to ensure sufficient time to consider public input in the preparation of the Draft EIS.

ADDRESSES: The open house and scoping meeting will be held at the Fort Meade Middle School, 1103 26th Street, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the scoping meeting. You can also submit written comments to "Campus Development EIS" c/o E2M, 2751 Prosperity Avenue, Suite 200, Fairfax, VA 22031 or submitted by e-mail to CampusEIS@e2m.net.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jeffrey Williams at (301) 688–2970, or email *jdwill2@nsa.gov*.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The NSA is a tenant DOD agency on Fort Meade. NSA is a high-technology organization that is on the frontier of communications and data processing. In order to meet mission growth requirements as well as provide consolidated facilities that are fully-supportive of the Intelligence Community's mission, development of a modern operational complex is needed at the NSA campus on Fort Meade.

Proposed Action and Alternatives:
The Campus Development Project was initiated to provide a modern operational complex to meet the growth requirements of NSA and consolidated facilities for Intelligence Community use. Development is proposed for a portion of Fort Meade (referred to as "Site M") adjacent to the NSA campus. Site M is divided into northern (Site M1, 137 acres) and southern (Site M2, 99 acres) portions. DOD proposes that development of Site M occur in three option phases over a horizon of approximately 20 years.

• Phase I. Development would occur in the near term on the western half of Site M1, supporting 1.8 million square feet of facilities for NSA to consolidate mission elements, enabling services, and support services across the campus based on function; servicing the need for more collaborative environment and optimal adjacencies, including associated infrastructure (e.g., electrical substation and generator plants providing 60 megawatts of electricity) and administrative functions for up to 6,500 personnel.

• *Phase II.* Development would occur in the mid-term on the eastern half of Site M1, supporting 1.2 million square feet of administrative facilities.

• *Phase III.* Development would occur on Site M2 in the long term, supporting an additional 2.8 million square feet of administrative facilities, bringing built space to 5.8 million square feet for up to 11,000 personnel.

Alternatives identified include each of the development phases identified above, as well as three options for