

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3434 and (202) 482-0159, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 31, 1991, the Department issued an antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Silicon Metal from Brazil*, 56 FR 36135 (July 31, 1991) (*Antidumping Duty Order*). On September 5, 1996, the Department published its final results of the second administrative review of silicon metal for four Brazilian exporters, Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio ("CBCC"), Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais-Minasligas ("Minasligas"), Eletrosilex Belo Horizonte ("Eletrosilex"), Rima Eletrometalurgia S.A. ("Rima"). See *Silicon Metal from Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 61 FR 46763 (September 5, 1996) (*Final Results*). On September 9, 1997, the Department published amended final results to correct ministerial errors raised by the parties after requesting and receiving from the CIT authority to do so. See *Silicon Metal from Brazil: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* 62 FR 47441 (September 9, 1997) (*Amended Final Results*).

On July 30, 1998, the CIT issued an order, *American Silicon Technologies v. United States*, 19 F. Supp. 2d 1121 (CIT 1998), remanding to the Department the *Amended Final Results*. In its July 30, 1998 order, the CIT instructed the Department to ensure that any reduction of reported interest expenses for CBCC and Eletrosilex is based upon income specifically derived from short-term investments. *Id.*, at 1123.

On December 16, 1998, the Department filed its final results pursuant to remand. See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, American Silicon Technologies, Elkem Metals Company, Globe Metallurgical, Inc. and SKW Metals & Alloys, Inc. v. United States* (December 16, 1998). On February 17, 1999, the CIT upheld the Department's redetermination on remand. See *American Silicon Technologies, Elkem Metals Company, Globe Metallurgical, Inc. and SKW Metals & Alloys, Inc. v. United States*, \_\_CIT\_\_, Slip Op. 99-17, (February 17, 1999). Neither party appealed the CIT's decision.

Because neither party appealed, there is now a final and conclusive court

decision in this action. We are therefore amending our final results of review for the period July 1, 1992 through June 30, 1993. We recalculated margins for CBCC and Eletrosilex. The revised weighted average margins are as follows:

| Manufacturer/Exporter | Margin (percent) |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| CBCC .....            | 35.43            |
| Eletrosilex .....     | 51.84            |

Accordingly, the Department will determine, and the Customs Service will assess, antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise from CBCC and Eletrosilex in accordance with these amended final results. For assessment purposes, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for each class or kind of merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total quantity of sales examined. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The above rate will not affect CBCC or Eletrosilex's cash deposit rates currently in effect, which continue to be based on the margins found to exist in the most recently completed review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: May 15, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-12980 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 4211, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 00-007.

*Applicant:* University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53211.

*Instrument:* Scanning Tunneling Microscope, Model STM 25DH.

*Manufacturer:* Omicron Vakuumphysik GmbH, Germany.

*Intended Use:* See notice at 65 FR 21397.

*Comments:* None received.

*Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides:

(1) Capability to operate at temperatures to 1500° K, (2) a vibrationally isolated vacuum chamber capable to 10<sup>-11</sup> mbar and (3) vertical imaging of film surfaces with accuracy to 0.001 nm. The National Institute of Standards and Technology and a university research center for advanced microstructure devices advise that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use (comparable case).

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Dated: May 16, 2000.

**Frank W. Creel,**

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. 00-12979 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 051800B]

##### At-sea Scale Certification Program

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before July 24, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of

Commerce, Room 6066, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at lengelme@doc.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Alan Kinsolving, NOAA/NMFS, F/AKR2, PO BOX 21668, Juneau, AK 99802-1668; phone 907-586-7228.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) manages the commercial groundfish harvest off Alaska based on an annual total allowable catch for each species. This is based on "round" weight, or the weight of the fish prior to processing. However, much of the fish harvested off Alaska is harvested by vessels that process the catch at-sea and do not land whole fish. One way that NMFS uses to estimate the total weight of fish harvested by processing vessels is by requiring the vessel to weigh all or part of their catch on a motion-compensated scale. At this time, two groups of vessels are required to weigh all catch at-sea: catcher processors and motherships that are listed under the American Fisheries act as eligible to harvest pollock; and trawl catcher processors and motherships that are harvesting fish under the Community Development Quota Program (CDQ quota). Non-trawl catcher/processors that harvest CDQ quota are not required to weigh all catch, but they are required to weigh samples of catch. All of these vessels must also provide an observer sampling station where NMFS-certified observers can work. The station must be inspected and approved annually by NMFS.

##### II. Method of Collection

Scale manufacturers must submit documentation if they wish to have a scale approved by NMFS. Vessel owners required to weigh catch must use NMFS-inspected scales and sampling stations. To schedule an inspection, they must submit a request form. Vessels required to weigh all catch must test their scales daily and maintain documentation verifying that the testing took place. These vessels must also maintain a printed record of the weight of each haul that was required to be weighed. Finally, inspectors employed by other Federal, state, or local weights and measures agencies may request authority to inspect scales on behalf of NMFS.

##### III. Data

OMB Number: 0648-0330.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected public: Business and other for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 49.

Estimated Time Per Response: 176 hours for the scale type evaluation, 45 minutes for conducting and maintaining a record of the daily scale test, 6 minutes to retain a daily printed scale output, 6 minutes for the request for scale inspection, 6 minutes for maintenance of a scale approval sticker, 6 minutes for an application to inspect scales on behalf of NMFS, and 2 hours to make a request for observer sampling station inspection and maintaining the results.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,508.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$8,184.

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and /or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 17, 2000.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-12969 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 051200C]

##### Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council will convene a public meeting of the Stone Crab Advisory Panel (AP).

**DATES:** The AP meeting is scheduled to begin at 8:00 a.m. on June 8, 2000 and will conclude by 12:00 noon.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Banana Bay Resort & Marina, 4590 Overseas Highway, Marathon, FL 33050; telephone: 305-743-3500.

*Council address:* Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Wayne Swingle, Executive Director, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619; telephone: 813-228-2815.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Stone Crab Advisory Panel (AP) will convene to review an amendment to the Stone Crab Fishery Management Plan (FMP).

The amendment proposes to extend the trap certificate program for the commercial stone crab fishery adopted by the state of Florida into the Federal waters off west Florida. The Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC), after working with the stone crab industry and Council over the past 4 years, has adopted by rule a trap certificate program that will gradually reduce the number of traps over a 30-year period. The Florida legislature has approved the portion of this program pertaining to licenses and fees. Based on this review, the AP may make recommendations to the Council for consideration at their meeting in Key Largo, July 10-14, 2000.

Although other non-emergency issues not on the agendas may come before the AP for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Actions of the AP will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in the agendas and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take action to address the emergency. Copies of the agenda can be obtained by calling 813-228-2815.