

in the **ADDRESSES** section. The request must state the amount of time requested to speak, the interest represented (e.g., organization name), if any, and a brief outline of the presentation. Requests to address FACOSH may be granted as time permits and at the discretion of the FACOSH chair.

Interested parties also may submit comments, including data and other information, using any of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. OSHA will provide all submissions to FACOSH members.

Individuals who need special accommodations and wish to attend the FACOSH meeting should contact Veneta Chatmon, at the address above, at least seven days before the meeting.

Public Participation—Submissions and Access to Official Meeting Record

You may submit comments and requests to speak (1) electronically, (2) by facsimile, or (3) by hard copy. All submissions, including attachments and other materials, must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for this notice (Docket No. OSHA-2008-0011). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading documents electronically. If, instead, you wish to submit hard copies of supplementary documents, you must submit three copies to the OSHA Docket Office using the instructions in the **ADDRESSES** section. The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic submission by name, date and docket number.

Because of security-related procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of submissions. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of submissions by hand, express delivery, messenger or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627).

Meeting transcripts and minutes as well as submissions in response to this **Federal Register** notice are included in the official record of the FACOSH meeting (Docket No. OSHA-2008-0011). Submissions are posted without change at: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions interested parties about submitting certain personal information such as social security numbers and birth dates. Although all submissions are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index, some documents (e.g., copyrighted material) are not publicly available to read or download through <http://www.regulations.gov>. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are

available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office.

Information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to make submissions and to access the docket and exhibits is available at the Web site's User Tips link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web site and for assistance in using the Internet to locate submissions and other documents in the docket.

Electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice are available at: <http://www.regulations.gov>. This notice, as well as news releases and other relevant information, is also available at OSHA's Web page at: <http://www.osha.gov>.

Authority and Signature

Edwin G. Foulke, Jr., Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice under the authority granted by section 19 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 668), 5 U.S.C. 7902, section 1-5 of Executive Order 12196, the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.2) and regulations issued under FACA (41 CFR Part 102-3), and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5-2007 (72 FR 31160).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of March, 2008.

Edwin G. Foulke, Jr.,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. E8-6244 Filed 3-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 9 a.m. to 12 p.m., Friday, April 11, 2008.

PLACE: The offices of the Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Foundation, 130 South Scott Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701.

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public, unless it is necessary for the Board to consider items in executive session.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: (1) A report on the U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution; (2) A report from the Udall Center for Studies in Public Policy; (3) A report on the Native Nations Institute; (4) Program Reports; and (5) A Report from the Management Committee.

PORTIONS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC: All sessions with the exception of the session listed below.

PORTIONS CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC: Executive session.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen K. Wheeler, Executive Director, 130 South Scott Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701, (520) 901-8500.

Dated: March 20, 2008.

Ellen K. Wheeler,

Executive Director, Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Foundation, and Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-6109 Filed 3-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-FN-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-34325]

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Amendment of a Materials Permit in Accordance With Byproduct Materials License No. 03-23853-01VA, for Unrestricted Release of a Department of Veterans Affairs Facility in Hampton, VA

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Snell, Senior Health Physicist, Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region III, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2443 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532; telephone: (630) 829-9871; fax number: (630) 515-1259; or by e-mail at wgs@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to amend a materials permit held under Byproduct Materials License No. 03-23853-01VA. The permit is held by the Department of Veterans Affairs (the Licensee), for its Hampton VA Medical Center facilities, located at 100 Emancipation Drive, Hampton, Virginia (the Facility). Issuance of the amendment would authorize release of Building 72 (described below) for unrestricted use. The Licensee requested this action in a letter dated October 22, 2007. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment

(EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 51 (10 CFR Part 51). Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the **Federal Register**.

II. Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would approve the Licensee's October 22, 2007, materials permit amendment request, resulting in release of Building 72 for unrestricted use. License No. 03-23853-01VA was issued on March 17, 2003, pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorizes the Licensee to use byproduct materials at several Licensee facilities around the country, as authorized on a site-specific basis by permits issued by the Licensee's National Radiation Safety Committee. Under the license, the permits authorize the use of by-product materials for various medical and veterinary purposes, and for use in portable gauges.

The Facility is situated on an 85-acre site and is located in a residential area of Hampton, Virginia. Within the Facility, Building 72 was constructed in 1908 and was originally used as a dining room for soldiers. In 1974 the 10,600 square foot structure was converted into a research facility. Building 72 has a single floor, and is made of brick with a wood frame attic. Based on a Historical Site Assessment, there was no evidence that radioactive material was used in Building 72 prior to the conversion of the building to a research facility in 1974. Licensed materials were used for both medical diagnostic and treatment purposes, as well as for research purposes, including animal studies. The licensee ceased using licensed materials in Building 72 in 2001, and conducted, but did not complete, surveys and decontamination of the building. In February 2007, the licensee initiated additional surveys and decontamination of the building. Based on the Licensee's historical knowledge of the site and the conditions within Building 72, the Licensee determined that only routine decontamination activities, in accordance with their NRC-approved operating radiation safety procedures, were required. The Licensee was not required to submit a decommissioning plan to the NRC because worker cleanup activities and

procedures are consistent with those approved for routine operations. The Licensee conducted final status surveys of Building 72 on June 28, 2007. The results of these surveys along with other supporting information were provided to the NRC to demonstrate that the criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release have been met.

Need for the Proposed Action

The Licensee has ceased conducting licensed activities in Building 72, and seeks the unrestricted use of Building 72.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The historical review of licensed activities conducted in Building 72 shows that such activities involved use of the following radionuclides with half-lives greater than 120 days: hydrogen-3 and carbon-14. Prior to performing the final status survey, the Licensee conducted decontamination activities, as necessary, in the areas of Building 72 affected by these radionuclides.

The Licensee completed final status surveys on Building 72 on June 28, 2007. The surveys covered the floor area of Building 72, as well as sinks and drains, laboratory counters, hoods, refrigerators, and other horizontal surfaces. The final status survey report was attached to the Licensee's amendment request dated October 22, 2007. The Licensee elected to demonstrate compliance with the radiological criteria for unrestricted release as specified in 10 CFR 20.1402 using release criteria for building surfaces based on NRC Regulatory Guide 1.86, "Termination of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Reactors." These release criteria are much more restrictive than the radionuclide-specific dose-based release criteria, described in NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance," Volume 2. These values provide acceptable levels of surface contamination to demonstrate compliance with the NRC requirements in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release. The Licensee's final status survey results were below the Regulatory Guide 1.86 values and are in compliance with the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) requirement of 10 CFR 20.1402. The NRC thus finds that the Licensee's final status survey results are acceptable.

Based on its review, the staff has determined that the affected environment and any environmental impacts associated with the proposed action are bounded by the impacts evaluated by the "Generic

Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities" (NUREG-1496) Volumes 1-3 (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). The staff finds there were no significant environmental impacts from the use of radioactive material in Building 72. The NRC staff reviewed available docket file records and the final status survey report to identify any non-radiological hazards that may have impacted the environment surrounding Building 72. No such hazards or impacts to the environment were identified. The NRC has identified no other radiological or non-radiological activities in the area that could result in cumulative environmental impacts.

The NRC staff finds that issuance of the proposed amendment authorizing release of Building 72 for unrestricted use is in compliance with 10 CFR Part 20. Based on its review, the staff considered the impact of the residual radioactivity from Building 72 and concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Due to the largely administrative nature of the proposed action, its environmental impacts are small. Therefore, the only alternative the staff considered is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would leave things as they are by simply denying the amendment request. This no-action alternative is not feasible because it conflicts with 10 CFR 30.36(d), requiring that decommissioning of byproduct material facilities be completed and approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The NRC's analysis of the Licensee's final status survey data confirmed that Building 72 meets the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. Additionally, denying the amendment request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are therefore similar, and the no-action alternative is accordingly not further considered.

Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff

concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the Virginia Radioactive Materials Program for review on February 25, 2008. The State agreed with the conclusions of the EA, and otherwise provided no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

1. E. Lynn McGuire, Department of Veterans Affairs, letter to Cassandra Frazier, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, dated October 22, 2007 (ADAMS Accession No. ML072980830);

2. Regulatory Guide 1.86, "Termination of Operating Licenses for Reactors;"

3. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination;"

4. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic

Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions;"

5. NUREG-1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities;"

6. NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance."

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois, this 17th day of March 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Patrick Loudon,
Chief, Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region III.
[FR Doc. E8-6230 Filed 3-26-08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-443]

Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1; Correction to Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Issuance; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 2008 (73 FR 14850), that incorrectly referenced the date of the submittal for the amendment request. This action is necessary to correct an erroneous date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G. Edward Miller, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone (301) 415-2481, e-mail: GXM@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 14852, in the second column, in the second complete paragraph, fourth line, it is corrected to read from "February 16, 2007" to "March 7, 2008".

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of March 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

G. Edward Miller,

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch 1-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E8-6237 Filed 3-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE

Change in Rates of General Applicability for Competitive Products: Decision of the Governors of the Postal Service

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth changes in rates of general applicability for competitive products.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 12, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr., 202-268-2989.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 3, 2008, pursuant to their authority under 39 U.S.C. 3632, the Governors of the Postal Service established prices and classification changes for competitive products. The Governors' Decision and the record of proceedings in connection with such decision are reprinted below in accordance with § 3632(b)(2). Implementing regulations will be published separately in the **Federal Register**.

Stanley F. Mires,
Chief Counsel, Legislative.

Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Changes in Rates and Classes of General Applicability for Competitive Products (Governors' Decision No. 08-3)

March 4, 2008.

Statement of Explanation and Justification

Pursuant to our authority under section 3632 of title 39, as amended by the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act of 2006 ("PAEA"), we establish new prices of general applicability for the Postal Service's competitive products, and such changes in classifications as are necessary to define the new prices. The prices and classification changes are shown in Attachment A and are described in detail in the analysis provided by management in Attachment B. We have reviewed that analysis and have evaluated the new prices and classification changes in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3632-3633 and 39 CFR 3015.2. We approve the changes set forth in Attachment A, finding that they