Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–37,157 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of The Chinet Company, now known as Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc., Waterville, Maine who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 30, 1998, through January 28, 2002, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of September, 2001.

#### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–24813 Filed 10–3–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–33–M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-38,815 and TA-W-38,815A]

Johnston Industries, Inc., Columbus, GA, Johnston Industries, Inc., New York, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 8, 2001, applicable to workers of Johnston Industries, Inc., Columbus, Georgia. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 23, 2001 (66 FR 28554).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of industrial fabrics. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the New York, New York location of Johnston Industries, Inc. The New York, New York location provides marketing and sales functions directly supporting the subject firm's production facility in Columbus, Georgia.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Johnston Industries, Inc., New York, New York.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Johnston Industries, Inc. adversely affected by increased imports of industrial fabrics.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–38,815 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Johnston Industries, Inc., Columbus, Georgia (TA–W–38,815) and Johnston Industries, New York, New York (TA–W–38,815A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 15, 2000, through May 8, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 4th day of September, 2001.

### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–24814 Filed 10–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-38,707]

Philips Consumer Electronics Company, Knoxville Industrial Design Group (KID), Knoxville, TN; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 8, 2001, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 9, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2001 (66 FR 22006).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The Department initially denied the TAA to workers of Philips Consumer Electronics Company, Knoxville Industrial Design Group (KID), Knoxville, Tennessee, based on the finding that the workers did not produce an article as required by Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

The petitioner asserts that the subject firm is involved in the design and production of one-of-a-kind prototypes that were sent to the company headquarters or to third party companies, and thus the workers should be considered engaged in employment related to the production of a tangible product.

The Department concurs with the petitioner that the worker group could be considered engaged in employment related to the production of an article. The prototypes, however, were one-of-a-kind, and as such, were never mass produced. Furthermore, since the prototypes were one-of-a-kind, there could not be any imports of articles like or directly competitive with the prototypes constructed by the workers of the subject firm.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of September 2001.

#### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–24823 Filed 10–3–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

# Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment