

As noted above, in conducting its sunset reviews, the Department considers the weighted-average dumping margins and volume of imports when determining whether revocation of an antidumping duty order would lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. Based on this analysis, the Department finds that the existence of dumping margins above de minimis levels is highly probative of the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping. A deposit rate above a de minimis level continues in effect for exports of the subject merchandise by at least one Chinese manufacturer/exporter. Therefore, given that dumping has continued over the life of the orders, the Department determines that dumping is likely to continue if the orders were revoked. Because we are basing our determination on the fact that dumping has continued throughout the life of the orders, it is not necessary to address respondent's arguments concerning demand.

Magnitude of the Margin

In the *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department stated that it normally will provide to the Commission the margin that was determined in the final determination in the original investigation. Further, for companies not specifically investigated or for companies that did not begin shipping until after the order was issued, the Department normally will provide a margin based on the "all others" rate from the investigation. (See section II.B.1 of the *Sunset Policy Bulletin*.) Exceptions to this policy include the use of a more recently calculated margin, where appropriate, and consideration of duty absorption determinations. (See sections II.B.2 and 3 of the *Sunset Policy Bulletin*.) We note that, to date, the Department has not issued any duty absorption findings in either of these cases.

In their substantive responses, the domestic interested parties recommend that the Department deviate from its normal practice of using margins from the original investigation and instead use margins from a more recent administrative review. In the case of axes/adzes, the domestic interested parties recommend using the PRC-wide margin of 21.92 calculated in the fourth administrative review. For picks/mattocks, the domestic interested parties argue that the dumping margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked would be 98.77 percent for Fujian Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corp., as calculated in the fifth administrative review; 70.31 percent for Shandong Machinery Import & Export

Corp., as calculated in the fourth administrative review; and 50.81 percent for Tianjin Machinery Import & Export Corp., Liaoning Machinery Import & Export Corp. and Shandong Huarong General Group Corp., as calculated in the original investigation. The domestic interested parties argue further that, in the case of picks/mattocks, while the dumping margins calculated by the Department have fluctuated, the margins have increased for most of the PRC producers.

The respondents argue that the dumping margin likely to prevail if the orders were revoked would be zero, but no higher than the average margin for the latest reviews.

The Department disagrees with both domestic and respondent interested parties. As noted in the *Sunset Regulations* and *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department may provide to the Commission a more recently calculated margin for a particular company where dumping margins increased after the issuance of the order where that particular company increased dumping to maintain or increase market share. In these cases, the domestic interested parties do not provide any company-specific argument or evidence that any Chinese companies have increased dumping in order to maintain or gain market share or increase import volumes. Moreover, while it is true that dumping margins have increased for some Chinese companies, we have no company-specific information demonstrating that imports of the subject merchandise have increased over the life of the orders. Since we have no company-specific information correlating an increase in exports for one company with an increase in the dumping margin for that particular company, we cannot conclude that the use of more recently calculated margins is warranted in this case. Further, we do not agree with the respondents that a more recently calculated margin is appropriate, because we have no company-specific information demonstrating that the lower, more recent rates are associated with steady or increasing imports.

Therefore, consistent with the *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department finds that the margins calculated in the original investigation are probative of the behavior of Chinese producers/exporters if the orders were revoked as they are the only margins which reflect their behavior absent the discipline of the orders. As such, the Department will report to the Commission the PRC-wide rates from the original investigations as contained in the Final Results of Reviews section of this notice.

Final Results of Reviews

As a result of these reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the margins listed below:

PRC-wide	Margin (percent)
Axes/adzes	15.02
Picks/mattocks	50.81

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These five-year ("sunset") reviews and notices are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 28, 2000.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-2581 Filed 2-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-307-815]

Postponement of Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation of Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel From Venezuela

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of postponement of final determination of antidumping investigation of cold-rolled steel from Venezuela.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit of the final determination of the antidumping investigation of cold-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel from Venezuela.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 4, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen McPhillips or Linda Ludwig, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International

Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0193 or (202) 482-3833, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended, are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1999).

Postponement of Final Determinations and Extension of Provisional Measures

Pursuant to Section 735(a)(2) of the Tariff Act, on January 6, 2000, Siderurgica del Orinoco, C.A. (Sidor) requested that the Department postpone the final determination in this case for the full sixty days permitted by the statute. Sidor's request meets the requirements of section 735(a)(2)(A) because Sidor is the only Venezuelan exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, and the preliminary determination in this investigation was affirmative. Further, pursuant to section 733(d) and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), Sidor requested that the Department extend the period that provisional measures may remain in effect from four months to not more than six months (*i.e.*, suspension of liquidation). This notice serves to postpone this final determination for 60 days (*i.e.*, until no later than 135 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determination). Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This notice of postponement is published pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: January 18, 2000.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-1844 Filed 2-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-821-810

Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has suspended the antidumping duty investigation involving cold-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products ("cold-rolled steel") from the Russian Federation ("Russia"). The basis for this action is an agreement between the Department and the Ministry of Trade of the Russian Federation ("MOT") accounting for substantially all imports of cold-rolled steel from Russia, wherein the MOT has agreed to restrict exports of cold-rolled steel from all Russian producers/exporters to the United States and to ensure that such exports are sold at or above the agreed reference price.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 13, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Kemp or Maria Dybczak at (202) 482-4037 and (202) 482-5811, respectively, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 21, 1999, the Department initiated an antidumping duty investigation under section 732 of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether imports of cold-rolled steel from Russia are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (64 FR 34194). On July 16, 1999, the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") notified the Department of its affirmative preliminary finding of threat of material injury in this case (*see* ITC Investigation Nos. 701-TA-393-396 and 731-TA-829-840). On November 10, 1999, the Department published its preliminary determination that cold-rolled steel is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 733 of the Act (64 FR 61261).

The Department and MOT initialed a proposed agreement suspending this investigation on December 10, 1999, at which time we invited interested parties

to provide written comments on the agreement. We received comments from petitioners (Bethlehem Steel Corp., Gulf States Steel Inc., Ispat Inland Inc., LTV Steel Company, Inc., National Steel Corp., Steel Dynamics Inc., U.S. Steel Group (a Unit of USX Corp.), Weirton Steel Corporation, and Independent Steelworkers Union) on December 29, 1999. We have taken these comments into account in the final version of the suspension agreement.

The Department and MOT signed the final suspension agreement on January 13, 2000.

Scope of Investigation

For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, *see Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon Quality Steel Products from the Russian Federation*, Appendix III, signed January 13, 2000, attached hereto.

Suspension of Investigation

The Department consulted with the parties to the proceeding and has considered the comments submitted with respect to the proposed suspension agreement. In accordance with section 734(l) of the Act, we have determined that the agreement will prevent the suppression or undercutting of price levels of domestic products by imports of the merchandise under investigation (*see Price Suppression Memorandum*, dated January 13, 2000), that the agreement is in the public interest, and that the agreement can be monitored effectively (*see Public Interest Memorandum*, dated January 13, 2000). We find, therefore, that the criteria for suspension of an investigation pursuant to section 734(l) of the Act have been met. The terms and conditions of this agreement, signed January 13, 2000, are set forth in Appendix 1 to this notice.

Pursuant to section 734(f)(2)(A) of the Act, the suspension of liquidation of all entries of cold-rolled steel from Russia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, as directed in our notice of *Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon Quality Steel Products from the Russian Federation* (64 FR 61261 (November 10, 1999)), is hereby terminated.

Any cash deposits on entries of cold-rolled steel from Russia pursuant to that suspension of liquidation shall be refunded and any bonds shall be released.

This notice is published pursuant to section 734(f)(1)(A) of the Act.