Comment: We support amending the regulations used to administer the TASC program by increasing the amount of funding per proposal in any given year. The amendment to the TASC regulations will make the program more viable and relevant in addressing potential and existing trade barriers.

Comment: CCC should reconsider the proposed funding limitation by either reducing the funding limitation of \$500,000 per project to allow for longer maximum durations, or by creating a discretionary fund to be designated specifically for projects extending beyond the maximum duration. The assessment of these types of circumstances should be based on the effectiveness of the project's activities by the measurement in reaching projected goals.

Response: Given the increase in program funding authorized by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, CCC believes that increasing the project funding limitation will not constrict the number or duration of approved projects. Therefore, CCC is adopting the rule as proposed.

Increase the Maximum Duration of an Activity From 3 Years to 5 Years

CCC received six comments on this issue. One of the six opposed the 5-year limitation.

Comment: The proposed rule change increasing the maximum duration of an activity from 3 years to 5 years should facilitate the efficient allocation of funding to the specialty crop industry and promote the design and implementation of projects that will benefit our industry.

Comment: The proposed 5-year limitation, although appreciated, is an unrealistic timeline when mitigating phytosanitary concerns of international trade partners. A discretionary fund should be specifically created for those projects that extend beyond the maximum duration.

Response: After 7 years of operating the TASC program, CCC believes that 3 years is not a sufficient length of time to complete certain projects. CCC believes that 5 years should be sufficient to complete all projects, and that making a provision to allow for projects to exceed 5 years in duration is unnecessary. Therefore, CCC is adopting the rule as proposed.

Increase the Number of Approved Projects From Three to Five That a TASC Participant Can Have Underway at Any Given Time

CCC received five comments on this issue, all of which favored the proposed change.

Comment: Allowing up to five approved projects for any one TASC participant at any given time should facilitate the efficient allocation of funding to the specialty crop industry and promote the design and implementation of projects that will benefit the industry.

Response: CCC will adopt the rule as proposed.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, 7 CFR part 1487 is amended as follows:

Title 7—Agriculture

### PART 1487—TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR SPECIALTY CROPS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1487 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Sec. 3205 of Pub. L. 107–171.

■ 2. Revise § 1487.4 to read as follows:

# § 1487.4 Are there any limits on the scope of proposals?

- (a) Funding cap. Proposals which request more than \$500,000 of CCC funding in a given year will not be considered.
- (b) Length of activities. Funding will not be provided for projects that have received TASC funding for 5 years. The 5 years do not need to be consecutive.
- (c) Target countries. Proposals may target all eligible export markets, including single countries or reasonable regional groupings of countries.
- (d) Multiple proposals. Applicants may submit multiple proposals, but no participant may have more than five approved projects underway at any given time.
- 3. Amend § 1487.6 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

### §1487.6 Administration.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Evaluation process. FAS will review all proposals for eligibility and completeness and will evaluate each proposal against the factors described in paragraph (a) of this section. The purpose of this review is to identify meritorious proposals, recommend an appropriate funding level for each proposal, and submit the proposals and funding recommendations to appropriate officials within FAS for decision. FAS may, when appropriate to the subject matter of the proposal, request the assistance of other U.S. government experts in evaluating the merits of a proposal.

■ 4. Amend § 1487.8 by revising paragraph (a)(4) to read as follows:

## § 1487.8 How are payments made?

(a) \* \* \*

(4) Participants shall maintain all records and documents relating to TASC projects, including the original documentation which supports reimbursement claims, for a period of 3 calendar years following the expiration or termination date of the program agreement. Such records and documents will be subject to verification by FAS and shall be made available upon request to authorized officials of the U.S. Government. FAS may deny a claim for reimbursement if the claim is not supported by acceptable documentation.

Signed at Washington, DC, on the 28th of April 2009.

### Patricia R. Sheikh,

Acting Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service, and Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. E9–11053 Filed 5–11–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–10–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

# Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

### 9 CFR Part 93

[Docket No. APHIS-2007-0095]

RIN 0579-AC63

### Importation of Cattle From Mexico; Addition of Port at San Luis, AZ; Correction

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: We are correcting an error in the amendatory language in our final rule that added the port of San Luis, AZ, as a port through which cattle that have been infested with fever ticks or exposed to fever ticks or tick-borne diseases may be imported into the United States and that removed provisions that limit the admission of cattle that have been infested with fever ticks or exposed to fever ticks or tick-borne diseases to the State of Texas. The final rule was published in the Federal Register on January 2, 2009.

**DATES:** Effective Date: The effective date of this correction to § 93.427(b)(2) introductory text is delayed indefinitely. This delay is consistent with the delayed effective date of the amendment to § 93.427(b)(2) introductory text published in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 2009, at 74 FR 5–6. APHIS will publish a document announcing an effective date for this correction in the **Federal Register.** 

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Betzaida Lopez, Staff Veterinarian, National Center for Import and Export, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road, Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737–1231; (301) 734–

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In a final rule published in the Federal Register on January 2, 2009 (74 FR 1-6, Docket No. APHIS-2007-0095), we amended the regulations regarding the importation of cattle from Mexico by adding San Luis, AZ, as a port through which cattle that have been infested with fever ticks or exposed to fever ticks or tick-borne diseases may be imported into the United States. We also amended the regulations to remove provisions that limit the admission of cattle that have been infested with fever ticks or exposed to fever ticks or tick-borne diseases to the State of Texas. In amendatory instruction 3, it was our intent to further amend the introductory text of § 93.427(b)(2) to add the port of San Luis. However, we inadvertently omitted San Luis, AZ, from the text that followed. This document corrects that

After port facilities at San Luis, AZ, are constructed and approved by the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), APHIS will publish a document announcing an effective date for this correction in the **Federal Register**.

### Correction

In FR Doc. E8–31212, published on January 2, 2009 (74 FR 1), under amendatory instruction 3 on page 5, third column, and page 6, first column, § 93.427(b)(2) introductory text is corrected to read as follows:

### § 93.427 Cattle from Mexico.

(b) \* \* \*

(2) Cattle that have been exposed to splenetic, southern, or tick fever, or that have been infested with or exposed to fever ticks, may be imported from Mexico for admission into the United States, except into areas of Texas quarantined because of said disease or tick infestation as specified in § 72.5 of this chapter, at one of the land border ports in Texas listed in § 93.403(c), the port of Santa Teresa, NM, or the port of San Luis, AZ, provided that the following conditions are strictly observed and complied with:

Done in Washington, DC, this 6th day of May 2009.

### Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E9–11059 Filed 5–11–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0975; Directorate Identifier 2008-NE-29-AD; Amendment 39-15905; AD 2009-08-51]

### RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce Corporation (RRC) AE 3007A Series Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This document publishes in the Federal Register an amendment adopting emergency airworthiness directive (AD) 2009-08-51 that was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of RRC AE 3007A series turbofan engines. This AD requires performing an eddy current inspection (ECI) or surface wave ultrasonic test (SWUT) inspection on each affected high-pressure turbine wheel. This AD results from additional reports of cracks in the high-pressure turbine (HPT) stage 2 wheels identified from the required inspections in AD 2008-26-06. A revised risk assessment that includes these additional reports indicates we need to require a higher inspection rate. We are issuing this AD to prevent an uncontained failure of the HPT stage 2 wheel and damage to the airplane.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective May 27, 2009 to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by emergency AD 2009–08–51, issued on April 10, 2009, which contained the requirements of this amendment. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations as of May 27, 2009.

We must receive any comments on this AD by July 13, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to comment on this AD.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow

the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
  - Fax: (202) 493-2251.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyri Zaroyiannis, Aerospace Engineer, Chicago Aircraft Certification Office, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA, 2300 E. Devon Ave., Des Plaines, IL 60018; email: kyri.zaroyiannis@faa.gov; telephone (847) 294–7836; fax (847) 294–7834.

Contact Rolls-Royce Corporation, P.O. Box 420, Indianapolis, IN 46206; telephone (317) 230–3774; fax (317) 230–8084; e-mail:

indy.pubs.services@rolls-royce.com, for the service information identified in this AD.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 10, 2009, the FAA issued emergency AD 2009-08-51, that applies to RRC AE 3007A series turbofan engines. That AD supersedes AD 2008-26-06, and requires performing an ECI or SWUT inspection on HPT stage 2 wheels for cracks. That AD resulted from additional reports of cracks in the HPT stage 2 wheels identified from the required inspections. A revised risk assessment that includes these additional reports indicates we needed to require a higher inspection rate. That emergency AD also addresses a group of low utilization engines above 16,350 cycles-since-new (CSN) that might not yet have been inspected. This condition, if not corrected, could result in a possible uncontained failure of the HPT stage 2 wheel and damage to the airplane.

### **Relevant Service Information**

We have reviewed RRC Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) AE 3007A–A–72–367, Revision 1, dated April 7, 2009, that describes procedures for ECI of the HPT stage 2 wheel on AE 3007A series turbofan engines and RRC SB AE 3007A–72–368, Revision 1, dated April 6, 2009, that describes the procedures for SWUT inspection of the HPT stage 2 wheel on AE 3007A series turbofan engines.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of This AD

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other engines of the same type design, we