

facts otherwise available.” We have determined that, in not responding to the Department’s questionnaires, the PRC entity has not acted to the best of its ability and an adverse inference is warranted.²⁷ Thus, we have made an adverse inference that there were massive imports from the PRC entity over a relatively short period.

In this case, the HTS numbers listed in the scope of the investigation include both subject merchandise and non-subject merchandise, and thus, we were not able to distinguish the amounts of shipments accounted for by the mandatory and separate rate respondents from the amount of shipments accounted for by the PRC-wide entity with respect to subject merchandise.²⁸ Accordingly, we were not able to use the U.S. Census Bureau data to corroborate our adverse inference. However, as the SAA states, “The fact that corroboration may not be practicable in a given circumstance will not prevent the agencies from applying an adverse inference under subsection (b).”²⁹

We will issue a final determination concerning critical circumstances for all exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC when we issue our final determination in this investigation, which will be not later than July 7, 2008, the first business day after the statutory deadline of July 4, 2008.

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than three days after the publication of the preliminary determination of critical circumstances in this proceeding. Rebuttal briefs limited to issues raised in the aforementioned case briefs will be due no later than two days after the deadline date for case briefs.

Suspension of Liquidation

With respect to the PRC entity, we will direct CBP to suspend liquidation of all unliquidated entries of OTR tires from the PRC that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after November 22, 2007, which is 90 days prior to February 20, 2008, the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of our preliminary determination in this investigation. With respect to the mandatory

respondents, Guizhou Tyre, Starbright, TUTRIC and Xugong, and the separate-rate companies, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we will make no changes to our instructions to CBP with respect to the suspension of liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 20, 2008.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with Sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 11, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–8575 Filed 4–18–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration (A–580–816)

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 21, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Victoria Cho or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5075 and (202) 482–1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 23, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea. *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 73 FR 3925 (January 23, 2008). The final results are currently due no later than April 14, 2008.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review

within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that the case is extraordinarily complicated, it may extend the 90-day period to 150 days. Interested parties raised several complex issues pertaining to Haewon MSC Co., Ltd.’s cost of production and financial ratios that require a significant amount of analysis by the Department. Given the complex issues raised by the parties in their comments on our preliminary results, and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the final results of review to 150 days after the publication of the preliminary results. Therefore, as that day falls on a Saturday, the final results are now due no later than June 23, 2008, the next business day.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Dated: April 9, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–8570 Filed 4–18–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 88–10A16]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of application (#88–10A16) to amend the Export Trade Certificate of Review Issued to Wood Machinery Manufacturers of America.

SUMMARY: Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeffrey Anspacher, Director, Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or E-mail at oetca@ita.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in

²⁷ See Preliminary Determination.

²⁸ See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Japan, Part II*, 64 FR 30574, 30585 (June 8, 1999).

²⁹ See Statement of Administrative Action (SAA) accompanying the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, H. Doc. No. 316, 103d Cong., 2d Session, Vol. 1 (1994) at 870.