The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 8, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–27629 Filed 11–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-848]

Certain Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits and Devices Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in its Entirety

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) granting the complainant's unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on the withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 13, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Peregrine Semiconductor Corporation ("Peregrine") of San Diego, California. 77 FR 35427 (Jun. 13, 2012). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,910,993; 7,123,898; 7,460,852; 7,796,969; and 7,860,499. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents RF Micro Devices, Inc. of Greensboro, North Carolina; Motorola Mobility, Inc. of Libertyville, Illinois; HTC Corporation of Taiwan; and HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington (collectively "Respondents").

On October 11, 2012, complainant Peregrine filed an unopposed motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) to terminate the investigation on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint. The motion stated that neither Respondents nor the Commission Investigative Staff oppose the motion. The motion also stated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation. The motion requested that the procedural schedule in the investigation be suspended pending a ruling by the Commission on the subject

On October 15, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion terminating the investigation in its entirety and staying the procedural schedule pending the Commission's final determination on the motion. No petitions for review were received.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: November 8, 2012. By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–27645 Filed 11–13–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1105 and 1106 (Review)]

Lemon Juice From Argentina and Mexico; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct Full Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether termination of the suspended investigations on lemon juice from Argentina and Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: November 5, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Sherman (202–205–3289), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

November 5, 2012, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (77 FR 45653, August 1, 2012) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy,