

Dated: December 31, 2002.

J.B. Penn,

*Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign
Agricultural Services.*

Dated: January 3, 2003.

Thomas C. Dorr,

Under Secretary for Rural Development.

[FR Doc. 03-394 Filed 1-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Parts 255 and 399

[Docket Nos. OST-97-2881, OST-97-3014,
OST-98-4775, and OST-99-5888]

RIN 2105-AC65

Computer Reservations System (CRS) Regulations; Statements of General Policy

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary,
Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of petition
response date.

SUMMARY: The Department has issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that proposes to readopt and amend its existing rules governing airline computer reservations systems (CRSs) and to clarify the requirements of its Statements of General Policy on travel agency disclosure of any agency service fees. Sabre, one of the CRSs, has filed a petition asking for a fact hearing. The Department is now establishing January 13, 2003, as the due date for responses to Sabre's petition.

DATES: Responses to Sabre's petition are due January 13, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Ray, Office of the General Counsel, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-4731.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Department has begun a rulemaking to reexamine whether it should maintain its existing rules governing CRS operations. We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that set forth our tentative proposals regarding the existing rules and our tentative belief that we should not extend the rules to cover the sale of airline tickets through the Internet. 67 FR 69366 (November 15, 2002). We stated our intent to follow the notice-and-comment procedures established by the Administrative Procedure Act for informal rulemakings. 67 FR 69369. Comments and reply comments on our notice of proposed rulemaking are now due March 16 and May 15, 2003. 67 FR 72869 (December 9, 2002).

On December 23 Sabre filed a petition asking us to hold a "Fact Hearing." Sabre asserts that our notice did not provide an adequate factual basis for our tentative decision that we should maintain the existing rules with some changes. The hearing sought by Sabre would include, among other things, testimony from a Department official on the factual basis underlying these decisions.

Delta Airlines, assuming that answers to Sabre's petition would normally be due January 3, has filed a motion asking that answers be due January 13. Sabre filed its 33-page petition on December 23. Delta contends that it did not receive a copy of the petition until December 30, since Sabre had served it by mail, that Delta would have only two business days to prepare its response if it were required to respond by January 3, and that a 10-day extension would be reasonable. Delta notes that the petition seeks extraordinary relief and raises a number of controversial legal issues.

We believe that Delta's request is reasonable and that responses by other parties would assist our consideration of Sabre's petition. Given the holidays and the unusual nature of Sabre's petition, establishing January 13 as the due date for answers would give the parties an adequate time to respond without delaying the rulemaking. We therefore invite interested persons to file answers by January 13.

Issued in Washington, DC on January 2, 2003.

Kirk K. Van Tine,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03-355 Filed 1-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-63-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Part 101

Expansion of the Port Limits of Portland, MA

AGENCY: Customs Service, Department
of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the Customs regulations pertaining to the field organization of Customs by extending the geographical limits of the port of entry of Portland, Maine, to include the City of Auburn, Maine. This proposed change is being made to provide better service to carriers, importers, and the general public.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted to the U. S. Customs Service, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at the U.S. Customs Service, 799 9th Street, NW., Washington, DC, during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark at 202-572-8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Keith Fleming, Office of Field Operations, at 202-927-1049.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

To provide better service to carriers, importers, and the general public, Customs proposes to amend § 101.3(b)(1), Customs regulations (19 CFR 101.3(b)(1)), by extending the geographical limits of the port of entry of Portland, Maine.

Current Port Limits of Portland, Maine

The current port limits of Portland, Maine, as extended by Executive Order (E. O.) 9297 of February 1, 1943 (8 FR 1479), include Portland, Maine, and the territory embracing the municipalities of South Portland, Falmouth, and Cape Elizabeth, in the State of Maine, and Peak, Long, Cliff, Cushing, and Diamond Islands, in the State of Maine.

Proposed Expansion of Port

It is proposed to expand the port limits of the port of entry of Portland, Maine, to include the City of Auburn, Maine.

Customs proposes to include the City of Auburn within the port limits to facilitate the clearance of international cargo at the Auburn Intermodal Facility ("AIF"). AIF is a rail/truck intermodal facility with a high cube, doublestack intermodal terminal worldwide.

If the proposed extension of the Portland, Maine, port of entry limits to include the City of Auburn, Maine, is adopted, the limits of port column adjacent to the listing of Portland, Maine, in the list of Customs ports of entry in § 101.3(b)(1) will be amended accordingly.

Authority

This change is proposed under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301 and 19 U.S.C. 2, 66 and 1624.

Comments

Before adopting this proposal, consideration will be given to any

written comments that are timely submitted to Customs. All such comments received from the public pursuant to this notice of proposed rulemaking will be available for public inspection in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552), § 1.5, Treasury Department Regulations (31 CFR 1.5), and § 103.11(b), Customs regulations (19 CFR 102.11(b)), during regular business days between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. at the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, 799 9th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866

Customs establishes, expands and consolidates Customs ports of entry throughout the United States to accommodate the volume of Customs-related activity in various parts of the country. Thus, although this document is being issued with notice for public comment, because it relates to agency management and organization it is not subject to the notice and public procedure requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553. Accordingly, this document is not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Agency organization matters such as this proposed port extension are exempt from consideration under Executive Order 12866.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document was Janet L. Johnson, Regulations Branch. However, personnel from other offices participated in its development.

Robert C. Bonner,

Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: January 6, 2003.

Timothy E. Skud,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 03-432 Filed 1-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Part 103

RIN 1515-AD18

Confidentiality Protection for Vessel Cargo Manifest Information

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the Customs Regulations to

provide that, in addition to the importer or consignee, parties that electronically transmit vessel cargo manifest information directly to Customs 24 or more hours before cargo is laden aboard the vessel at the foreign port may request confidentiality with respect to the name and address of the importer or consignee, related marks and identification numbers that reveal their names and addresses, and the names and addresses of their shippers. These parties must submit to Customs a letter of authorization signed by the importer or consignee with the request for confidentiality. Current regulations allow only the importer or consignee, or an authorized employee, attorney, or official of the importer or consignee, to make such requests.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (preferably in triplicate), regarding both the substantive aspects of the proposed rule and how it may be made easier to understand, may be submitted to the U.S. Customs Service, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at the U.S. Customs Service, 799 9th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry Burton, Chief, Entry and Carriers Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, at (202) 572-8724.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 19 U.S.C. 1431, Customs must make available for public disclosure certain information contained in vessel manifests except when the importer or consignee has requested confidential treatment.

On October 31, 2002, Customs published a final rule document in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 66318) that amended the Customs Regulations pertaining to the inward foreign manifest to provide that Customs must receive from the carrier the vessel's Cargo Declaration (Customs Form (CF) 1302), one document among a few that comprise the manifest, or a Customs-approved electronic equivalent of the cargo declaration, at least 24 hours before the cargo is laden aboard the vessel at the foreign port, and to require that Vessel Automated Manifest System (AMS) participants provide the cargo declaration electronically (see 19 CFR 4.7(b)(2)). The amended regulation also provides that a properly licensed or registered non-vessel operating common carrier (NVOCC) that is in possession of

an International Carrier Bond containing the provisions of § 113.64 of the regulations (19 CFR 113.64) may electronically transmit required manifest information directly to Customs through the AMS 24 or more hours before cargo is delivered to the vessel carrier is laden aboard the vessel at the foreign port. If the NVOCC chooses not to transmit the required manifest information to Customs, as described above, the amended regulation provides that the NVOCC must instead fully disclose and present the required information to the vessel carrier to allow the vessel carrier to present the information to Customs via the AMS system. (See 19 CFR 4.7(b)(3).)

The final rule amended other sections within Part 4 of the regulations and made amendments to § 113.64 having to do with bond obligations of NVOCCs that elect to transmit manifest information in accordance with § 4.7(b). Discussion of these particular amendments is not necessary in this document. (See the final rule, cited previously, for a more complete presentation of these amendments.)

In response to the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that preceded publication of the final rule discussed above, published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 51519) on August 8, 2002, the NVOCC community submitted several comments expressing concern that certain information and data that a NVOCC would supply under the new procedures of § 4.7(b) would be subject to release for publication. Under § 103.31 of the regulations (19 CFR 103.31), vessel manifest information is made available to newspapers, commercial magazines, trade journals, and similar publications. The NVOCC group contended that such release would reveal confidential business information that could result in harm to the NVOCC community, and recommended that Customs amend the regulations to permit NVOCCs to request confidentiality on behalf of importers and consignees under § 103.31. Because the NVOCC comments concerned an issue that was not the focus of the prior rulemaking, Customs responded to these comments in the final rule document by indicating that it would soon publish another NPRM proposing to amend the regulations to address the issue within the limitations of existing law. The purpose of this NPRM is to seek further input from the trade community on the specific question whether the Customs regulations should be amended.

Under 19 U.S.C. 1431(c), only importers and consignees are authorized to make such confidentiality requests to