

Respondents' Obligation: Voluntary.
Legal Authority: Title 13, U.S.C.,
 Section 182; and Title 29, U.S.C.,
 Sections 1–9.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 1, 2009.

Glenna Mickelson,

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief
 Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E9–28948 Filed 12–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film Sheet and Strip From India: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
 International Trade Administration,
 Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 4, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
 Martha Douthit, AD/CVD Operations,
 Office 6, Import Administration,
 International Trade Administration,
 U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
 Street and Constitution Avenue, NW.,
 Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)
 482–5050.

Background

On July 1, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department), published in the **Federal Register** a notice of “Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film sheet

and strip (PET Film) from India. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 74 FR 31406 (July 1, 2009). On July 31, 2009, Jindal Poly Films Limited (Jindal), an Indian producer and exporter of PET Film to the United States, timely requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Jindal. Jindal was the only party to request this administrative review.

On August 25, 2009, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of PET Film from India for the period of review, July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 42873 (August 25, 2009). Jindal withdrew its request for an administrative review on September 18, 2009.

Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Jindal withdrew its request before the 90-day deadline and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from India. Therefore, in response to Jindal's withdrawal of its request for an administrative review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department hereby rescinds the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from India.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the company for which this review is rescinded, the antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212 (c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this rescission of administrative review in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) (2) to file a certificate

regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 30, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
 Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
 Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9–29015 Filed 12–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–886]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
 International Trade Administration,
 Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 29, 2009, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2007/2008 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags from the People's Republic of China. The review covers one exporter. The period of review is August 1, 2007, through July 31, 2008. We invited interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to our margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for