

(October 6, 2006). While we preliminarily determine that imports from the PRC of Inquiry Merchandise are subject to the order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the PRC, interested parties are not precluded by this determination from applying for a ruling as to whether a particular product is within the scope of the order. See 19 CFR 351.225(c).

### Conclusion

As noted above, we preliminarily determine that imports from the PRC of Inquiry Merchandise are subject to the order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the PRC. Also as noted above, we preliminarily determine that imports of such products are subject to the order regardless of the producer.

### Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 351.225(l)(2) of the Department's regulations, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of Inquiry Merchandise (regardless of producer) entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 23, 2010, the date of the initiation of this inquiry. We will also instruct CBP to require a cash deposit of estimated duties at the applicable rates for each unliquidated entry of the product entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 23, 2010, the date of the initiation of this inquiry, in accordance with section 351.225(l)(2) of the Department's regulations.

### Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results and may submit case briefs and/or written comments within 20 days of the publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.225(f)(3). Interested parties may file rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, no later than 10 days after the date on which the case briefs are due. *Id.* Interested parties may request a hearing within 20 days of the publication of this notice. Interested parties will be notified by the Department of the location and time of any hearing, if one is requested.

This preliminary determination of circumvention is in accordance with section 781(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225.

Dated: February 14, 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-3889 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Stanford University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 10-070. *Applicant:* Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope.

*Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

*Docket Number:* 10-071. *Applicant:* Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope.

*Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

*Docket Number:* 10-074. *Applicant:* Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, NC 27157. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope.

*Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

*Docket Number:* 10-075. *Applicant:* The Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute, Roanoke, VA 24016.

*Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 2647, January 14, 2011.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was

being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: February 15, 2011.

**Gregory W. Campbell,**

*Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-3915 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

#### Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 22, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ricardo Martinez, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-4532.

### Background

The antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") was published in the **Federal Register** on August 1, 2008. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 44961 (August 1, 2008). On August 27, 2010, we received a timely request for a new shipper review from Shanghai Colour Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Colour") in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c) and 351.214(d)(2). On October 4, 2010, the Department published a notice of initiation of the new shipper review of certain steel nails from the PRC covering the period of August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010. See *Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 61132 (October 4, 2010). The preliminary results are currently due no later than March 27, 2011.

### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. See also 19

CFR 351.214 (i)(1). The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 180-day period to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. *See also* 19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2).

#### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The Department determines that this new shipper review involves extraordinarily complicated methodological issues, including Shanghai Colour's multiple production stages for subject merchandise and the need to evaluate the *bona fide* nature of Shanghai Colour's sales. The Department finds that these extraordinarily complicated issues require additional time to evaluate. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 120 days, until no later than July 25, 2011. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 10, 2011.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011-3541 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-924]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 16, 2010, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the *Preliminary Results* of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip ("PET film") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").<sup>1</sup> We gave

interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculation for the final results. We find that the participating respondents in this review, the two mandatory respondents Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd. ("Fuwei Films"), Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co., Ltd. ("Green Packing"), and Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd. ("Wanhua") (collectively, "Respondents"), sold subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review ("POR"), November 6, 2008, through October 31, 2009.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 22, 2011.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3936.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

As noted above, on August 16, 2010, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review. Between September 28, 2010 and October 5, 2010, we received case and rebuttal briefs from Petitioners<sup>2</sup> and Respondents. On September 28, 2010, we also received written arguments from Bemis Company, Inc., an industrial user of PET film. On October 18, 2010, the Department placed a revised wage rate calculation on the record for comment. Between October 26, 2010 and November 1, 2010, we received comments and rebuttal comments from Petitioners and Respondents regarding the revised wage rate calculation. On November 15, 2010, the Department published a notice extending the time period for issuing the final results by 60 days to February 14, 2011.<sup>3</sup> On November 22, 2010, the Department held a public hearing of the arguments presented in the interested parties' submissions.

<sup>1</sup> 75 FR 49893 (August 16, 2010) ("*Preliminary Results*").

<sup>2</sup> DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners").

<sup>3</sup> *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 69629 (November 15, 2010).

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China," which is dated concurrently with this notice ("I&D Memo"). A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we respond in the I&D Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Main Commerce Building, Room 7046, and is accessible on the Department's Web site at <http://www.trade.gov/ia>. The paper copy and electronic version of the memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily rescinded the administrative review with respect to Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd. ("Dongfang"). Dongfang reported that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>4</sup> As we stated in the *Preliminary Results*, our examination of shipment data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") for Dongfang confirmed that there were no entries of subject merchandise from Dongfang during the POR.<sup>5</sup> We also received no comments or information to change our preliminary rescission. Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to Dongfang.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record, as well as comments received from parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have made revisions to Respondents' margin calculations for the final results.<sup>6</sup> Pursuant to a recent decision

<sup>4</sup> *See Preliminary Results*, 75 FR at 49894.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

<sup>6</sup> In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department stated that it had "valued electricity using rates for large industries at 33 Kilo Volts, as published by the Central Electricity Authority of the Government of India in 'Electricity Tariff & Duty and Average Rates of Electricity Supply in India,' dated March 2008."

*See Preliminary Results*, 75 FR at 49898. This statement in the **Federal Register** notice was an error, as the Department had actually averaged all tax-exclusive rates for electricity for small, medium, and large industries as published in the above-mentioned report. *See Memorandum to the File* through Robert Bolling, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, from Thomas Martin, International Trade Compliance Analyst, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip

Continued

<sup>1</sup> *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,*