## IV. Potential Funding per Grant

EPA may award funding under this BAA in the following two categories:

1. Meeting and workshop support up to \$25,000 per agreement including direct and indirect costs. This category is for major support of small scale, focused meetings and workshops on a specific subject or subjects, or for partial support of a larger conference.

2. Large conference support up to \$75,000 per agreement including direct and indirect costs. This category is for major support of broader conferences that include a wide range of subjects relating to environmental research.

EPA will not consider applications for less than \$5,000.

All grants and cooperative agreements will have a duration of up to 1 year to provide for follow-up activities such as publication of reports and proceedings. Cost-sharing is not required for awards under this BAA.

### V. Award Notices

EPA will notify successful and unsuccessful applicants by e-mail. Applicants selected for funding will be required to provide additional information listed under "Award Notices." EPA may require selected applicants to submit additional forms and certifications. The application will then be forwarded to EPA's Grants Administration Division for award in accordance with the EPA's procedures. The Agency is not obligated to fund selected applicants until a grant is awarded by EPA's Grants Administration Division.

Applicants are cautioned that only a grants officer can bind the Government to the expenditure of funds; preliminary selection by EPA does not guarantee an award will be made. The official

notification of an award will be made by the Agency's Grants Administration Division.

Nonprofit applicants recommended for funding under this BAA will be subject to a preaward administrative capability review consistent with sections 8.b, 8.c, and 9.d of EPA Order 5700.8, EPA Policy on Assessing Capabilities of Non-Profit Applicants for Managing Assistance Awards (http://www.epa.gov/ogd/grants/regulations.htm).

Before or after an award, applicants may be required to provide additional quality assurance documentation.

Further information, if needed, may be obtained from the EPA officials indicated under the section titled: "For Further Information Contact." Information regarding this BAA obtained from sources other than these Agency Contacts may not be accurate. Email inquiries are preferred. To view the full Broad Agency Announcement go to: http://www.epa.gov/ord/htm/grantopportunity.htm.

Dated: January 18, 2006.

#### William H. Farland,

Chief Scientist, Office of the Science Advisor. [FR Doc. E6–810 Filed 1–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8023-9]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Final Agency Action on 44 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the final agency action on 44 TMDLs prepared by EPA Region 6 for waters listed in the state of Arkansas, under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). These TMDLs were completed in response to the lawsuit styled Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford, et al., No. LR—C—99—114. Documents from the administrative record files for the final 44 TMDLs, including TMDL calculations and responses to comments, may be viewed at http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wq/artmdl.htm.

ADDRESSES: The administrative record files for these 44 TMDLs may be obtained by writing or calling Ms. Diane Smith, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202–2733. Please contact Ms. Smith to schedule an inspection.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane Smith at (214) 665–2145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1999, five Arkansas environmental groups, the Sierra Club, Federation of Fly Fishers, Crooked Creek Coalition, Arkansas Fly Fishers, and Save our Streams (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal Court against the EPA, styled Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford, et al., No. LR—C—99—114. Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to establish Arkansas TMDLs in a timely manner.

## EPA Takes Final Agency Action on 44 TMDLs

By this notice EPA is taking final agency action on the following 44 TMDLs for waters located within the state of Arkansas:

Segment-Reach	Waterbody name	Pollutant
08020401–003	Wabbaseka Bayou	Siltation/turbidity.
11110205–011	Cadron Creek	Siltation/turbidity
11110205–012	Cadron Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
11110203–927	White Oak Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–004	Bayou Deview	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–005	Bayou Deview	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–006	Bayou Deview	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–007	Bayou Deview	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–009	Bayou Deview	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–016	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–017	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–018	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–019	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–020	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–021	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–027	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–028	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–029	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–031	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020302–032	Cache River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010013–006	Village Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
11010013–007	Village Creek	Siltation/turbidity.

Segment-Reach	Waterbody name	Pollutant
11010013-008	Village Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
11010013-012	Village Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
11010013-014	Village Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
11010014–009	Ten Mile Creek	Siltation/turbidity.
11010012-004	Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010012-005	Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010012-006	Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
110100 12–008	Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010012-009	Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010012-010	Little Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010012–011	Strawberry River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010001–023	West Fork White River	Siltation/turbidity.
11010001–024	White River	Siltation/turbidity.
08020203-012	Tyronza River	Siltation/turbidity.
11110105–001	Poteau River near Fort Smith	Siltation/turbidity.
11110105-031L	Poteau River near Waldron	Total phosphorus, Copper, and Zinc.
11140302-003	Days Creek	Nitrate.
11140109–919	Rolling Fork	Total phosphorus, and Nitrate.
11010001–045L	Osage Creek near Berryville	Total phosphorus.

EPA requested the public to provide EPA with any significant data or information that might impact the 44 TMDLs at **Federal Register** Notices: Volume 70, Number 217, pages 68448–68449 (November 10, 2005) and Volume 70, Number 46, page 11971 (March 10, 2005). The comments received and EPA's response to comments may be found at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wq/artmdl.htm">http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wq/artmdl.htm</a>.

Dated: January 10, 2006.

#### Miguel I. Flores,

Director, Water Quality Protection Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. E6–813 Filed 1–23–06; 8:45 am]

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 06-01]

Worldwide Relocations, Inc., All-in-One Shipping, Inc., Boston Logistics Corp., Around the World Shipping, Inc., Tradewind Consulting, Inc., Global Direct Shipping, Megan K. Karpick (a.k.a. Catherine Kaiser, Kathryn Kaiser, Catherine Kerpick, Megan Kaiser and Alexandria Hudson), Martin J. McKenzie, Patrick John Costadoni, Elizabeth F. Hudson, Sharon Fachler, and Oren Fachler, et al.—Possible Violations of Sections 8, 10 and 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and the Commission's Regulations at 46 CFR 515.3, 515.21 and 520.3; Notice of Order of Investigation and Hearing

Notice is given that on January 11, 2006 the Federal Maritime Commission issued an Order of Investigation and Hearing to determine whether nine apparently related household goods moving companies and their owners and/or primary corporate officers were

operating unlawfully. Named in the order are: Moving Services, L.L.C.; Worldwide Relocations, Inc.; International Shipping Solutions, Inc.; Dolphin International Shipping, Inc.; All-in-One Shipping, Inc.; Boston Logistics Corp.; Around the World Shipping, Inc.; Tradewind Consulting, Inc.; Global Direct Shipping; Sharon Fachler; Oren Fachler; Lucy Norry; Patrick J. Costadoni; Steve Kuller; Megan K. Karpick (a.k.a. Catherine Kaiser, Kathryn Kaiser, Catherine Kerpick, Megan Kaiser and Alexandria Hudson); Barbara Deane (a.k.a. Barbara Fajardo); Baruch Karpick; Martin J. McKenzie; Joshua S. Morales; Elizabeth F. Hudson; Daniel E. Cuadrado (a.k.a. Daniel Edward); Ronald Eaden; and Robert Bachs (collectively "the Respondents").

The Commission has received over 250 consumer complaints from shippers regarding the above individuals and companies alleging, among other things, that the companies: failed to deliver the cargo and refused to return pre-paid ocean freight; lost the cargo; charged the shipper for marine insurance which they never obtained; misled the shipper as to the whereabouts of cargo; charged the shipper an inflated rate and withheld cargo until that rate was paid; and failed to pay the common carrier. In many cases, the shipper was forced to pay another carrier or warehouse a second time in order to have the cargo released. In addition, none of the companies or individuals listed is licensed as OTIs by the Federal Maritime Commission, nor have they provided proof of financial responsibility, or published a tariff showing their rates.

This proceeding seeks to determine: (1) Whether Respondents violated sections 8, 10, and 19 of the Shipping

Act of 1984 and the Commission's regulations at 46 CFR Parts 515 and 520 by operating as non-vessel-operating common carriers in the U.S. trades without obtaining licenses from the Commission, without providing proof of financial responsibility, without publishing an electronic tariff, and by failing to establish, observe, and enforce just and reasonable regulations and practices relating to or connected with receiving, handling, storing, or delivering property; (2) Whether, in the event one or more violations of sections 8, 10 and 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and 46 CFR Parts 515 and 520 are found, civil penalties should be assessed and, if so, the identity of the persons and/or corporations to whom the penalties should be assessed and the amount of the penalties to be assessed; (3) Whether, in the event violations are found, appropriate cease and desist orders should be issued.

The full text of this order may be viewed on the Commission's home page at http://www.fmc.gov or at the Office of the Secretary, Room 1046, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington DC. Any person may file a petition for leave to intervene in accordance with 46 CFR 502.72.

#### Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–786 Filed 1–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

#### **FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

# Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System **SUMMARY:** Background.