SLA staff exchanged a number of letters regarding this matter. Complainant also alleged that his attorney received information that KCA, Inc. was unwilling to enter into a joint venture agreement with him.

On February 19, 2003, SLA staff wrote to complainant's attorney reiterating its position that complainant and KCA, Inc. must enter into a joint venture agreement and execute a signed document by February 21, 2003. On February 26, 2003, SLA staff wrote complainant's attorney explaining that the SLA had to award the military dining hall facility at Redstone Arsenal to another vendor because complainant failed to execute the joint venture agreement with KCA, Inc.

On April 2, 2003, complainant requested a hearing. A fair hearing on this matter was held on August 5, 2003. On September 5, 2003, the hearing officer issued an order denying complainant's grievance. Subsequently, the SLA adopted the hearing officer's decision as final agency action. Complainant sought review by a Federal arbitration panel of that decision.

# **Arbitration Panel Decision**

The issue heard by the panel was whether the Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services violated the Act, 20 U.S.C. 107 et seq., the implementing regulations in 34 CFR part 395, and its own rules and regulations in the alleged improper termination of complainant from managing the military dining facility at Redstone Arsenal.

After reviewing all of the records and hearing testimony of witnesses, the majority of the panel ruled that the SLA acted properly and in full and fair compliance with the Act, implementing regulations, and State rules and regulations. Therefore, the panel denied complainant's grievance. One panel member dissented.

The views and opinions expressed by the panel do not necessarily represent the views and opinions of the Department.

# **Electronic Access to This Document**

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: <a href="http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister">http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister</a>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html.

Dated: June 14, 2007.

#### John H. Hager,

Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. E7–12146 Filed 6–21–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Oak Ridge Reservation

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Oak Ridge Reservation. The Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. No. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770) requires that public notice of this meeting be announced in the Federal Register.

**DATES:** Wednesday, July 11, 2007, 6 p.m. **ADDRESSES:** DOE Information Center, 475 Oak Ridge Turnpike, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pat Halsey, Federal Coordinator, Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations Office, P.O. Box 2001, EM–90, Oak Ridge, TN 37831. Phone (865) 576–4025; Fax (865) 576–5333 or e-mail: halseypj@oro.doe.gov or check the Web site at http://www.oakridge.doe.gov/em/ssab.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE in the areas of environmental restoration, waste management, and related activities.

Tentative Agenda: The main meeting topic is "The Federal Facility Agreement, Appendixes E and J."

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to the agenda item should contact Pat Halsey at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received five days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Deputy Designated Federal Officer is

empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Individuals wishing to make public comment will be provided a maximum of five minutes to present their comments.

Minutes: Minutes of this meeting will be available for public review and copying at the Department of Energy's Information Center at 475 Oak Ridge Turnpike, Oak Ridge, TN between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, or by writing to Pat Halsey, Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations Office, P.O. Box 2001, EM–90, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, or by calling her at (865) 576–4025.

Issued at Washington, DC on June 18, 2007. **Rachel M. Samuel**,

Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–12094 Filed 6–21–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8330-3]

### Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement, to address a lawsuit filed by Environmental Defense, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Sierra Club (hereinafter "Petitioners"): Environmental Defense et al. v. Environmental Protection Agency, No. 06–1164 (DC Cir.). On or about May 9, 2006, Petitioners filed a complaint challenging EPA's Transportation Conformity Hot-Spot Final Rule, alleging that the rule failed to satisfy the Clean Air Act's transportation conformity criteria, that it permitted EPA to issue particulate matter (PM) hot-spot guidance without following required procedures, and that it withdrew a motor vehicle emissions factor model for use in PM hot-spot analysis without following required procedures. Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, Petitioners agree to dismiss the claim relating to issuance of PM hot-spot guidance once EPA provides public notice of and an opportunity to comment on such guidance.