of the Canada Post Agreement is substantially similar to the inbound portion of the TNT and Australia Post Agreement in terms of the products being offered under the contract and the contract's cost characteristics. Id. at 5. It claims that like those agreements, the Canada Post Agreement fits within the parameters outlined by Governors' Decision No. 10–3, which establishes the rates for Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Operators. Id. It also identifies some differences, but says most are immaterial to the Commission's functional equivalency analysis. The differences include more detailed provisions concerning the consequences of early termination and the processes to be used to protect Canada Post's confidential information when filed in regulatory or other proceedings in the United States. *Id.* The Postal Service says it is possible that the more detailed information could have an impact on the cost characteristics of this Agreement in comparison to others in the product grouping, but asserts the difference would be a positive one because the more detailed termination clause eliminates substantial risks concerning the rates following termination that would otherwise apply. Id. at 5-6. It also says the more detailed provision concerning procedures to be followed in the event of certain regulatory filings does not affect either the market or cost characteristics of the Agreement. Id.

Relevant cost and market characteristics. The Postal Service asserts that because the Canada Post 2012 Agreement and the Australia and TNT Post Agreements incorporate the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant cost and market characteristics are similar, if not the same, for the Canada Post 2012 Agreement and the TNT and Australia Post Agreements. Id. at 6. The Postal Service says it does not consider that the specified differences affect either the fundamental service the Postal Service is offering or the fundamental structure of the agreements. It claims that nothing detracts from the conclusion that these agreements are functionally equivalent in all pertinent respects. Id.

Postal Service's position. The Postal Service therefore maintains, based on the reasons discussed in the Notice and as demonstrated by the financial data filed under seal, it has established that the Canada Post 2012 Agreement is in compliance with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the TNT and Australia Post Agreements within the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements

with Foreign Postal Operators 1 (MC2010–34) product. Id.

The Agreement's status as a "draft." The text of the Agreement is marked "Draft" because the parties continue to exchange drafts concerning the exact wording of the terms and conditions. Id. at 1 n.2. The Postal Service does not expect any substantive changes to the rates, the operational terms, or the financial liability provisions of the Agreement because those negotiations have been successfully concluded. Id. It anticipates filing a final signed version of the Agreement with the Commission and the Department of State prior to December 31, 2011. Id.

The Commission considers the draft version acceptable for purposes of issuing notice of the Postal Service's filing; however, it cannot base its final order in this proceeding on the draft version. To avoid delay in issuance of the final order, the Commission urges the Postal Service to file the final executed Agreement as soon as possible. At that time, the Postal Service should identify all changes between the draft version and the Agreement as executed.

II. Additional Matters

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filing in the captioned docket is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632 and 3633 and 39 CFR part 3015. Comments are due no later than December 27, 2011.

The public portions of the Postal Service filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is Ordered

- 1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–4 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.
- 2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public.
- 3. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than December 27, 2011.
- 4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–32900 Filed 12–22–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2012-88; Order No. 1045]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Alplaus, New York post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: January 3, 2012, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for Petitioner's Form 61; January 23, 2012, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for answering brief in support of the Postal Service. *See* the Procedural Schedule in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (http://www.prc.gov) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789–6820 (case-related information) or *DocketAdmins@prc.gov* (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), on November 29, 2011 the Commission received a petition for review of the Postal Service's determination to close the Alplaus post office in Alplaus, New York. The petition for review was filed by Andy Gilpin (Petitioner) and is postmarked November 25, 2011. The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and establishes Docket No. A2012-88 to consider Petitioner's appeal. If Petitioner would like to further explain his position with supplemental information or facts, Petitioner may either file a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61 or file a brief with the Commission no later than January 3, 2012.

Categories of issues apparently raised. Petitioner contends that (1) the Postal Service failed to consider the effect of the closing on the community (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i)); (2) the Postal Service failed to consider whether or not it will continue to provide a maximum degree of effective and regular postal services to the community (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(iii)); (3) the Postal Service failed to adequately consider the economic savings resulting from the closure (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(iv)); and (4) the Postal Service failed to follow procedures required by law regarding closures (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)(B)).

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above, or that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues. The deadline for the Postal Service to file the applicable administrative record with the Commission is within 15 days after the date in which the petition for review was filed with the Commission. See 39 CFR 3001.113. In addition, the due date for any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is also within 15 days after the date in which the petition for review was filed with the Commission.

Availability; Web site posting. The Commission has posted the appeal and supporting material on its Web site at http://www.prc.gov. Additional filings in this case and participant's submissions also will be posted on the Web site, if provided in electronic format or amenable to conversion, and not subject to a valid protective order.

Information on how to use the Commission's Web site is available online or by contacting the Commission's Web master via telephone at (202) 789–6873 or via electronic mail at prc-webmaster@prc.gov.

The appeal and all related documents are also available for public inspection in the Commission's docket section. Docket section hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except on Federal government holidays. Docket section personnel may be contacted via electronic mail at prcdockets@prc.gov or via telephone at (202) 789–6846.

Filing of documents. All filings of documents in this case shall be made using the Internet (Filing Online) pursuant to Commission rules 9(a) and 10(a) at the Commission's Web site, http://www.prc.gov, unless a waiver is obtained. See 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a). Instructions for obtaining an account to file documents online may be found on the Commission's Web site, http://www.prc.gov, or by contacting the Commission's docket section at prc-dockets@prc.gov or via telephone at (202) 789–6846.

Commission reserves the right to redact personal information which may infringe on an individual's privacy rights from documents filed in this proceeding.

Intervention. Persons, other than the Petitioners and respondents, wishing to be heard in this matter are directed to file a notice of intervention. See 39 CFR 3001.111(b). Notices of intervention in

this case are to be filed on or before January 9, 2012. A notice of intervention shall be filed using the Internet (Filing Online) at the Commission's Web site, http://www.prc.gov, unless a waiver is obtained for hardcopy filing. See 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a).

Further procedures. By statute, the Commission is required to issue its decision within 120 days from the date it receives the appeal. See 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5). A procedural schedule has been developed to accommodate this statutory deadline. In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service or other participants to submit information or memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. As required by Commission rules, if any motions are filed, responses are due 7 days after any such motion is filed. See 39 CFR 3001.21.

It is ordered:

- 1. The procedural schedule listed below is hereby adopted.
- 2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is designated officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public.
- 3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice and order and Procedural Schedule in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission. Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

November 29, 2011	Filing of Appeal.
December 14, 2011	Deadline for the Postal Service to file the applicable administrative record in this appeal.
December 14, 2011	Deadline for the Postal Service to file any responsive pleading.
January 9, 2012	Deadline for notices to intervene (see 39 CFR 3001.111(b)).
January 3, 2012	Deadline for Petitioners' Form 61 or initial brief in support of petition (see 39 CFR 3001.115(a) and (b)).
January 23, 2012	Deadline for answering brief in support of the Postal Service (see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)).
February 7, 2012	Deadline for reply briefs in response to answering briefs (see 39 CFR 3001.115(d)).
February 14, 2012	Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument; the Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings (see 39 CFR 3001.116).
March 23, 2012	Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)).

[FR Doc. 2011–32902 Filed 12–22–11; 8:45 am]

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION [Docket No. A2012–87; Order No. 1044]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of

the Freeport, Kansas post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: January 3, 2012, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for Petitioner's Form 61; January 23, 2012, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for answering brief in support of the Postal Service. See the Procedural Schedule in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (http://www.prc.gov) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT