

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: August 23, 2002.

**Bryant L. VanBrakle,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-21949 Filed 8-27-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 02-13]

### **Pro Transport, Inc. v. HSAC Logistics, Inc. f/k/a Columbus Line USA, Inc., Columbus Line, Inc., and Hamburg-Sud; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment**

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission ("Commission") by Pro Transport, Inc. ("Complainant") against HSAC Logistics, Inc. formerly known as Columbus Line USA, Inc., Columbus Line, Inc. and Hamburg-Sud ("Respondents").

Complainant contends that Respondents violated section 10(b)(10) of the Shipping Act of 1984 by refusing to deal or negotiate in refusing to allow Complainant to use Hamburg-Sud gensets, which provide the electricity needed to keep refrigerated cargo containers ("reefers") cooled. Complainant states that Respondents' refusal to provide gensets with its reefers make it impossible for the Complainant to transport those containers to its customers. Complainant also advises that the Respondents have refused to resolve this issue with the Complainant.

Complainant asks that Respondents be required to answer its charges and that the Commission order Respondents to: cease and desist from these violations; to establish and put into force such practices as the Commission determines to be lawful and reasonable; to pay Complainant reparations the amount the Commission determines to be proper as an award, with interest and attorney's fees; and such other and further order or orders the Commission determines to be proper. Complainant requests that any hearings be held in Miami, Florida.

This proceeding has been assigned to the office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper

showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by August 21, 2003, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by December 20, 2004.

**Bryant L. VanBrakle,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-21822 Filed 8-27-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### **Fact Finding Investigation No. 25-; Practices of Transpacific Stabilization Agreement Members Covering the 2002-2003 Service Contract Season; Order of Investigation**

Pursuant to the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. 1701 *et seq.* ("1984 Act"), the Federal Maritime Commission ("Commission") is responsible for administering a non-discriminatory regulatory process for the common carriage of goods by water in the foreign commerce of the United States. Section 10 of the Act contains specific prohibitions against conduct which would conflict with this system of common carriage.

On May 10, 2002, the National Customs Brokers and Forwarders Association of America, Inc. ("NCBFAA") and the International Association of NVOCCs, Inc. ("IANVOCC") filed a joint petition, Petition No. P1-02, in which they alleged that members of the Transpacific Stabilization Agreement ("TSA") have engaged in violations of certain section 10 prohibitions. The petitioners assert that TSA members engaged in a concerted practice of discrimination against non-vessel-operating common carriers ("NVOCCs") regarding the negotiation of service contracts and the rates established therein for the 2002-2003 contracting season. Specifically, the petitioners alleged that TSA members had entered into an internal agreement, which they subsequently executed, to complete the negotiation and signing of service contracts with proprietary shippers before commencing negotiation of service contracts with NVOCCs. The petitioners further alleged that TSA members had colluded to charge NVOCCs significantly higher

rates than assessed to proprietary shippers for the same services. The manner in which TSA members allegedly implemented this agreement was through the discriminatory subjection of NVOCCs, through their service contracts, to general rate increases ("GRIs") and a peak season surcharge ("PSS"), which were not applied to proprietary shippers through their service contracts.

Upon the filing of Petition No. P1-02, the Commission initially directed its staff to secure and assess additional information regarding TSA member practices during the 2002-2003 contracting season. During the pendency of this informal investigation, TSA and its members announced a second GRI during this contracting season to become effective August 19, 2002. If the petitioners' allegations of concerted action are correct, it would appear that this second GRI was agreed to among TSA members with the knowledge that certain shippers would be exempt from the increase by the terms of their 2002-2003 service contracts. In view of the information presently available and with due regard for the seriousness of the allegations, the Commission has determined to commence this non-adjudicatory investigation to gather additional facts. Specifically, the Investigative Officer named herein is to develop a record on various practices allegedly engaged in by TSA and its members, either individually or collectively, during the 2002-2003 contracting season, including but not limited to:

1. Refusals to deal with NVOCCs until the substantial completion of negotiations with proprietary shippers;
2. The discriminatory application in NVOCC service contracts of GRIs and/or a PSS while waiving or otherwise not requiring similar application in proprietary shipper service contracts;
3. The extent and degree to which the rate increases and service contract policies, practices, and guidelines of TSA have been, and remain, voluntary and non-binding upon its respective members;
4. The extent and degree to which TSA and its members have maintained and transmitted to the Commission full, complete, and accurate minutes of all meetings required to be filed with the Commission; and
5. The development and utilization of open-ended provisions that permit the unilateral implementation of GRIs and/or a PSS by TSA members in their service contracts with NVOCCs, without genuine further negotiation, while waiving or not requiring similar

provisions in their service contracts for proprietary shippers.

The Investigative Officer is to report to the Commission within the time specified herein, with recommendations for any further Commission action, including any formal adjudicatory, injunctive, or rulemaking proceedings, warranted by the factual record developed in this proceeding.

Interested persons are invited and encouraged to contact the Investigative Officer named herein, at (202) 523-5724 (Phone) or (202) 275-0518 (Fax), or by e-mail at [nvopetitiontsa@fmc.gov](mailto:nvopetitiontsa@fmc.gov) should they wish to provide testimony or evidence, or to contribute in any other manner to the development of a complete factual record in this proceeding.

Therefore, it is ordered, That pursuant to sections 5, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 15 of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. 1704, 1707, 1709, 1710, 1711 and 1714, and Part 502, Subpart R of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations, 46 CFR 502.281, *et seq.*, a non-adjudicatory investigation is hereby instituted into the practices of TSA and its members, to develop the issues set forth above and to provide a basis for any subsequent regulatory, adjudicatory or injunctive action by the Commission.

It is further ordered, That the Investigative Officer shall be Commissioner Joseph E. Brennan of the Commission. The Investigative Officer shall be assisted by staff members as may be assigned by the Commission's Executive Director and shall have full authority to hold public or non-public sessions, to resort to all compulsory process authorized by law (including the issuance of subpoenas *ad testificandum* and *duces tecum*), to administer oaths, to require reports, and to perform such other duties as may be necessary in accordance with the laws of the United States and the regulations of the Commission;

It is further ordered, That the Investigative Officer shall issue a report of findings and recommendations no later than January 17, 2003, and interim reports if it appears that more immediate Commission action is necessary, such reports to remain confidential unless and until the Commission provides otherwise;

It is further ordered, That this proceeding shall be discontinued upon acceptance of the final report of findings and recommendations by the Commission, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission; and

It is further ordered, That notice of this Order be published in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Bryant L. VanBrakle**,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-21950 Filed 8-27-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Ocean Transportation Intermediary License Revocations

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice that the following Ocean Transportation Intermediary licenses have been revoked pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718) and the regulations of the Commission pertaining to the licensing of Ocean Transportation Intermediaries, effective on the corresponding date shown below:

*License Number:* 1857N.  
*Name:* Air/Sea Forwarding Specialists, Inc.  
*Address:* 4354-A Old Shell Road, #320, Mobile, AL 36608-2000.  
*Date Revoked:* June 19, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

*License Number:* 3414F.  
*Name:* Byung H. Yoo dba Summit Trans Lines.  
*Address:* 14900 S. Avalon Blvd., Gardena, CA 90248-2013.  
*Date Revoked:* June 23, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

*License Number:* 2697F.  
*Name:* Carmen Colon dba Carmenco International.  
*Address:* Brooklyn Navy Yard, Bldg. #3, Brooklyn, NY 11205.  
*Date Revoked:* June 5, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

*License Number:* 4513F.  
*Name:* Kevin C. Ahn dba Baytop Container Co.  
*Address:* 2800 Plaza Del Amo Blvd., Torrance, CA 90503.  
*Date Revoked:* July 5, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

*License Number:* 2505NF.  
*Name:* Pacific Express Cargo, Inc.  
*Address:* 8125 NW 67th Street, Miami, FL 33166.  
*Date Revoked:* June 20, 2002 and June 30, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain valid bonds.

*License Number:* 3478N.  
*Name:* Sextant Overseas Shipping Corp.  
*Address:* P.O. Box 126, Endid Road, Summit, NY 12175.  
*Date Revoked:* June 19, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

*License Number:* 15605F.  
*Name:* Solid Trans Inc.  
*Address:* 5146 W. 104th Street, Inglewood, CA 90304.  
*Date Revoked:* June 30, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

*License Number:* 3873F.  
*Name:* U.S. Cargo, Inc.  
*Address:* 8535 NW 29th Street, Miami, FL 33122-1919.  
*Date Revoked:* July 6, 2002.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid bond.

Dated: August 23, 2002.  
**Sandra L. Kusumoto**,  
Director, Bureau of Consumer Complaints and Licensing.  
[FR Doc. 02-21948 Filed 8-27-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Granting of Request for Early Termination of the Waiting Period Under the Premerger Notification Rules

Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, as added by Title II of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, requires persons contemplating certain mergers or acquisitions to give the Federal Trade Commission and the Assistant Attorney General advance notice and to wait designated periods before consummation of such plans. Section 7A(b)(2) of the Act permits the agencies, in individual cases, to terminate this waiting period prior to its expiration and requires that notice of this action be published in the **Federal Register**.

The following transactions were granted by early termination of the waiting period provided by law and the premerger notification rules. The grants were made by the Federal Trade Commission and the Assistant Attorney General for the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. Neither agency intends to take any action with respect to these proposed acquisitions during the applicable waiting period.