EIS No. 20240120, Draft, USAF, FL, Expansion of Childcare Services North of the Eglin Test and Training Complex, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida, Comment Period Ends: 08/ 26/2024, Contact: Nick Post 210–925– 3516.

EIS No. 20240121, Draft, BIA, CA, Koi Nation Shiloh Resort and Casino Project, Comment Period Ends: 08/26/ 2024, Contact: Chad Broussard 916– 978–6165.

EIS No. 20240122, Final, BOP, KY,
Proposed Development of a New
Federal Correctional Institution and
Federal Prison Camp—Letcher
County, KY, Review Period Ends: 08/
12/2024, Contact: Kimberly Hudson
202–616–2574.

EIS No. 20240123, Draft, USFS, ID, End of the World Project, Comment Period Ends: 08/26/2024, Contact: Jeffrey Shinn 208–839–2103.

Amended Notice

EIS No. 20240115, Final, USCG, TX, Texas Gulflink Deepwater Port License Application, Review Period Ends: 08/19/2024, Contact: Patrick Clark 202–372–1358.

Revision to FR Notice Published 7/5/2024; Correcting the Lead Agency from MARAD and USCG to only USCG and moving MARAD to a cooperating agency.

Dated: July 8, 2024.

Nancy Abrams,

Associate Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2024-15331 Filed 7-11-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0589; FRL-12042-01-OAR]

California State Motor Vehicle
Pollution Control Standards; Advanced
Clean Fleets Regulation; Request for
Waiver of Preemption and
Authorization; Opportunity for Public
Hearing and Public Comment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of opportunity for public hearing and comment.

SUMMARY: The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has notified the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that it has adopted Advanced Clean Fleets (ACF) regulations, applicable to affected state and local government fleets, drayage truck fleets, federal agency fleets, and large commercial

fleets that own, lease, or operate on-road medium-duty and heavy-duty vehicles, and light-duty package delivery vehicles, to incorporate zero-emitting vehicles beginning in 2024. The ACF regulations also require that all new California-certified medium- and heavyduty vehicle sales be zero-emitting vehicles starting in 2036. Elements of the ACF regulation apply to off-road engines and equipment, specifically offroad yard tractors. By letter dated November 15, 2023, CARB submitted a request that EPA grant a waiver of preemption under section 209(b) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the ACF onroad regulations and an authorization under section 209(e) of the CAA for the ACF off-road regulations. This notice announces that EPA has scheduled a public hearing concerning California's request and that EPA is accepting written comment on the request.

DATES:

Comments: Written comments must be received on or before September 16, 2024.

Public Hearing: EPA plans to hold a

virtual public hearing on August 14, 2024, regarding CARB's waiver and authorization request. See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for further information on the virtual public hearing and registration. Additional information regarding the virtual public hearing and this action can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/regulations-emissions-vehicles-and-engines/virtual-public-hearing-californias-advanced-

clean-fleet. ADDRESSES:

Comments: You may submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0589, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov (our preferred method). Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - Email: a-and-r-docket@epa.gov.
- *Mail:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center, OAR, Docket EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0589, Mail Cod 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of two copies.
- Hand Delivery or Courier (by scheduled appointment only): EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays).

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this action. Comments received may be

posted without change to https:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the process for these actions, see the "Public Participation" heading of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about confidential business information (CBI) or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https:// www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epadockets.

Public Hearing: The virtual public hearing will be held on August 14, 2024. The hearing will begin at 10 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time and will end when all parties who wish to speak have had an opportunity to do so. All hearing attendees, for those wishing to attend the hearing (including even those who do not intend to provide testimony), should register by August 7, 2024. Information on how to register for the virtual public hearing regarding the ACF waiver and authorization request can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/ regulations-emissions-vehicles-andengines/virtual-public-hearingcalifornias-advanced-clean-fleet.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Coryell, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Telephone number: (734) 214–4446; Email address: coryell.mark@epa.gov. Jeremy O'Kelly, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Telephone number: (202) 250–8884; Email address: okelly.jeremy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. CARB's Waiver and Authorization Request

CARB's November 15, 2023, letter to the Administrator notified EPA that CARB had finalized its ACF regulations. The ACF regulations, adopted by the Board on April 28, 2023 (approved by California's Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on September 29, 2023, and becoming effective October 1, 2023).¹ Detailed descriptions of the ACF regulatory provisions are provided in CARB's request to EPA, CARB's "Staff Report: Initial Statement of Reasons" (Staff Report), the Notices of Public Availability of Modified Text and Additional Documents and Information

¹The Advanced Clean Fleets regulations are comprised of new title 13, California Code of Regulations (Cal. Code Regs.) sections 2013 through 2013.4, sections 2014 through 2014.3, sections 2015 through 2015.6, and section 2016.

(Notices of Public Availability), and the Final Statement of Reasons (FSOR).²

CARB requests that EPA grant a new waiver for the ACF on-road regulatory program. CARB's request and waiver analysis includes "a description of California's rulemaking action, a review of the criteria governing EPA's evaluation of California's request for waiver and authorization action, [CARB's] analysis and rationale supporting [its] request, and supporting documents." ³ CARB's waiver analysis, set forth in its ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document, addresses how the ACF on-road regulations and CARB's waiver request meet each of the three waiver criteria in section 209(b)(1) of the CAA.4 For example, CARB explains how the ACF on-road regulations will not cause California motor vehicle emission standards, in the aggregate, to be less protective of public health and welfare than applicable Federal standards and that no basis exists for the Administrator of EPA to find that CARB's determination is arbitrary and capricious under section 209(b)(1)(A) of the CAA.5 CARB also explains how it continues to demonstrate California's need for a separate motor vehicle emission program, including the ACF on-road regulations contained in its waiver request, under section 209(b)(1)(B) of the CAA.6 Finally, CARB explains how the ACF on-road regulations in its waiver request meet the requirement in section 209(b)(1)(C), which requires California's regulations to be consistent with section 202(a) of the CAA.7

CARB also requests that EPA grant a new authorization for the ACF off-road regulatory program. CARB's authorization analysis, set forth in its ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document, addresses how the ACF off-road regulations and CARB's authorization request meet each of the three authorization criteria in section

209(e)(2)(A) of the CAA.8 For example, CARB explains how the ACF off-road regulations will not cause California offroad vehicle and equipment emission standards, in the aggregate, to be less protective of public health and welfare than applicable Federal standards and that no basis exists for the Administrator of EPA to find that CARB's determination is arbitrary and capricious under section 209(e)(2)(A)(1) of the CAA.9 CARB also explains how it continues to demonstrate California's need for a separate off-road vehicle and equipment emission program, including the ACF off-road regulations contained in its authorization request, under section 209(e)(2)(A)(2) of the CAA.10 Finally, CARB explains how the ACF off-road regulations in its authorization request meet the requirement in section 209(e)(2)(A)(3), which requires California's regulations to be consistent with section 209 of the CAA.¹¹

II. Scope of Preemption and Criteria for a Waiver and Authorization Under the Clean Air Act

Section 209(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7543(a), provides:

No State or any political subdivision thereof shall adopt or attempt to enforce any standard relating to the control of emissions from new motor vehicles or new motor vehicle engines subject to this part. No state shall require certification, inspection or any other approval relating to the control of emissions from any new motor vehicle or new motor vehicle engine as condition precedent to the initial retail sale, titling (if any), or registration of such motor vehicle, motor vehicle engine, or equipment.

Section 209(b) of the Act requires the Administrator, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, to waive application of the prohibitions of section 209(a) for any state that has adopted standards (other than crankcase emission standards) for the control of emissions from new motor vehicles or new motor vehicle engines prior to March 30, 1966, if the state determines that the state standards will be, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as applicable Federal standards. California is the only state that is qualified to seek and receive a waiver under section 209(b).12 Section

209(b)(1) requires the Administrator to grant a waiver unless he finds that (A) the determination of the state is arbitrary and capricious, (B) the state does not need the state standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions, or (C) the state standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a) of the Act. Previous decisions granting waivers of Federal preemption for motor vehicles have stated that State standards are inconsistent with section 202(a) if there is inadequate lead time to permit the development of the necessary technology giving appropriate consideration to the cost of compliance within that time period or if the Federal and State test procedures impose inconsistent certification procedures.¹³

Section 209(e)(1) of the CAA prohibits all states and local governments from adopting or attempting to enforce any standard or other requirement relating to the control of emissions from certain types of new nonroad engines or nonroad vehicles, including both "(A) New engines which are used in construction equipment or vehicles or used in farm equipment or vehicles and which are smaller than 175 horsepower" and "(B) New locomotives or new engines used in locomotives." 14 Section 209(e)(2)(A) of the CAA, however, requires the Administrator, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, to authorize California to adopt and enforce standards and other requirements relating to the control of emissions from nonroad engines and vehicles otherwise not prohibited under section 209(e)(1) if California determines that California standards will be, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as are applicable Federal standards. However, the EPA shall not grant such authorization if it finds that (1) the determination of California is arbitrary and capricious; (2) California does not need such California standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions; or (3) California standards and accompanying enforcement

² CARB's Waiver and Authorization Support Document at 6–15 (EPA Docket: EPA–HQ–OAR– 2023–0589). The Staff Report, Notices of Public Availability, and FSOR are also located in EPA's docket.

³ Letter from Steven S. Cliff, CARB, dated November 15, 2023, EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0589. The ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document, attached to the letter from Mr. Cliff, are both available at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0589.

⁴ The ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document provides a summary of the adopted regulations, a brief history of similar regulations, and an analysis of the adopted regulations under the waiver criteria in section 209(b)(1) of the CAA.

⁵ ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document at 21–24.

⁶ Id. at 32-30.

⁷ Id. at 30–45.

⁸ The ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document provides a summary of the adopted ACF off-road regulations and an analysis of the adopted regulation under the authorization criteria in section 209(e)(2)(A) of the CAA.

⁹ ACF Waiver and Authorization Support Document at 45.

¹⁰ Id. at 46-47.

¹¹ Id. at 47-49.

^{12 &}quot;The language of the statute and its legislative history indicate that California's regulations, and

California's determination that they comply with the statute, when presented to the Administrator are presumed to satisfy the waiver requirements and that the burden of proving otherwise is on whoever attacks them." *Motor and Equipment Mfrs. Ass'n* v. *EPA*, 627 F.2d 1095, 1121 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

¹³ To be consistent, the California certification procedures need not be identical to the Federal certification procedures. California procedures would be inconsistent, however, if manufacturers would be unable to meet the State and the Federal requirements with the same test vehicle in the course of the same test. *See, e.g.,* 43 FR 32182 (July 25, 1978).

^{14 42} U.S.C. 7543(e)(1).

procedures are not consistent with CAA section 209].15

On July 20, 1994, the EPA promulgated a rule that sets forth, among other things, regulations providing the criteria, as found in section 209(e)(2)(A), that the EPA must consider before granting any California authorization request for nonroad engine or vehicle emission standards.¹⁶ The EPA revised these regulations in 1997.¹⁷ The criteria for granting California authorization requests, as reflected in section 209(e)(2)(A), can be found at 40 CFR 1074.105.

As stated in the preamble to the 1994 rule, the EPA has historically interpreted the section 209(e)(2)(A)(iii) "consistency" inquiry (see 40 CFR 1074.105(b)(3)) to require, at minimum, that California standards and enforcement procedures be consistent with section 209(a), section 209(e)(1), and section 209(b)(1)(C) (as the EPA has interpreted that subsection in the context of section 209(b) motor vehicle waivers).18

In order to be consistent with section 209(a), California's nonroad standards and enforcement procedures must not apply to new motor vehicles or new motor vehicle engines. To be consistent with section 209(e)(1), California's nonroad standards and enforcement procedures must not attempt to regulate engine categories that are permanently preempted from state regulation (such as ". . . any standard or other requirement relating to the control of emissions from . . . (A) New engines which are used in construction equipment or vehicles or used in farm equipment or vehicles and which are smaller than 175 horsepower. (B) New locomotives or new engines used in locomotives.").

To determine consistency with section 209(b)(1)(C), EPA typically reviews nonroad authorization requests under the same "consistency" criteria that are applied to motor vehicle waiver requests. Pursuant to section 209(b)(1)(C), the Administrator shall not grant California a motor vehicle waiver if he finds that California "standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a)" of the Act. Previous decisions granting waivers and authorizations have noted that state standards and enforcement procedures are inconsistent with section 202(a) if:

(1) there is inadequate lead time to permit the development of the necessary technology giving appropriate consideration to the cost of compliance within that time, or (2) the federal and state testing procedures impose inconsistent certification requirements.19

III. EPA's Request for Comments

When EPA receives new waiver or authorization requests from CARB, EPA traditionally publishes a notice of opportunity for public hearing and comment and then, after the comment period has closed, publishes a notice of its decision in the Federal Register.

EPA invites comment on the following three waiver criteria as applicable to CARB's ACF on-road regulations: whether (a) California's determination that its motor vehicle emission standards are, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as applicable Federal standards is arbitrary and capricious, (b) California needs such standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions, and (c) California's standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are consistent with section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act.

With regard to section 209(b)(1)(B), EPA must grant a waiver request unless the Agency finds that California "does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions." EPA has interpreted the phrase "need[s] such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions" to mean that California needs a separate motor vehicle program as a whole in order to address environmental problems caused by conditions specific to California and/or effects unique to California (the "traditional" interpretation).20 EPA intends to use this traditional interpretation in evaluating California's need for the ACF regulations under section 209(b)(1)(B).

With regard to section 209(b)(1)(C), EPA must grant a waiver request unless the Agency finds that California's standards are not consistent with section 202(a). EPA has previously

stated that consistency with section 202(a) requires that California's standards must be technologically feasible within the lead time provided, giving due consideration to costs, and that California and applicable Federal test procedures be consistent.²¹

We also request comment on whether the ACF off-road regulations meet the criteria for an EPA authorization. Specifically, we request comment on: (a) whether CARB's determination that its standards, in the aggregate, are at least as protective of public health and welfare as applicable Federal standards is arbitrary and capricious, (b) whether California needs such standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions, and (c) whether California's standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are consistent with section 209 of the Act. As explained above, the EPA considers several provisions with regard to the consistency with section 209 of the Act criterion.

IV. Procedures for Public Participation

The virtual public hearing will be held on August 14, 2024. The hearing will begin at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) and end when all parties who wish to speak have had an opportunity to do so.

All hearing attendees (including even those who do not intend to provide testimony), should register for the public hearing by August 7, 2024. Information on how to register for the virtual public hearing regarding the ACF waiver and authorization request can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/ regulations-emissions-vehicles-andengines/virtual-public-hearingcalifornias-advanced-clean-fleet. If you require the services of a translator or special accommodations such as American Sign Language, please preregister for the hearing and describe your needs by August 7, 2024. The EPA may not be able to arrange accommodations without advance notice.

^{15 42} U.S.C. 7543(e)(2)(A).

^{16 59} FR 36969 (July 20, 1994).

 $^{^{17}\,62}$ FR 67733 (December 30, 1997). The preemption regulations were later transcribed at 40 CFR part 1074; see 73 FR 59034 (October 8, 2008). ¹⁸ 59 FR 36969 (July 20, 1994).

¹⁹ 78 FR 58090, 58092 (September 20, 2013).

 $^{^{20}\,}See,\,e.g.,\,81\;\mathrm{FR}$ 78149, 78153 (November 7. 2016); 81 FR 95982, 95985-86 (December 29, 2016). EPA recently found and confirmed, in the Agency's reconsideration of a previous withdrawal of a waiver of preemption for CARB's Advanced Clean Car program, that the traditional interpretation of section 209(b)(1)(B) was appropriate and continues to be a better interpretation. 87 FR 14332, 14367 (March 14, 2022). CARB's November 15, 2023, waiver request addresses both the traditional and an alternative interpretation wherein the need for the specific standards in the waiver request would be evaluated.

 $^{^{21}\,}See,\,e.g.,\,81$ FR 78149, 78153–54 (November 7, 2016) ("EPA has previously stated that the determination is limited to whether those opposed to the waiver have met their burden of establishing that California's standards are technologically infeasible, or that California's test procedures impose requirements inconsistent with the federal test procedure. Infeasibility would be shown here by demonstrating that there is inadequate lead time to permit the development of technology necessary to meet the 2013 HD OBD New or Stricter Requirements that are subject to the waiver request, giving appropriate consideration to the cost of compliance within that time." (citing 38 FR 30136 (November 1, 1973) and 40 FR 30311 (July 18, 1975)); 81 FR 95982, 95986 (December 29, 2016); 70 FR 50322 (August 26, 2005).

Please note that any updates made to any aspect of the ACF waiver and authorization hearing will be posted online at: https://www.epa.gov/ regulations-emissions-vehicles-andengines/virtual-public-hearingcalifornias-advanced-clean-fleet.While the EPA expects the hearing to go forward as set forth above, please monitor the hearing website or contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section to determine if there are any updates. The EPA does not intend to publish a document in the Federal Register announcing updates.

Each commenter will have 3 minutes to provide oral testimony. The EPA may ask clarifying questions during the oral presentations but will not respond to the presentations at that time. The EPA recommends submitting the text of your oral comments as written comments to the docket. Written statements and supporting information submitted during the comment period will be considered with the same weight as oral comments and supporting information presented at the public hearing for the respective authorization request. The Agency will make a verbatim record of the proceedings at the hearing that will be placed in the docket. The EPA will keep the record open until September 16, 2024. After expiration of the comment period, the Administrator will render a decision on CARB's request based on the record of the public hearing, relevant written submissions, and other information that he deems pertinent.

William Charmley,

Director, Assessment and Standards Division, Office of Transportation and Air Quality. [FR Doc. 2024–15343 Filed 7–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Study and Report to Congress on the Impact on Consumers and Markets in the United States of a Final International Insurance Capital Standard

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and Federal Insurance Office, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of commencement of report drafting.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) and the Federal Insurance Office (FIO) of the Department of the Treasury (together,

the agencies) are providing notice that the agencies intend to commence drafting a report to Congress on the impact on consumers and markets in the United States before supporting or consenting to the adoption of any final international insurance capital standard. This report is contemplated by section 211(c)(3) of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (EGRRCPA).

DATES: The agencies intend to commence drafting the report after July 12, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Board: Lara Lylozian, Deputy Associate Director and Chief Accountant, (202) 475-6656; or Matt Walker, Manager, Insurance Supervision & Regulation, (202) 872-4971, Division of Supervision and Regulation; or Dafina Stewart, Deputy Associate General Counsel, (202) 452–2677; Andrew Hartlage, Special Counsel, (202) 452-6483; Jonah Kind, Senior Counsel, (202) 452-2045; or Jasmin Keskinen, Senior Attorney, (202) 475-6650, Legal Division, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551. For users of TTY-TRS, please call 711 from any telephone, anywhere in the United States.

Treasury: Krishna Kundu, Senior Insurance Regulatory Policy Analyst, FIO, (202) 622–2753; or Mark Schlegel, Senior Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Department of the Treasury, (202) 622–1027, Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 211(c)(3)(A) of EGRRCPA,1 the Secretary of the Treasury (the Secretary), the Chair of the Board (the Chair), and the Director of FIO must, in consultation with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, complete a study on, and submit to Congress a report on the results of the study, the impact on consumers and markets in the United States before supporting or consenting to the adoption of any final international insurance capital standard. In addition, under section 211(c)(3)(B)(i) of EGRRCPA, the Secretary, the Chair, and the Director of FIO must provide public notice before the date on which drafting a report required under subparagraph (A) is commenced.²

As background, the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS) is developing the Insurance Capital Standard (ICS) as a consolidated group-wide capital standard for internationally active insurance groups, for the purposes of creating a common language for supervisory discussions of group solvency and enhancing global convergence among group capital standards.³ The IAIS also is assessing whether the Aggregation Method under development by the United States provides comparable outcomes to the ICS, and if so, will be considered an outcome-equivalent approach for implementation of the ICS as a prescribed capital requirement.⁴

The agencies hereby give notice that they intend to commence drafting the report contemplated in section 211(c)(3)(A) after July 12, 2024.

Kayla Arslanian,

 $\label{eq:continuous} \textit{Executive Secretary, Department of the} \\ \textit{Treasury.}$

Steven E. Seitz.

Director, Federal Insurance Office, Department of the Treasury.

Benjamin W. McDonough,

Deputy Secretary and Ombuds of the Board. [FR Doc. 2024–15348 Filed 7–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P; 4810-AK-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Model State Plan Applications (OMB No. 0970–0382)

AGENCY: Office of Community Services, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of Community Services (OCS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF) requests a 3-year extension of the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) State Plan, CSBG Eligible Entity Master List, and the American Customer Survey Index (ACSI) forms (OMB #0970–0382, expiration 8/31/2024). There are no changes requested to these information collections.

¹ 31 U.S.C. 313 note.

² *Id*.

³ International Association of Insurance Supervisors, https://www.iaisweb.org/activitiestopics/standard-setting/insurance-capitalstandard/.

⁴IAIS statement, "The IAIS begins the AM comparability assessment," October 17, 2023, https://www.iaisweb.org/uploads/2023/10/IAIS-statement-IAIS-begins-the-AM-comparability-assessment.pdf.