(October 6, 2006). While we preliminarily determine that imports from the PRC of Inquiry Merchandise are subject to the order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the PRC, interested parties are not precluded by this determination from applying for a ruling as to whether a particular product is within the scope of the order. See 19 CFR 351.225(c).

#### Conclusion

As noted above, we preliminarily determine that imports from the PRC of Inquiry Merchandise are subject to the order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the PRC. Also as noted above, we preliminarily determine that imports of such products are subject to the order regardless of the producer.

# Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 351.225(l)(2) of the Department's regulations, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of Inquiry Merchandise (regardless of producer) entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 23, 2010, the date of the initiation of this inquiry. We will also instruct CBP to require a cash deposit of estimated duties at the applicable rates for each unliquidated entry of the product entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 23, 2010, the date of the initiation of this inquiry, in accordance with section 351.225(l)(2) of the Department's regulations.

## **Public Comment**

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results and may submit case briefs and/or written comments within 20 days of the publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.225(f)(3). Interested parties may file rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, no later than 10 days after the date on which the case briefs are due. Id. Interested parties may request a hearing within 20 days of the publication of this notice. Interested parties will be notified by the Department of the location and time of any hearing, if one is requested.

This preliminary determination of circumvention is in accordance with section 781(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225.

Dated: February 14, 2011.

#### Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–3889 Filed 2–18–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

# Stanford University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 10–070. Applicant: Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305. Instrument: Electron Microscope.

Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

Docket Number: 10–071. Applicant: Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. Instrument: Electron Microscope.

Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

Docket Number: 10–074. Applicant: Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, NC 27157. Instrument: Electron Microscope.

Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

Docket Number: 10–075. Applicant: The Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute, Roanoke, VA 24016. Instrument: Electron Microscope.

Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was

being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: February 15, 2011.

#### Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-3915 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-909]

## Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Effective Date: February 22, 2011.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ricardo Martinez, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4532.

## **Background**

The antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") was published in the Federal Register on August 1, 2008. See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China, 73 FR 44961 (August 1, 2008). On August 27, 2010, we received a timely request for a new shipper review from Shanghai Colour Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Colour") in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c) and 351.214(d)(2). On October 4, 2010, the Department published a notice of initiation of the new shipper review of certain steel nails from the PRC covering the period of August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010. See Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review. 75 FR 61132 (October 4, 2010). The preliminary results are currently due no later than March 27, 2011.

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. See also 19