

activities that could affect the results. NOAA prohibited fishing, diving, and stopping a vessel in the research area. NOAA prepared a final regulatory flexibility analysis for this rule when it was finalized.

ONMS invites comments on this rule, and will evaluate comments that would assist ONMS in conducting its RFA section 610 review. Unless we publish a document stating otherwise, ONMS will make the final report available at <http://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/library/alldocs.html>.

**John Armor,**

*Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2021–13495 Filed 6–28–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–NK–P**

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Chapter II

[Docket No. CP–21–1]

#### Petition Requesting Rulemaking on Duster Aerosol Products

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for comment on petition for rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) has received a petition requesting that the Commission initiate rulemaking to adopt a safety standard for duster aerosol products. The Commission invites written comments concerning the petition.

**DATES:** Submit comments by August 30, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by Docket No. CP–21–1, by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submissions:* Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. CPSC does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through <https://www.regulations.gov> and as described below. CPSC encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

*Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier Written Submissions:* Submit comments by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Division of the Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD

20814; telephone: (301) 504–7479. Alternatively, as a temporary option during the COVID–19 pandemic, you may email such submissions to: [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. CPSC may post all comments without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit electronically: Confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If you wish to submit such information, please submit it according to the instructions for mail/hand delivery/courier written submissions.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <https://www.regulations.gov>, and insert the docket number, CP–21–1, into the “Search” box, and follow the prompts.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alberta E. Mills, Division of the Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: 301–504–7479; email: [amills@cpsc.gov](mailto:amills@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 2, 2021, Families United Against Inhalant Abuse (FUAIA) (petitioner), submitted a petition requesting the Commission initiate rulemaking to adopt a mandatory CPSC safety standard to address the hazards associated with “duster” aerosol products used for cleaning electronics and other items and containing the chemical 1,1-Difluoroethane, or any derivative thereof. The Commission’s procedure for petitioning for rulemaking is described at 16 CFR part 1051.

The petitioner states that “duster” products are any hydrofluorocarbon propellant cleaner products intended for the purpose of cleaning electronic devices, photographic equipment, and any other items having areas where dust resides and is inaccessible by hand. The petitioner also states that such duster products contain hydrofluorocarbon propellant cleaner, such as 1,1-Difluoroethane, or a similar derivative. The petitioner notes that these duster products are sold under a variety of brand names and are widely available to consumers in various retail stores and online.

The petitioner states that when 1,1-Difluoroethane used in duster aerosol products is inhaled from the can (commonly called huffing), intoxication occurs rapidly, yet is very short-lived (4

to 5 minutes). According to the petitioner, inhalation of this chemical is acutely dangerous and causes immediate brain damage and possible Sudden Sniffing Death (SSD). The petitioner states that 22 percent of first-time duster inhalers die, and the majority of all duster-inhalant deaths are attributed to SSD.

After reviewing all of the data, the petitioner concludes that: (1) Duster inhalation in the United States is a “chronic problem”; (2) individuals of all ages, genders, ethnicities, and education, and socioeconomic levels are involved in the use of duster as an inhalant and are dying in large numbers throughout the United States; (3) there is an “unreasonable” risk of physical injury and death due to the inhalant use of duster products; and (4) current interventions (legislation, retail practices, manufacturer design) have been ineffective in resolving this problem.

The petitioner requests that CPSC promulgate a mandatory safety standard that includes the following:

- *A performance standard.* Require manufacturers to add an aversive (bitterant other than Denatonium Benzoate) to all duster aerosol cans at a level of 30–40 ppm. The duster can injection technology must be improved to ensure that the bitterant actually gets into the can and will also appear in the spray at the designated level.

- *Warning requirements.*<sup>1</sup> Place a “much stronger” warning on the can. An example of this warning could be: “**DANGER: DEATH—This product can kill you if you breathe it.**”

The Commission seeks comments concerning this petition.

The major factors the Commission considers in deciding whether to grant or deny a petition regarding a product include the following items:

(1) Whether the product involved presents an unreasonable risk of injury.

(2) Whether a rule is reasonably necessary to eliminate or reduce the risk of injury.

(3) Whether failure of the Commission to initiate the rulemaking proceeding requested would unreasonably expose the petitioner or other consumers to the risk of injury which the petitioner alleges is presented by the product. 16 CFR § 1051.9(a).

<sup>1</sup> The petitioner also requests that CPSC promulgate a provision in a standard that requires retailers to monitor and limit individuals from continually purchasing multiple cans of duster from their stores within a designated (1 month) period. Under Section 7 of the CPSA, the Commission may issue only performance requirements and requirements for warnings or instructions. Therefore, the Commission lacks authority to require these additional provisions.

In considering these factors, the Commission will treat as an important component of each one the relative priority of the risk of injury associated with the product about which the petition has been filed and the Commission's resources available for rulemaking activities with respect to that risk of injury. 16 CFR 1051.9(b).

The CPSC Policy on Establishing Priorities for Commission Action, 16 CFR 1009.8, sets forth the criteria upon which Commission priorities are based.

The petition is available on the CPSC website [https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Petition-from-Families-United-Against-Inhalant-Abuse-FUAIA.pdf?EYYcWmPdktNDi5NiVt8Vixck\\_.Sz.Mnf](https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Petition-from-Families-United-Against-Inhalant-Abuse-FUAIA.pdf?EYYcWmPdktNDi5NiVt8Vixck_.Sz.Mnf) or <http://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No. CP-21-1, Supporting and Related Materials. Alternatively, interested parties may obtain a copy of the petition by writing or calling the Division of the Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-6833.

**Alberta E. Mills,**

*Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021-13337 Filed 6-28-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 250

### Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

#### 30 CFR Part 550

[Docket ID BSEE-2019-0008, EEEE500000, 21XE1700DX, EX1SF0000.EAQ000]

**RIN 1082-AA01**

### Oil and Gas and Sulfur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf—Revisions to the Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) and Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI or Department), acting through BSEE and BOEM, hereby withdraws in its entirety the proposed rule published on December 9, 2020, entitled “Revisions to the Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf.”

**DATES:** As of the date of signature, June 23, 2021, the proposed rule published on December 9, 2020, at 85 FR 79266 is withdrawn.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For questions on any BSEE issues, contact Kirk Malstrom, BSEE, at [kirk.malstrom@bsee.gov](mailto:kirk.malstrom@bsee.gov) or at (703) 787-1751. For questions on any BOEM issues, contact Deanna Meyer-Pietruszka, BOEM, Chief, Office of Policy, Regulation, and Analysis, at [Deanna.Meyer-Pietruszka@boem.gov](mailto:Deanna.Meyer-Pietruszka@boem.gov) or by mail to 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 22040 or by calling (202) 208-6352.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 15, 2016, BSEE and BOEM promulgated a narrowly focused rule (see 2016 Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule at 81 FR 46478) revising the regulations applicable to exploratory drilling operations conducted during the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) open-water drilling season by drilling vessels and “jack-up rigs” (collectively known as mobile offshore drilling units or MODUs) in the Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea Planning Areas. The regulations promulgated through the 2016 Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule were intended to ensure that Arctic OCS exploratory drilling operations are conducted in a safe and responsible manner, while taking into account the unique conditions of the Arctic OCS as well as Alaska Natives’ cultural traditions and their need for access to subsistence resources.

E.O. 13795, “Implementing an America-First Offshore Energy Strategy” (82 FR 20815), issued on April 28, 2017, and S.O. 3350, “America-First Offshore Energy Strategy,” issued on May 1, 2017, directed BSEE and BOEM to undertake a review of the 2016 Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule. In response, on December 9, 2020, the Department published the proposed rule: “Oil and Gas and Sulfur Operations on the Outer Continental Shelf—Revisions to the Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf” (85 FR 79266) (2020 Proposed Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule). The proposed rule provided a 60-day public comment period, which closed February 8, 2021. After publication of the proposed rule, BSEE and BOEM received requests to extend the comment period for 60 days. BSEE and BOEM subsequently re-opened the comment period for an additional 60 days through a notice published in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 2021 (86 FR 8878). That comment period closed on April 9, 2021.

Since publication of the 2020 Proposed Arctic Exploratory Drilling

Rule, President Biden issued E.O. 13990, “Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis” (86 FR 7037, Jan. 25, 2021). E.O. 13990 establishes a policy to, among other things, promote and protect public health and the environment. Section 7 of the E.O. revoked E.O. 13795. Further, on April 16, 2021, Secretary Haaland issued S.O. 3398, which revoked S.O. 3350. The Department has decided it will not proceed with a final rule codifying any provisions of the 2020 Proposed Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule, and the proposed rule is hereby withdrawn. The withdrawal of the proposed rule does not preclude the Department from initiating the same or a similar rulemaking at a future date. Should the Department decide to undertake such a rulemaking, it will begin with a new proposed rule and provide new opportunities for comment.

**Laura Daniel-Davis,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.*

[FR Doc. 2021-13803 Filed 6-28-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-VH-P; 4310-MR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2019-0955]

**RIN 1625-AA09**

### Drawbridge Operation Regulation; New River, Fort Lauderdale, FL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** On July 13, 2020, the Coast Guard published a notification of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to change the operating schedule that governs the Florida East Coast (FEC) Railroad Bridge across the New River, mile 2.5, at Fort Lauderdale, Florida. This proposed change would allow the drawbridge to operate on a more predictable schedule. The Coast Guard is publishing this supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM) as considerable time has passed since the NPRM was published and minor modifications have been made to the proposed rule. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before July 29, 2021.