tower in Lincoln County, South Dakota. The proposed Virgil Fodness 230 kV Substation will be located in the southwest corner of the Southeast Quarter (SE1/4) of Section two, Township 99 North, Range 51 West in Lincoln County. The overall height of the communication tower with antenna will be 285 feet. The tower will be a self-supporting type with red obstruction lighting. The existing 230 kV transmission line will be rerouted and it will need the addition of four steel poles. The height of the poles will vary between 95 feet and 145 feet. The facility will require 20 acres to construct. It will make it possible for EREPC to provide transmission and transformation service to meet the increasing power requirements of its member distribution system. RUS may provide financial assistance to EREPC for this project. RUS has concluded that the impacts of the proposed project would not be significant and the proposed action is not a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not necessary. RUS, in accordance with its environmental policies and procedures, required that EREPC prepare an Environmental Report reflecting the potential impacts of the proposed facilities. The Environmental Analysis, which includes input from federal, state, and local agencies, has been reviewed and accepted as RUS' Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project in accordance with 7 CFR 1794.41. EREPC published notices of the availability of the EA and solicited public comments per 7 CFR 1794.42. The 30-day comment period on the EA for the proposed project ended May 6, 2002. No comments were received on the EA.

Based on the EA, RUS has concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on various resources, including important farmland, floodplains, wetlands, cultural resources, threatened and endangered species and their critical habitat, air and water quality, and noise. RUS has also determined that there would be no negative impacts of the proposed project on minority communities and lowincome communities as a result of the construction of the project.

The EA is available for public review at the RUS or the headquarters of EREPC at the addresses provided in this notice and at the following location: Lincoln County Courthouse, County Auditor's Office, 100 East Fifth Street, Canton, South Dakota 57013.

#### Blaine D. Stockton,

Assistant Administrator, Electric Program, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 02–12639 Filed 5–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration [A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 21, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz at (202) 482–4474, Michele Mire at (202) 482–4711, or Crystal Crittenden at (202) 482–0989, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Time Limits:**

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

### **Background**

On January 31, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period December 1, 1999 through November 30, 2000 (66 FR 8378). On December 4, 2001, the Department published an extension of time limit for the preliminary results. On January 17, 2002, we published the preliminary results of review (67 FR 2402). In our notice of preliminary results, we stated our intention to issue the final results of this review no later than 120 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

### **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review**

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than July 16, 2002. See Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 8, 2002.

### Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 02–12724 Filed 5–20–02; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### International Trade Administration

[A-337-806]

# Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: IQF Red Raspberries from Chile

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has conducted an antidumping duty investigation of IQF red raspberries from Chile. We determine that individually quick frozen ("IQF") red raspberries from Chile are being sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. On December 31, 2001, the Department of Commerce published its preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value of IQF red raspberries from Chile. Based on the results of verification and our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin