

TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 11/24/08 AND 11/28/08—Continued

TA-W	Subject firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
64521	Goodrich Aerostructures (IAMAW)	Chula Vista, CA	11/24/08	11/06/08
64522	American Axle and Manufacturing, Inc. (State).	Detroit, MI	11/24/08	11/21/08
64523	Kautex-Textron (Union)	Wilmington, OH	11/24/08	11/21/08
64524	United Airlines, Inc. (Union)	Sterling, VA	11/24/08	11/19/08
64525	Stamford Industrial Group (Wkrs)	Warren, OH	11/24/08	11/13/08
64526	North American Lighting (Corporate Office) (State).	Paris, IL	11/24/08	11/21/08
64527	LA-Z-BOY, Inc. of Arkansas (State)	Siloam Springs, AR	11/25/08	11/24/08
64528	Fuji Photo Film, Inc. (Wkrs)	Greenwood, SC	11/25/08	11/24/08
64529	Broyhill Plant #3 (Wkrs)	Lenoir, NC	11/25/08	11/17/08
64530	Fujimi Corporation (Comp)	Tualatin, OR	11/25/08	11/24/08
64531	Beacon Looms, Inc. (Wkrs)	Teaneck, NJ	11/25/08	11/17/08
64532	F.L. Smithe Machine Company (IAWAW)	Duncansville, PA	11/25/08	11/15/08
64533	Racine Stamping Corporation (Comp)	Racine, WI	11/25/08	11/19/08
64534	Siemens Energy and Automation, Inc. (Comp).	El Paso, TX	11/25/08	11/19/08
64535	Tricon Timber, LLC (Comp)	St. Regis, MT	11/25/08	11/24/08
64536	Industrial Paint and Strip, Inc. (Comp)	Woodsfield, OH	11/25/08	11/18/08
64537	Mitel (Wkrs)	Chandler, AZ	11/25/08	11/24/08
64538	Meadville Forging Company (Wkrs)	Meadville, PA	11/25/08	11/24/08
64539	Nu-Mode Manufacturing Co., Inc. (Comp)	Taylorsville, NC	11/26/08	11/25/08
64540	Hancock and Moore, Inc. (Wkrs)	Hickory, NC	11/26/08	11/25/08
64541	North Douglas Wood Products, Inc. (Comp) ..	Drain, OR	11/26/08	10/30/08
64542	Mannatech, Inc. (Wkrs)	Coppell, TX	11/26/08	11/21/08
64543	E. R. Wagner Manufacturing Company (Wkrs).	Milwaukee, WI	11/26/08	11/24/08
64544	Source Provides, Inc. (comprehensive logistic) (UAW).	Lansing, MI	11/26/08	11/24/08
64545	Sanmina SCI (Wkrs)	Turtle Lake, WI	11/26/08	11/18/08
64546	Hightower Technology Capital, Inc. (Comp) ..	San Diego, CA	11/26/08	11/25/08
64547	GKN Sinter Metals/St. Marys Plant (Wkrs)	Kersey, PA	11/26/08	11/14/08
64548	Stuart Flooring Corporation (Comp)	Stuart, VA	11/26/08	11/21/08
64549	Bosal Industries (Comp)	Lavonia, GA	11/26/08	11/17/08
64550	Chrysler Trenton Engine Plant (UAW)	Port Huron, MI	11/28/08	11/26/08
64551	United Airlines/O'Hare Maintenance (Wkrs) ...	Chicago, IL	11/28/08	11/25/08
64552	Bos Automotive (Wkrs)	Morristown, TN	11/28/08	11/17/08
64553	Springs Creative Products Group (Comp)	Rock Hall, SC	11/28/08	11/26/08
64554	Winchester Electronics Corporation (State) ...	Wallingford, CT	11/28/08	11/26/08
64555	Blair Mills, LLC (Comp)	Belton, SC	11/28/08	11/11/08
64556	Woodhead L.P. (Wkrs)	El Paso, TX	11/28/08	11/26/08
64557	Ontario Die Company of America (Comp)	Port Huron, MI	11/28/08	11/24/08

[FR Doc. E8-29608 Filed 12-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-64,023]

**CBC Latrobe Acquisition, LLC, a
Subsidiary of City Brewery Company,
LLC, Latrobe, PA; Notice of Negative
Determination Regarding Application
for Reconsideration**

By application dated November 19, 2008, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA), applicable to

workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 21, 2008 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 10, 2008 (73 FR 66677).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination was based on the finding that imports of beer did not contribute importantly to worker

separations at the subject facility and there was no shift of production to a foreign country. The subject firm did not import beer during the relevant period. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's declining domestic customers. A survey conducted by the Department of Labor revealed that a major customer did not purchase imported beer in 2006, 2007 and during January through August 2008.

The petitioner provided a citation from the 2007 shareholder report that "competitiveness with foreign product on the shelves of distributors has increased" and stated that the workers of the subject firm should be eligible for TAA because domestic production has been "disrupted" by foreign products.

The investigation revealed no imports of beer during the relevant period and

no shift of production to a foreign source. Upon further review of the initial investigation, it was revealed that sales and production at the subject facility have increased in the relevant period. The subject firm was anticipating a temporary shutdown at the end of 2008 due to problems with equipment. Therefore, the initial determination document should have also stated that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) have not been met. Sales and production of the subject firm increased and there was no shift in production to a foreign country in the relevant period.

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC this 5th day of December 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-29614 Filed 12-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,133]

Cencorp, LLC, Longmont, CO; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 17, 2008, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The denial notice was signed on October 23, 2008, and published in the **Federal**

Register on November 10, 2008 (73 FR 66677).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, which was filed on behalf of workers at Cencorp, LLC, Longmont, Colorado, was based on the finding that the worker group does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner stated that workers of the subject firm were previously certified eligible for Trade Adjustment Assistance. The petitioner further stated that in order to reveal the import impact, the Department should consider the time period and events which were considered in the 2006 investigation. The petitioner appears to allege that because the subject firm was previously certified eligible for TAA, the workers of the subject firm should be granted another TAA certification.

When assessing eligibility for TAA, the Department exclusively considers production during the relevant time period (from one year prior to the date of the petition). Therefore, events occurring in 2006 are outside of the relevant period and are not relevant in this investigation.

The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm were engaged in field support services for the foreign production of depaneling equipment during the relevant period. Specifically, the workers assisted their parent company located abroad in procuring materials. These functions, as described above, are not considered to be production of an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act.

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department

determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 4th day of December 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-29616 Filed 12-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,072]

Bowling Green Metalforming, Bowling Green, KY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 18, 2008 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Bowling Green Metalforming, Bowling Green, Kentucky. The workers at the subject facility produce automotive stampings.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 5th day of December 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-29615 Filed 12-12-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,565]

Dakota Integrated Systems, Chicago, IL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 2, 2008 in response to a petition filed by the United Automobile, Aerospace, and Agricultural Implemental Workers America—UAW, Local 3212 on behalf