[FR Doc. 2013–09399 Filed 4–23–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4909–60–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

List of Special Permit Applications Delayed

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: List of Applications Delayed more than 180 days.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(c), PHMSA is publishing the following list

of special permit applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ryan Paquet, Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Special Permits and Approvals, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, East Building, PHH–30, 1200 New Jersey Avenue Southeast, Washington, DC 20590–0001, (202) 366–4535.

Key to "Reason for Delay"

1. Awaiting additional information from applicant

- 2. Extensive public comment under review
- 3. Application is technically complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.
- 4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of special permit applications.

Meaning of Application Number Suffixes

N-New application

M—Modification request

R—Renewal Request

P—Party to Exemption Request

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 9, 2013.

Donald Burger,

Chief, General Approvals and Permits.

		11	
Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
New Special Permit Applications			
15650-N 15720-N 15725-N 15727-N	JL Shepherd & Associates, San Fernando, CA Digital Wave Corporation, Centennial, CO Toray Composites (America), Tacoma, WA Blackhawk Helicopters, El Cajon, CA	3 3,1 4 4	05–31–2013 05–31–2013 05–31–2013 05–31–2013
Renewal Special Permits Applications			
14455-R 14832-R 15228-R	EnergySolutions, LLC, Columbia, SC	3 3 3	04–30–2013 05–31–2013 05–31–2013

[FR Doc. 2013–09400 Filed 4–23–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board [Docket No. FD 35724]

California High-Speed Rail Authority— Construction Exemption—in Merced, Madera and Fresno Counties, Cal

On March 27, 2013, California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), a noncarrier state agency, filed a petition for exemption (Petition) under 49 U.S.C. 10502 from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10901 to construct an approximately 65-mile dedicated high-speed passenger rail line between Merced and Fresno, California (the Project). Concurrently, the Authority filed a motion to dismiss the Petition for lack of jurisdiction (Motion to Dismiss), asserting that the Project does not require Board approval under 49 U.S.C. 10901 because it will be located entirely within California, will provide only intrastate passenger rail service, and will not be constructed or operated "as part of the interstate rail

network" under 49 U.S.C. 10501(a)(2)(A).

The Project is one section of the planned California High-Speed Train System (HST). Also referred to as the Merced to Fresno HST Section, 1 the Project would be the first of nine sections of the HST, which, when complete, would provide intercity passenger rail service at speeds up to 220 miles per hour over more than 800 miles of rail line, primarily between San Diego and San Francisco.² The Authority intends to construct the Project in segments and plans to award contracts for the final design and construction of the first 29-mile portion of the approximately 65-mile line in the Project in the spring or summer of 2013.3 For that reason, the Authority requests expedited consideration of the Petition and Motion to Dismiss and a decision effective by June 17, 2013.

To date, the Board has received comments from Federal, state and local

elected officials, residents, landowners, water districts, school districts, grassroots organizations, and other interested parties. Several of those parties have requested an extension of the 20-day period for replies under 49 CFR 1104.13(a). On April 11, 2013, the Authority responded that it would have no objection to a 15-day extension of the deadline for filing replies to the Motion to Dismiss and Petition (to May 1) but would object to a longer extension.⁴ By decision served April 15, 2013, the Board instituted a proceeding and tolled the period for filing responses to the Petition and the Motion to Dismiss pending further Board order.

Motion to Dismiss. The record currently before the Board, along with other publicly available materials, provides sufficient information for the Board to conclude that it has jurisdiction over construction of the California HST system, including the Project. Therefore, replies to the Motion to Dismiss are unnecessary, and the Motion to Dismiss will be denied. The Board will set forth its reasons for

¹ Pet. 2.

² Pet. 3. The entire HST system will connect the major population centers of Sacramento, the San Francisco Bay Area, the Central Valley, Los Angeles, the Inland Empire, Orange County, and San Diego. *Id.*

³ Pet. 4, 13–14.

⁴ Authority Reply 3-4.