

Platforms, Inc., San Jose, CA; Control Technology Inc., Knoxville, TN; High Grade Controls Corporation, Sudbury, Ontario, CANADA; Jenny Science AG, Rain, SWITZERLAND; PCN Technology, Inc., San Diego, CA; Prozess Technologie, Inc., St. Louis, MO; and Welding Technology Corp., Farmington Hills, MI, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Conxall Corporation Inc., Chicago, IL; FieldServer Technologies (Div Sierra Monitor Corporation), Milpitas, CA; New Age Micro, Mansfield, MA; Power Electronics S.L., Paterna, SPAIN, UNIPULSE Corporation, Koshigaya City, JAPAN; and Warwick Instruments, London, UNITED KINGDOM, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and ODVA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 21, 1995, ODVA filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 15, 1996 (61 FR 6039).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 20, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 17, 2015 (80 FR 8348).

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-11007 Filed 5-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Wireless Industrial Technology Konsortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 2, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Wireless Industrial Technology Konsortium, Inc. (“WITEK”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages

under specified circumstances. Specifically, Nivis LLC, Atlanta, GA, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and WITEK intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 8, 2008, WITEK filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 18, 2008 (73 FR 54170).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 25, 2012. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on October 18, 2012 (77 FR 64128).

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-11021 Filed 5-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 31, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ericsson Broadcast & Media Services, London, UNITED KINGDOM; and SDVI Corporation, Menlo Park, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, National Archives & Records Administration, College Park, MD; San Solutions, Inc., Reno, NV; and Lawrence R. Kaplan (individual member), Menlo Park, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research

project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 23, 2014. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 6, 2015 (80 FR 6768).

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-11019 Filed 5-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Stipulation and Proposed Order Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On May 1, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a Stipulation and Proposed Order Amending the Requirements Set Forth in Paragraph 13(c) of the Consent Decree (“Stipulation and Proposed Order”) with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. Elsa Morgan-Skinner, et al*, 1:00-cv-424.

In its February 21, 2001, amended complaint in this action, brought under Sections 106 and 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a), the United States sought: (1) Reimbursement of costs incurred by the United States for response actions at the Skinner Landfill Superfund Site in West Chester, Ohio (“Site”); and (2) performance of response work. On April 3, 2001, the Court entered a Consent Decree that required the Settling Generator/Transporter Defendants to conduct a remedial action at the Site. In particular, Paragraph 13(c) of the Consent Decree required the construction of an upgradient groundwater control system if the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) determined that there would be prolonged contact between groundwater and waste material at the Site. Although monitoring established that such contact existed, EPA has determined that

upgradient groundwater control is not needed because the contact has not caused significant groundwater contamination. In the lodged Stipulation and Proposed Order, the Parties seek to amend Paragraph 13(c) by striking the requirement for upgradient groundwater control. In the event that future monitoring identifies significant groundwater contamination, the amended Paragraph 13(c) requires the Settling Generator/Transporter Defendants to submit a plan to address the problem.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Stipulation and Proposed Order. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America v. Elsa Morgan-Skinner, et al*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–1620.

All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Stipulation and Proposed Order may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Stipulation and Proposed Order upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$1.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Randall M. Stone,

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 2015–10955 Filed 5–6–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–0152]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Reinstatement, With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection for Which Approval Has Expired; Survey: Survey of Prison Inmates (Formerly Named the Survey of Inmates in State and Federal Correctional Facilities)

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 9749, February 24, 2015, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until June 8, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Lauren Glaze, Statistician, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531 (email: Lauren.Glaze@usdoj.gov; telephone: 202–305–9628). Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

- proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Survey of Prison Inmates, 2015–2016 (formerly named the Survey of Inmates in State and Federal Facilities).

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* The form number of the questionnaire is NPS–25. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Statistics (Corrections Unit), in the Office of Justice Programs.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals. Others: State government and Federal government. Affected public are prison inmates age 18 or older held in adult state or federal correctional facilities and the adult state and federal correctional facilities. The purposes of this omnibus survey are to generate reliable, nationally-representative estimates of the characteristics of prisoners in the United States, track changes in the characteristics of prisoners over time, conduct studies of special populations of prisoners, and identify policy-relevant changes in the prison population. The survey will also be used to produce subnational estimates of prisoners within jurisdictions that have the largest prison populations (*i.e.* 100,000 or more) in the nation. The 2015–2016 SPI survey builds upon prior surveys and is organized around the concepts of harm, risk, and reentry. Specifically, the harms that prisoners have perpetrated on society as measured by the severity of the offense, the incident characteristics of the offense and criminal history; the risk they pose for recidivism as measured by harm elements and additional risk factors such as ties to the