

3. Nomination for Advisory Council seats.

4. Election of officers

5. Discussion on the draft General Management Plan

The meeting is open to the public. Further information concerning Council meetings may be obtained from the Superintendent, Boston Harbor Islands NRA, 408 Atlantic Ave., Boston, MA, 02110, telephone (617) 223-8667.

Dated: January 24, 2000.

George E. Price, Jr.,
Superintendent, Boston Harbor Islands NRA.
[FR Doc. 00-2610 Filed 2-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-427]

Certain Downhole Well Data Recorders and Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 5, 2000, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Petroleum Reservoir Data, Inc., 700 W. 41st Ave., Suite 101, Anchorage, Alaska 99503. A supplement to the complaint was filed on January 28, 2000. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain downhole well data recorders and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2 and 4 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,130,705. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official

business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juan Cockburn, Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2572.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (1999).

Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 1, 2000, *ordered* that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain downhole well data recorders and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2 or 4 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,130,705, and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Petroleum Reservoir Data, Inc., 700 W. 41st Ave., Suite 101, Anchorage, Alaska 99503.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Spartek Systems, 4-4 Erickson Crescent, Sylvan Lake, Alberta T4S 1P5, Canada. Halliburton Company, 500 N. Akard, Suite 3600, Dallas, Texas 75201-3391.

(c) Juan Cockburn, Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401-Q, Washington, D.C. 20436, who shall be the Commission

investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Debra Morriss is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: February 1, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2695 Filed 2-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-96 and 439-445 (Review)]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From Brazil, China, France, Germany, Japan, Korea, the United Kingdom, and Yugoslavia

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Revised schedule for the subject reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fry (202-708-4157), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-

impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective October 15, 1999, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the subject reviews (64 FR 57483, October 25, 1999). On January 19, 2000, counsel for Wolff Walsrode AG, a German producer, and Bayer Corporation, a German importer, requested a two-month extension of the schedule on the assumption that a decision may be made within that time frame by Hercules, the sole U.S. producer, as to whether it will close or sell its production facility. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675 (c)(5)(B), and is hereby revising its schedule.

The Commission's new schedule for the reviews is as follows: the prehearing staff report will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 18, 2000; the deadline for filing prehearing briefs is May 30, 2000; requests to appear at the hearing must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission not later than May 31, 2000; the prehearing conference will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building at 9:30 a.m. on June 5, 2000; the hearing will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building at 9:30 a.m. on June 8, 2000; the deadline for filing posthearing briefs is June 19, 2000; the Commission will make its final release of information on July 13, 2000; and final party comments are due on July 17, 2000.

For further information concerning these reviews, see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and F (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: February 1, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2697 Filed 2-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-U

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-414]

Certain Semiconductor Memory Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision to Review An Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in its entirety a final initial determination (ID) finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clara Kuehn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission ordered the institution of this investigation on September 18, 1998, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Micron Technology, Inc., of Boise, Idaho ("complainant"). Respondents are Mosel Vitelic, Inc., of Hsinchu City, Taiwan and Mosel Vitelic Corp. of San Jose, California. The notice of investigation was published in the **Federal Register** on September 25, 1998, 63 FR 51372 (1998).

The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale after importation of certain semiconductor memory devices and products containing same that infringe claims of U.S. Letters Patents Nos. 5,514,245; 4,992,137; 4,436,584; and 5,486,129. *Id.* On May 17, 1999, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) granted complainant's motion for termination of the investigation as to the 245 patent. Complainant's current allegations of

infringement concern 18 claims of the 137 patent, six claims of the 584 patent, and one claim of the 129 patent. An evidentiary hearing was held from May 19 through June 2, 1999.

The ALJ issued his final ID on November 29, 1999, concluding that there was no violation of section 337, based on the following findings: (a) complainant failed to establish the requisite domestic industry showing for any of the three patents at issue; (b) all asserted claims of the patents are invalid; (c) none of the asserted claims of the patents are infringed; and (d) all of the patents are unenforceable for inequitable conduct. On December 13, 1999, the ALJ issued his recommended determination on remedy and bonding, in the event the Commission concludes there is a violation of section 337.

On December 10, 1999, complainant filed a petition for review of the ID. The Commission investigative attorney (IA) also petitioned for review of the ID. On December 17, 1999, respondents and the IA filed responses to the petitions for review.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID in its entirety. The Commission has also determined to review two procedural issues: (1) whether the ALJ erred in considering respondents' inequitable conduct allegation that the inventors of the 137 patent intentionally concealed their best mode of practicing their invention; and (2) with respect to the 137 patent, whether the ALJ erred in admitting into evidence videotapes provided by an expert witness that were not made available to complainant until after that expert's deposition.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry that either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background information, see the