

*Description of respondents:*

Educational institutions, profit and non-profit organizations, individuals, and agencies of local or State governments.

*Annual responses:* 300.

*Annual burden hours:* 12,000 hours.

*Bureau clearance officer:* Fred Travnicek, 703-648-7231.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Elizabeth Lemersal, U.S. Geological Survey, MS905 National Center, Reston, Virginia 20192, (703) 648-6717.

Dated: October 26, 2006.

**John Haines,**

*Acting, Associate Director for Geology, U.S. Geological Survey.*

[FR Doc. 06-8996 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-Y7-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Indian Affairs****Proposed Information Collection Under the Paperwork Reduction Act; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed collection.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are renewing the information collection found in the general Probate of Indian Decedents' Estates, Except for Members of the Five Civilized Tribes regulations. The purpose of this data collection is to ensure that Probate regulations are administered for the benefit of individual Indians and any persons having claims against an Indian decedent's estate.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed information collection must be received by January 2, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to William Titchywy, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Office of Trust Services, Special Projects Office, 10th Floor, P.O. Box 10, Phoenix, AZ 85001-0010. Comments may also be telefaxed to (602) 379-4005. We cannot accept E-mail comments at this time.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Titchywy, 602-379-4002.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The information provided through collection requirements is used by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), to determine heirs and divide any funds held by the BIA for an Indian decedent and to divide the decedent's trust and restricted real property. The information is specifically used by the BIA in:

(a) Instructing an individual in starting the probate process;

(b) Preparing a probate package for review;

(c) Filing claims;

(d) Disbursing assets; and

(e) Filing appeals for adverse decisions.

**Request for Comments**

The BIA requests your comments on this collection concerning:

(a) The necessity of this information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (hours and cost) of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways we could enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways we could minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents, such as facilitating use of automation for collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Please note that an agency may not sponsor or request and an individual need not respond to, a collection of information unless it has a valid OMB Control Number.

It is our policy to make all comments available to the public for review at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section, 10th Floor, during the hours of 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. MST, Monday through Friday except for legal holidays. If you wish to have your name and/or address withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. We will honor your request according to the requirements of the law. All comments from organizations or representatives will be available for review. We may withhold comments from review for other reasons.

*OMB Control Number:* 1076-0156.

*Type of Review:* Renewal.

*Title:* Probate of Indian Estates, Except for Members of the Five Civilized Tribes, 25 CFR 15.

*Brief Description of collection:*

Information is collected through the probate process when the BIA learns of a decedent's death from a family member, neighbor, friend or any other person or agency. The information, together with specific documents, is used to determine if the decedent owned a trust estate, to prepare a probate package and to distribute estate assets. Respondents must inform the BIA if any of the required information or documents are not available.

*Respondents:* Possible respondents include: Individual tribal members,

individual non-Indians, individual tribal member-owned businesses, non-Indian owned businesses, tribal governments, and land owners who are seeking a benefit.

*Number of Respondents:* 37,477 annually.

*Annual hours:* 179,868.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 5 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* As required.

Dated: October 27, 2006.

**Michael D. Olsen,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. E6-18399 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****National Park Service****Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision for the Fort King Special Resource Study**

**SUMMARY:** Congress, in the Interior Appropriations Act of 2000, authorized the *Fort King Special Resource Study and Final Environmental Impact Statement* ("Study"). The legislation directed the National Park Service (NPS) to determine whether Fort King is nationally significant and, if so, whether it is suitable and feasible as a new unit of the National Park System. Acknowledging the site's National Historic Landmark (NHL) status, the Study determined that Fort King is nationally significant. In addition, the Study determined that Fort King is suitable and feasible for inclusion in the National Park System because its interpretive themes are underrepresented in the current system and the property is of sufficient size and shape to protect resources and accommodate public use. The study does not, however, propose an active NPS management role at the site. Rather, existing programs such as Save America's Treasures and Preserve America are used to exemplify the types of NPS assistance available to future non-Federal managers of the Fort King property.

The Final Study was distributed for public review in August 2006. The NPS has prepared a Record of Decision (ROD) on the Study to document the decision made, the background of the project, other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, the environmentally preferable alternative, and the public involvement in the decision-making process.

The 1998 Omnibus Parks Management Act (Pub. L. 105-391

§ 303) mandates that each Special Resources Study (SRS) identify the alternative or combination of alternatives which would, in the professional judgment of the Director of the NPS, be "most effective and efficient in protecting significant resources and providing for public enjoyment." The Study identifies Alternative B in the Study as the environmentally preferred alternative and most effective and efficient alternative because it preserves more of the site's archeological resources in an undisturbed condition and minimizes capital expenditures and long-term operating costs.

Under Alternative B, the historic significance of Fort King would be communicated to visitors primarily through self-guided interpretive trails, wayside exhibits, and brochures. The park would not have a permanent on-site staff. Guided tours and live interpretation programs for school groups and special events would be provided by volunteers on a case by case basis. The site's existing wooded landscape would remain predominantly unchanged. Pedestrian trails would be cleared by vegetation and lightly graded. Trees and other woody vegetation immediately surrounding the fort location would be thinned or removed for interpretive purposes.

The Federal government would not assume ownership of land, impose zoning or land use controls, or take responsibility for permanent funding. Likewise, there would be no direct NPS ownership or management of resources. As with other National Historic Landmarks, the NPS could provide technical assistance for general planning, resource management, and interpretation. However, overall management of the Fort King site would be administered by one or more local entities.

The Study also presented in detail a No Action and two Action Alternatives that describe different ways of commemorating, interpreting, and preserving resources associated with Fort King. All alternatives are described in detail in the Study.

Alternative B provides a broad range of public benefits such as improved public access, long-term preservation of archeological resources, and increased visitor awareness of the site's national significance while minimizing capital expenditures and long-term operating costs.

**DATES:** On September 14, 2006, the Regional Director, Southeast Region, NPS signed the ROD for the SRS and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Fort King.

**ADDRESSES:** Tim Bemisderfer, Planning and Compliance Division, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 100 Alabama Street SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. An electronic copy of the Final EIS and ROD are available on the internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tim Bemisderfer, 404-562-3124, extension 693.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A copy of the ROD can be obtained via the Internet by visiting the NPS Planning Environmental and Public Comment System Web site at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov> or by calling 404-562-3124, extension 693.

The responsible official for the FEIS is Patricia A. Hooks, Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 100 Alabama Street SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Dated: September 29, 2006.

**Patricia A. Hooks,**

*Regional Director, Southeast Region.*

[FR Doc. 06-9002 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-70-M**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-402 and 731-TA-892 and 893 (Review)]

### Honey From Argentina and China

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on honey from Argentina and the antidumping duty orders on honey from Argentina and China.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on honey from Argentina and the antidumping duty orders on honey from Argentina and China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;<sup>1</sup> to be assured of

<sup>1</sup> No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 07-5-162, expiration date June 30, 2008. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 10 hours per response. Please send comments

consideration, the deadline for responses is December 21, 2006. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by January 16, 2007. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 1, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.** On December 10, 2001, the Department of Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of honey from Argentina (66 FR 63673) and antidumping duty orders on imports of honey from Argentina and China (66 FR 63672, 63670). The Commission is conducting reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full reviews or expedited reviews. The Commission's determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

**Definitions.** The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436.