dumping margin is determined to be 0.63 percent, above the de minimis rate. A rate must be below 0.50 percent to be de minimis. See, 19 CFR 351.106(c). Consequently, CBCC has not made sales of subject merchandise "at not less than NV for a period of at least three consecutive years" as required by the Department's regulations. Because one of the requirements to qualify for revocation has not been met, the Department has not addressed the issues of commercial quantities and whether the continued application of the antidumping duty order is necessary to offset dumping with regard to CBCC. As a result of our analysis of factual information submitted to us during the course of this review, we determine not to revoke this order with respect to CBCC.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memorandum, accessible in B–099 and on the Web at www.ia.ita.doc.gov.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margins exist for the period July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
RIMA	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.63 93.20

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importerspecific assessment rates. With respect to export price, we divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for each importer. We will direct Customs to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is de minimis, i.e., less than 0.5 percent.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of silicon metal from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section

751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rate shown above, except if the rate is less than 0.5 percent, and therefore de minimis, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 91.06 percent. This rate is the "All Others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 31, 2001.

Timothy J. Hauser,

Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum Comments and Responses

- 1. Requirements for Revocation with Respect to LIASA
- 2. Calculation of Home Market Imputed Credit Expenses for RIMA

- 3. Total Adverse Facts Available ("FA") for Eletrosilex
- 4. Calculation of Home Market Imputed Credit Expenses for CBCC
- 5. Circumstance of Sale Adjustment ("COS") for CBCC
- 6. Calculation of Financial Expense Ratio for CBCC
- 7. CBCC's Consolidated Financial Statement
 - 8. CBCC's Short-Term Income Offset
- 9. Allocation of CBCC's Indirect Labor Costs
- 10. Determination Not To Revoke CBCC and LIASA

[FR Doc. 01–4536 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-828, A-557-809, A-565-801]

Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of antidumping duty orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 2001. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Helen Kramer (Italy) at (202) 482–0405, Juanita Chen (Malaysia) at (202) 482–0409, or Fred Baker (Philippines) at (202) 482–2924, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Scope of Investigation

For purposes of these orders, the product covered is certain stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (butt-weld fittings). Butt-weld pipe fittings are under 14 inches in outside diameter (based on nominal pipe size), whether finished or unfinished. The product encompasses all grades of stainless steel and "commodity" and "specialty" fittings. Specifically excluded from the

definition are threaded, grooved, and bolted fittings, and fittings made from any material other than stainless steel.

The butt-weld fittings subject to these orders are generally designated under specification ASTM A403/A403M, the standard specification for Wrought Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping Fittings, or its foreign equivalents (e.g., DIN or JIS specifications). This specification covers two general classes of fittings, WP and CR, of wrought austenitic stainless steel fittings of seamless and welded construction covered by the latest revision of ANSI B16.9, ANSI B16.11, and ANSI B16.28. Butt-weld fittings manufactured to specification ASTM A774, or its foreign equivalents, are also covered by these orders.

These orders do not apply to cast fittings. Cast austenitic stainless steel pipe fittings are covered by specifications A351/A351M, A743/743M, and A744/A744M.

The butt-weld fittings subject to these orders are currently classifiable under subheading 7307.23.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Antidumping Duty Orders

In accordance with section 735(a) of the Tariff Act, the Department made its final determinations that stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines are being sold at less than fair value. (See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, 65 FR 81823 (Philippines), 81825 (Malaysia), 81830 (Italy) (December 27, 2000)).1 On January 29, 2001, the International Trade Commission (the Commission) notified the Department of its final determination pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Tariff Act that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of lessthan-fair-value imports of subject merchandise from Italy, Malaysia, and

the Philippines. Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Tariff Act, the Department will direct Customs officers to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise for all relevant entries of stainless steel buttweld pipe fittings from Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of stainless steel pipe fittings from Italy and the Philippines, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 2, 2000, the date on which the Department published its notices of preliminary determination for those countries in the Federal Register (65 FR 47388 (Italy) and 47393 (Philippines)). With respect to Malaysia, because the Department made a finding of sales at not less than fair value in the preliminary determination, antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of stainless steel pipe fittings entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 27, 2000, the date on which the Department published its notice of an affirmative final determination in the Federal Register (65 FR 81825). Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins as noted below. The "All Others" rates apply to all exporters of subject stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings not specifically listed. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Margin percentage
Italy Coprosider S.p.A	26.59 26.59 7.51 7.51 33.81 33.81 33.81

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty orders with respect to stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, room B–099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

These orders are published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: February 6, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement II.

[FR Doc. 01–4540 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-533-809]

Certain Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Bhansali Ferromet Pvt. Ltd.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty new shipper review.

SUMMARY: This review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Bhansali Ferromet Pvt. Ltd. (Bhansali) and sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999. The final results do not differ from the preliminary results of review, in which we found that the respondent made sales in the United States at prices below normal value.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 2001. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Thomas Killiam or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–5222 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On September 15, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its

¹We received ministerial error allegations with respect to the final determinations for Malaysia and the Philippines. On December 28, 2000, Kanzen Tetsu Sdn. Bhd. (respondent in the Malaysian investigation) and on January 2, 2001, Tung Fong Industrial Co., Inc. (respondent in the Philippines investigation) submitted ministerial error allegations. However, the Department found that these allegations either did not fall within the statutory or regulatory definition of ministerial error or no longer needed correction. See memoranda to the file dated January 17, 2001 (with respect to Malaysia) and January 30, 2001 (with respect to the Philippines). See also section 735(e) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f).