# **Proposed Rules**

### Federal Register

Vol. 69, No. 82

Wednesday, April 28, 2004

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-219-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** This action withdraws a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Boeing Model 737–100, -200, -200C, -300, -400, and -500 series airplanes. That action would have superseded an existing AD that currently requires repetitive inspections to find cracks, fractures, or corrosion of each carriage spindle of the left and right outboard mid-flaps; and corrective action, if necessary. That action would also have mandated the previously optional overhaul or replacement of the carriage spindles, which would have ended the repetitive inspections required by the existing AD. Since the issuance of the supplemental NPRM, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has issued another AD that adequately addresses the identified unsafe condition. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Hardwick, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 917–6457; fax (425) 917–6590.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes, was published as a

supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register on November 4, 2003 (68 FR 62409). The proposed rule would have superseded an existing AD that currently requires repetitive inspections to find cracks, fractures, or corrosion of each carriage spindle of the left and right outboard mid-flaps; and corrective action, if necessary. The proposed rule would also have mandated the previously optional overhaul or replacement of the carriage spindles, which would have ended the repetitive inspections required by the existing AD. That action was prompted by the FAA's determination to mandate the previously optional actions. The proposed actions were intended to prevent severe flap asymmetry due to fractures of the carriage spindles on an outboard mid-flap, which could result in reduced control or loss of controllability of the airplane.

# Actions That Occurred Since the Supplemental NPRM Was Issued

Since the issuance of that supplemental NPRM, the FAA received a report of an in-service incident, which involved a dual failure that had been considered extremely improbable when the supplemental NPRM was drafted. To address the immediate safety concerns of this dual failure, we issued airworthiness directive (AD) 2003-24-08, amendment 39–13377 (68 FR 67027, December 1, 2003), applicable to all Boeing Model 737–100, -200, -200C, -300, -400, and -500 series airplanes, to require repetitive inspections to find cracks, fractures, or corrosion of each carriage spindle of the left and right outboard mid-flaps; and corrective action, if necessary. That AD also provides for an optional action of overhaul or replacement of the carriage spindles, which would extend the repetitive inspection interval.

We are currently considering superseding AD 2003–24–08 to mandate the optional overhaul or replacement of the carriage spindles and to add a maximum flight cycle life of carriage spindles, in addition to continuing the repetitive inspections.

# **FAA's Conclusions**

Upon further consideration, the FAA has determined that the requirements of AD 2003–24–08 adequately addresses the identified unsafe condition specified in the supplemental NPRM.

Accordingly, the supplemental NPRM is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this supplemental NPRM constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another action in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

Since this action only withdraws a supplemental NPRM, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2002–NM–219–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on November 4, 2003 (68 FR 62409), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 20, 2004.

### Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 04–9593 Filed 4–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2004-17513; Airspace Docket No. 04-AEA-04]

# Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Cooperstown, NY

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to establish Class E airspace area at Cooperstown, NY. The development of a Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) based on area navigation (RNAV) to serve flights into Cooperstown-Westville Airport, Cooperstown, NY under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) has made this proposal necessary. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above

Ground Level (AGL) is needed to contain aircraft executing the approach. The area would be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 28, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You must identify the docket number FAA–2004–17513/ Airspace Docket No. 04–AEA–04 at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov.

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1–800–647–5527) is on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the above address.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Eastern Region, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, NY 11434–4809.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Francis T. Jordan, Jr., Airspace Specialist, Airspace Branch, AEA–520, Eastern Region, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, NY 11434–4809, telephone: (718) 553–4521.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, or views, arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA-2004-17513/Airspace Docket No. 04-AEA-04." The postcard will be date/time

stamped and returned to the commenter.

### **Availability of NPRMs**

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the Internet at <a href="http://dms.dot.gov">http://dms.dot.gov</a>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's Web page at <a href="http://www.faa.gov">http://www.faa.gov</a> or the Superintendent of Documents Web page at <a href="htt://www.access.gpo.gov/nara">htt://www.access.gpo.gov/nara</a>.

Additionally, any person may obtain a copy of this notice by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office Air Traffic Airspace Management, ATA-400, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–8783. Communications must identify both docket numbers for this notice. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should contact the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267-9677, to request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

# The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to establish Class E airspace area at Cooperstown, NY. The development of a SIAP to serve flights operating IFR into Cooperstown-Westville Airport makes this action necessary. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL is needed to accommodate aircraft using the SIAP. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9L, dated September 2, 2003, and effective September 16, 2003, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that would only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it

is certified that this proposed rule would not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporated by reference, Navigation (air).

### **The Proposed Amendment**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

## PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR Part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854; 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

### §71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 74009L, dated September 2, 2003, and effective September 16, 2003, is proposed to be amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

# AEA NY E5 Cooperstown, NY (NEW)

Cooperstown-Westville Airport,

Cooperstown, NY

(Lat. 42°37'45" N., long. 74°53'28" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of Cooperstown-Westville Airport, excluding that portion that coincides with the Oneonta, NY, Class E airspace area.

Issued in Jamaica, New York, on April 20, 2004.

#### John G. McCartney,

Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc. 04–9624 Filed 4–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[WV064-6033b; FRL-7652-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; West Virginia; Revision to the State Implementation Plan Addressing Sulfur Dioxide in Marshall County

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).