

common mechanism of toxicity with other substances or how to include this pesticide in a cumulative risk assessment. For the purposes of this tolerance action, EPA has not assumed that chlorothalonil has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances.

E. Safety Determination

1. *U.S. population.* The acute and chronic aggregate exposure estimates are well below the aRfD of 0.583 mg/kg-bw/day and cRfD of 0.020 mg/kg-bw/day for all population subgroups. Aggregate cancer exposure estimates for the U.S. population were approximately 67% of the one-in-a-million exposure limit. Based on this information, Syngenta Crop Protection concludes, that there is reasonable certainty that no harm will result from acute, chronic, or cancer exposure to chlorothalonil.

2. *Infants and children.* Since the acute chronic aggregate exposure assessments for infants and children are well below the aRfD and cRfD of 0.583 mg/kg-bw/day and 0.02 mg/kg-bw/day respectively, there is reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to chlorothalonil residues.

F. International Tolerances

There is currently no maximum residue level (MRL) set for chlorothalonil on snow peas by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

[FR Doc. 04–19032 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7803–5]

Proposed Consent Agreement and Covenant Not To Sue Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986; In Re: Elizabeth Mine Superfund Site, Located in South Strafford and Thetford, VT

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et. seq.*, notice is hereby given of a proposed Consent Agreement between the United States, on behalf of the U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) and Theodore Zagaeski (“Settling Party”). Under the terms of the proposed Agreement, Zagaeski will allow EPA to access and use up to 200,000 cubic yards of borrow material. Zagaeski will also allow continued access to the Site and agree to implement institutional controls at the Site. In exchange for this consideration, EPA will grant Zagaeski a covenant not to sue under sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA with regard to the site. Additionally, Zagaeski will be entitled to contribution protection for “matters addressed” in the Agreement.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency’s response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at One Congress Street, Boston, MA 02214.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 20, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode RAA, Boston, Massachusetts 02203, and should refer to: In re: Elizabeth Mine Superfund Site, U.S. EPA Docket No. CERCLA–01–2001–0054.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the proposed Consent Agreement can be obtained from Steven Schlang, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Mailcode SES, Boston, Massachusetts 02214, (617) 918–1773.

Dated: August 12, 2004.

Susan Studlien,

Director of Office of Site Remediation and Restoration, Region I.

[FR Doc. 04–19151 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application to finance the export of approximately \$14 million in U.S. equipment to a polystyrene production facility in Russia. The U.S. exports will

enable the facility to produce approximately 50,000 metric tons of polystyrene per year. Initial production is expected to commence in 2006. Available information indicates that this new production will be consumed in Russia, China and Eastern Europe. Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to economic.impact@exim.gov or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 1238, Washington, DC 20571, within 14 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

Helene S. Walsh,

Director, Policy Oversight and Review.

[FR Doc. 04–19052 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

August 10, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before October 19, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by

this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB Control Number: 3060–1064.

Title: Regulatory Fee Assessment Notifications.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and State, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 1,130.

Estimated Time per Response: .25 hours (15 minutes).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 283 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: Each year the Commission collects Congressionally-mandated regulatory fees from its regulates based on a schedule of fees that it establishes in an annual rulemaking proceeding. In the past years, the Commission pulled licensee addresses from its databases and mailed to these licensees Public Notices that (1) announced when regulatory fees are due; and (2) provided guidance for making fee payments. For the FY 2004 regulatory season, the Commission is going to send fee assessments to cable TV operators, media services licensees, and commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) licensees so that they have an opportunity to counter, update or rectify basic license data and assessed fee amounts well before the actual due date for submission or regulatory fee payments. We will use the information to update our database.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–19141 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[MB Docket No. 04–191; FCC 04–114]

San Francisco Unified School District

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document designates the application of the San Francisco Unified School District for renewal of license of KALW(FM), San Francisco, California, for an evidentiary hearing on issues relating to its qualifications to remain a Commission licensee.

DATES: Petitions by persons desiring to participate as a party in the hearing may be filed not later than September 20, 2004. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for dates that named parties should file appearances.

ADDRESSES: Please file documents with the Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Room 3–B443, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Shook, Special Counsel, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau at (202) 418–1448; Dana E. Leavitt, Assistant Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau at (202) 418–1317; or Michael Wagner, Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau at (202) 418–2775.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Hearing Designation Order, FCC04–114, released July 16, 2004. The full text of the Hearing Designation Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Room CY–A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Martha Contee at (202) 418–0260 or TTY (202) 418–2555.

Synopsis of the Order

1. The San Francisco Unified School District (“SFUSD”) timely applied for license renewal for its station KALW(FM) on August 1, 1997. Golden Gate Public Radio filed a petition to deny that application on November 3, 1997, alleging both substantive rule violations and misrepresentations. Specifically, Golden Gate PUBLIC Radio

alleged that SFUSD failed to adequately maintain its local public inspection file by failing to include in the file supplemental ownership reports and issues/programs lists as required by 47 CFR 73.3527 while knowingly certifying in the license renewal application that all these reports and lists were contained in the file. Similarly, Golden Gate Public Radio allege that the licensee failed to comply with the Commission's Equal Employment Opportunity requirements then in effect, while certifying in its renewal application that it had done so. San Francisco Unified School District, in opposition, argued that (1) Golden Gate Public Radio did not establish a substantial and material question of fact to warrant designation of the KALW(FM) license renewal application for hearing.

2. Initially, the Commission Bureau has reviewed the Golden Gate Public Radio petition and found several procedural infirmities: the petitioner did not demonstrated standing to challenge the renewal application, and the petition was neither properly served on SFUSD nor properly verified under the Commission's rules. The Commission therefore treated the filing as an informal objection rather than as a formal petition to deny.

3. Upon reviewing of the record in this case—primarily the Golden Gate Public Radio petition and San Francisco Unified School District's response to two staff inquiries—the Commission found that the KALW(FM) public inspection file did not contain all of the requisite supplemental ownership reports and quarterly issues/programs lists when the subject renewal application was filed. Additionally, the Commission stated that it appeared that several staff members advised KALW(FM)'s station management that the public file was incomplete, which advice the station management either disbelieved or disregarded. Thus, the Commission found both that SFUSD made a false certification with respect to the contents of the KALW(FM) public inspection file in the renewal application, and that Golden Gate Public Radio had raised a substantial and material question of fact concerning whether San Francisco Unified School District intended to deceive the Commission in making that false certification. The Commission therefore designated the KALW(FM) license renewal application for evidentiary hearing, specifying the following false certification and misrepresentation issues:

1. To determine whether San Francisco Unified School District falsely