determination on an airport operator's noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedures contained in appendix A of FAR Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information or plans, or constitute a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program.

If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 47503 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 47506 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilies are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator that submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under section 47503 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under section 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

The FAA has formally received the noise compatibility program for Spirit of St. Louis Airport, also effective on December 12, 2006. Preliminary review of the submitted material indicates that it conforms to the requirements for the submittal of noise compatibility programs, but that further review will be necessary prior to approval or disapproval of the program. The formal review period, limited by law to a maximum of 180 days, will be completed on or before June 10, 2007.

The FAA's detailed evaluation will be conducted under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 150, section 150.33. The primary considerations in the evaluation process are whether the proposed measures may reduce the level of aviation safety, create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, or be reasonably consistent with obtaining the goal of reducing existing non-compatible land uses and

preventing the introduction of additional non-compatible land uses.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed program with specific reference to these factors. All comments, other than those properly addressed to local land use authorities, will be considered by the FAA to the extent practicable. Copies of the noise exposure maps, the FAA's evaluation of the maps, and the proposed noise compatibility program are available for examination at the following locations: Federal Aviation Administration,

Central Region Airports Division, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106 Richard E. Hrabko, Director of Aviation, Spirit of St. Louis, 18270 Edison Avenue, Chesterfield, MO 63005 Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Dated: Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, December 12, 2006.

#### George A. Hendon,

CONTACT.

Manager, Central Region Airports Division. [FR Doc. 06–9752 Filed 12–15–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2006-43]

# Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petition for exemption received.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption, part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of a certain petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before January 8, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by DOT DMS Docket Number FAA–2006–26279–1 by any of the following methods:

• Web Site: http://dms.dot.gov.

Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

- Fax: 1-202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://dms.dot.gov at any time or to Room PL—401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: $\ensuremath{Mr}\xspace$ .

Robert Stegeman (816–329–4140), Small Airplane Directorate (ACE–111), Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; or Frances Shaver (202–267–9681), Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 11, 2006.

### Pamela Hamilton-Powell,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

### **Petitions for Exemption**

Docket No.: FAA-2006-26279-1.

Petitioner: Cessna Aircraft Company.

Sections of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR part 23, §§ 23.25, 23.29, 23.235, 23.471, 23.473, 23.477, 23.479, 23.481, 23.483, 23.485, 23.493, 23.499, 23.723, 23.725, 23.726, 23.727, 23.959, 23.1583(c)(1) and (2), Appendix C23.1, Appendix D23.1 through Amendment 23–55.

Description of Relief Sought: To allow Cessna Aircraft Company to obtain a type certificate for the Cessna Model 525C with parallel rules of Title 14 CFR part 25.

[FR Doc. E6–21454 Filed 12–15–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P