

APPENDIX—Continued

[TAA petitions instituted between 3/29/10 and 4/2/10]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
73819	KGP Telecommunications (Workers)	South Bend, IN	03/31/10	03/03/10
73820	Adrenaline Sporting Goods, LLC (State/One-Stop)	Sherwood, OR	03/31/10	03/02/10
73821	Shaw Diversified (State/One-Stop)	Algona, WA	03/31/10	03/26/10
73822	Ingersoll Rand (Company)	Athens, PA	03/31/10	03/29/10
73823	Demag Cranes & Components (Union)	Cleveland, OH	03/31/10	02/08/10
73824	Honeywell Safety Products (Company)	Rock Island, IL	03/31/10	03/29/10
73825	Steel Fabricators of Monroe, LLC (Company)	Monroe, LA	04/01/10	03/30/10
73826	Kincaid, Inc. (Company)	Athens, TN	04/01/10	03/31/10
73827	Architectural Glazing Technologies (Company)	Sanford, ME	04/01/10	03/30/10
73828	GKN Axles Jackson Center (Workers)	Jackson Center, OH	04/01/10	03/31/10
73829	Suncor Energy (State/One-Stop)	Greenwood Village, CO	04/01/10	03/11/10
73830	CMC Markets (US), LLC (State/One-Stop)	New York, NY	04/02/10	04/01/10
73831	StarTek (Workers)	Greeley, CO	04/02/10	03/31/10
73832	Intuit (State/One-Stop)	Tucson, AZ	04/02/10	04/01/10
73833	VF Jeanswear (Union)	Holly Pond, AL	04/02/10	04/01/10
73834	William B. Altman, Inc. (Company)	Fenelton, PA	04/02/10	04/01/10
73835	The Hartford Insurance Company (Workers)	Syracuse, NY	04/02/10	03/31/10
73836	Domtar Paper Company (Company)	Columbus, MS	04/02/10	03/30/10
73837	B. Braun Medical, Inc. (Company)	Atlanta, GA	04/02/10	04/01/10
73838	Entree Alaska (Company)	Langley, WA	04/02/10	03/30/10
73839	Duthler Ford Truck, Inc. (Company)	Wyoming, MI	04/02/10	03/25/10
73840	Lochmoor Chrysler Jeep (Workers)	Detroit, MI	04/02/10	03/14/10
73841	HSBC Pay Services, Inc. (Local Branch) (State/One-Stop)	Dayton, OH	04/02/10	03/26/10
73842	Bank of America (Workers)	Addison, TX	04/02/10	03/19/10

[FR Doc. 2010-9481 Filed 4-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-59,517]

Advanced Electronics, Inc.; Boston,
MA; Notice of Negative Determination
on Remand

On July 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) remanded to the Department of Labor (Department) for further investigation *Former Employees of Advanced Electronics, Inc. v. United States Secretary of Labor* (Court No. 06-00337).

On July 18, 2006, the Department issued a Negative Determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Advanced Electronics, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts (subject firm). The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 2006 (71 FR 44320). Prior to separation, the subject workers produced printed circuit board assemblies.

The determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm did not shift production of printed

circuit board (PCB) assemblies to a foreign country or import PCB assemblies or like or directly competitive articles, and that the subject firm's major declining customers did not import PCB assemblies or like or directly competitive articles. Further, the Department determined that a portion of the decline in company sales of PCB assemblies was attributed to declining purchases from a foreign customer during the relevant period.

Administrative reconsideration was not requested by any of the parties pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18.

On October 23, 2007, the USCIT granted the Department's request for voluntary remand to conduct further investigation to determine whether, during the relevant period, any of the foreign customer's facilities located in the United States received PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm and, if so, whether the facility(s) had imported articles like or directly competitive with the PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm.

Based on information obtained during the first remand investigation, the Department determined that the foreign customer did not import articles like or directly competitive with the PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm and issued a Notice of Negative Determination on Remand on December 17, 2007. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 31, 2007 (72 FR 74340).

Although its November 18, 2008 opinion stated that substantial evidence supported the Department's finding that increasing imports of like or directly competitive articles did not contribute importantly to the subject firm's decreased sales to domestic customers, the USCIT directed the Department to "determine whether, and to what extent, an increase in imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with the Company's printed circuit boards caused the Company to lose business from its foreign customer."

Based on information obtained during the second remand, the Department determined that, although the foreign customer did switch from the subject firm to another domestic firm, the domestic customer did not import PCB assemblies that it supplied to the subject firm's foreign customer. On February 19, 2009, the Department issued a Notice of Negative Determination on Remand. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on March 3, 2009 (74 FR 9290). SAR 27.

On July 16, 2009, the USCIT granted the Department's request for voluntary remand to address the Plaintiff's allegation that the foreign customer replaced the subject firm with two domestic customers and to determine whether increased imports by either, or both, of the domestic customers, of PCB assemblies that were supplied to the subject firm's foreign customer, contributed importantly to worker

separations at the subject firm. SAR 94–104.

In order to apply for TAA based on increased imports, the subject worker group must meet the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, that were in effect on June 5, 2006.

Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following criteria must be met:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated; *and*

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; *and*

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision.

The Department has previously determined that because the subject firm closed on September 2005, criteria (A) and (B) have been met. Therefore, the only issue at hand is whether criterion (C) has been met.

29 CFR 90.2—Definitions—states that "Increased imports means that imports have increased either absolutely or relative to domestic production compare to a representative base period. The representative base period shall be one year consisting of the four quarters immediately preceding the date which is twelve months prior to the date of the petition."

Because the date of the petition is June 5, 2006, the sole issue is whether imports during June 2005 through May 2006 were greater than during June 2004 through May 2005.

During the third remand investigation, the Department contacted the foreign customer, SAR 30–40, company officials of both domestic companies that replaced the subject firm, SAR 41–59, 63–162, and issued a subpoena, 131–138, to obtain information necessary to make a determination regarding the subject workers' eligibility to apply for TAA.

During the third remand investigation, the Department confirmed that when the subject firm ceased operations in 2005, the foreign customer replaced printed circuit boards produced by the subject firm with those produced by two preferred vendors, both vendors are domestic companies. SAR 30, 35, 38. The Department also obtained information from each vendor that the PCB assemblies supplied to the foreign customer were produced outside

the United States and shipped from the foreign production facility without entering the United States en route to the foreign customer. SAR 41, 44–47, 50, 56, 58, 59–62, 64, 67–68, 105, 108–109, 121, 139, 147–149, 151–152, 154, 159, 161–163.

Because neither of the domestic companies that replaced the subject firm as the preferred vendor of the foreign customer imported articles like or directly competitive with the PCB assemblies produced by the subject firm, the Department determines that TAA criterion (C) has not been met.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the subject worker group must be certified eligible to apply for TAA. Since the subject workers are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

Conclusion

After careful reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Advanced Electronics, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of April 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–9485 Filed 4–22–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Office of National Drug Control Policy

Designation of Five Counties as High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy designated five additional counties as High Drug Trafficking Areas pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 1706. The new counties are (1) Rock and Brown Counties in Wisconsin as additions to the Milwaukee HIDTA, (2) Lane County and Warm Springs Indian Reservation in Oregon as additions to the Oregon HIDTA, and (3) Travis County, Texas as an addition to the Southwest Border HIDTA, South Texas Region.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding this notice should be directed to Mr. Arnold Moorin, National HIDTA Program Director, Office of National Drug Control Policy,

Executive Office of the President, Washington, DC 20503; (202) 368–8423.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of April 2010.

Daniel R. Petersen,

Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2010–9467 Filed 4–22–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3180–02–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 52–027 and 52–028; NRC–2008–0441]

South Carolina Electric and Gas Acting for Itself and as an Agent for South Carolina Public Service Authority (Also Referred to as Santee Cooper) Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Combined Licenses for Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Units 2 and 3

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District (USACE), have published NUREG–1939, "Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Combined Licenses (COLs) for Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Units 2 and 3: Draft Report for Comment." The site for the proposed new nuclear units is located in Fairfield County, South Carolina, on the Broad River, approximately 15 miles west of the county seat of Winnsboro and 26 miles northwest of Columbia, South Carolina. The application for the COLs was submitted by letter dated March 27, 2008, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. A notice of receipt of the application, which included the environmental report (ER), was published in the **Federal Register** on July 9, 2008, (73 FR 39339). A notice of acceptance for docketing of the COLs application was published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 2008, (73 FR 4572). A notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and to conduct the scoping process was published in the **Federal Register** on January 5, 2009, (74 FR 323).

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that NUREG–1939 is available for public inspection. The DEIS can be accessed (1) Online at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/col/summer.html>, (2) in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Public Document Room (PDR) located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Public File Area O1–F21, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, or (3) from NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and