

made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4231 *et seq.* (NEPA, as amended) and 40 CFR 1506.6.

William Y. Brown,

Chief Environmental Officer, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2022–16647 Filed 8–4–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1209 (Enforcement)]

Certain Movable Barrier Operator Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a formal enforcement proceeding relating to the limited exclusion order (“LEO”) and cease and desist order (“CDO”) (collectively, “the remedial orders”) issued against The Chamberlain Group, LLC (formerly, The Chamberlain Group, Inc.) (“Chamberlain”) on February 9, 2022, and modified on March 30, 2022, in the above-referenced investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Houda Morad, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–4716. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 10, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Overhead Door Corporation of Lewisville, Texas and GMI Holdings Inc. of Mount Hope, Ohio (collectively, “OHD”). See 85 FR 48264–65 (Aug. 10, 2020). The complaint, as

supplemented, alleged a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain movable barrier operator systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,970,345; 7,173,516 (“the ‘516 patent”); 7,180,260; 9,483,935 (“the ‘935 patent”); 7,956,718 (“the ‘718 patent”); and 8,410,895 (“the ‘895 patent”). See *id.* The notice of investigation named Chamberlain of Oak Brook, Illinois as the respondent in this investigation. See *id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was not a party to the investigation. See *id.*

On February 10, 2021, the Commission terminated the investigation as to the ‘516 patent based on the withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint as to that patent. See Order No. 10 (Jan. 19, 2021), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Feb. 10, 2021).

On February 9, 2022, the Commission issued a final determination finding a violation of section 337 based on Chamberlain’s infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘935, ‘718, and ‘895 patents. See 87 FR 8605–06 (Feb. 15, 2022). The Commission further determined to: (1) issue an LEO against Chamberlain’s infringing products and a CDO against Chamberlain; and (2) set a bond during the period of Presidential review in the amount of one hundred (100) percent of the entered value of the infringing articles. See *id.*

On March 30, 2022, the Commission issued modified remedial orders to confirm that the covered products or articles in the remedial orders include garage door openers, gate operators, and commercial operators. See 87 FR 19709–10 (Apr. 5, 2022).

On July 1, 2022, OHD filed a complaint requesting that the Commission institute an enforcement proceeding under Commission Rule 210.75 (19 CFR 210.75) to investigate alleged violations of the remedial orders by Chamberlain.

Having examined the enforcement complaint and the supporting documents, the Commission has determined to institute a formal enforcement proceeding, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.75(a) (19 CFR 210.75(a)), to determine whether violations of the remedial orders, issued on February 9, 2022, and modified on March 30, 2022, in the above-referenced investigation, have occurred and to determine what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The named respondent is Chamberlain. OUII is also named as a party. In the Order issued concurrently herewith, the Commission

has delegated this enforcement proceeding to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for designation of a presiding Administrative Law Judge to conduct any necessary proceedings, issue an Enforcement Initial Determination, and make a recommendation on appropriate enforcement measures, if any.

The Commission’s vote on this determination took place on August 1, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 1, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–16789 Filed 8–4–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1259]

Certain Toner Supply Containers and Components Thereof (I); Notice of Commission Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in this investigation and has issued a general exclusion order (“GEO”) prohibiting the importation of certain infringing toner supply containers and components thereof, as well as cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against certain defaulting respondents. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynde Herzbach, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3228. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission

may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 13, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on a complaint filed by Canon Inc. of Tokyo, Japan; Canon U.S.A., Inc. of Melville, New York; and Canon Virginia, Inc. of Newport News, Virginia (collectively, "Complainants"). See 86 FR 19284-86. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale after importation into the United States of certain toner supply containers and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,209,667 ("the '667 patent"); 10,289,060 ("the '060 patent"); 10,289,061 ("the '061 patent"); 10,295,957 ("the '957 patent"); 10,488,814 ("the '814 patent"); 10,496,032 ("the '032 patent"); 10,496,033 ("the '033 patent"); 10,514,654 ("the '654 patent"); 10,520,881 ("the '881 patent"); 10,520,882 ("the '882 patent"); 8,565,649; 9,354,551; and 9,753,402. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.*

The Commission instituted two separate investigations based on the complaint and defined the scope of the present investigation as whether there is a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of toner supply containers and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims the '667 patent, the '060 patent, the '061 patent, the '957 patent, the '814 patent, the '032 patent, the '033 patent, the '654 patent, the '881 patent, and the '882 patent (collective, "the Asserted Patents"). *Id.*

The notice of investigation ("NOI") names twenty-six respondents, including twenty-two later found in default: (1) Sichuan XingDian Technology Co., Ltd. ("Sichuan XingDian") of Sichuan, China; (2) Sichuan Wiztuner Technology Co., Ltd. ("Sichuan Wiztuner") of Sichuan, China; (3) Copier Repair Specialists, Inc. ("Copier Repair Specialists") of Lewisville, Texas; (4) Digital Marketing Corporation d/b/a Digital Buyer Marketing Company ("Digital Buyer") of Los Angeles, California; (5) Ink Technologies Printer Supplies, LLC

("Ink Tech") of Dayton, Ohio; (6) Kuhlmann Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Precision Roller ("Precision Roller") of Phoenix, Arizona; (7) NAR Cartridges of Burlingame, California; (8) Zhuhai Henyun Image Co., Ltd. ("Zhuhai Henyun") of Zhuhai, China; (9) Zinyaw LLC d/b/a TonerPirate.com and Supply District ("Zinyaw") of Houston, Texas; (10) Do It Wiser, Inc. d/b/a Image Toner ("Do It Wiser") of Wilmington, Delaware; (11) MITOCOLOR INC. ("MITOCOLOR") of Rowland Heights, California; (12) Anhuiyatengshang maoyouxiangongsi ("Yatengshang") of Ganyuqu, China; (13) ChengDuXiang ChangNanShiYouSheBeiYou XianGongSi ("ChengDuXiang") of SiChuanSheng, China; (14) Hefeier landianzishangwuyouxiangongsi ("Erlandianzishang") of Chengdushi, China; (15) Xianshi yanliangqu canqiubaihuodianshanghang ("CJ-us") of Shanxisheng, China; (16) Ninestar Corporation of Guangdong, China; (17) Ninestar Image Tech Limited ("Ninestar Image") of Guangdong, China; (18) Ninestar Technology Company, Ltd. ("Ninestar Tech") of Chino, California (where Ninestar Corporation, Ninestar Image, and Ninestar Tech are collectively, "Ninestar Respondents"); (19) Static Control Components, Inc. ("Static Control") of Sanford, North Carolina; (20) Easy Group, LLC ("Easy Group") of Irwindale, California; (21) LD Products, Inc. ("LD Products") of Long Beach, California; and (22) The Supplies Guys, Inc. ("Supplies Guys") of Lancaster, Pennsylvania; (collectively, "Defaulting Respondents"). *Id.* The NOI also names the following respondents who were previously terminated from the investigation: General Plastic Industrial Co. Ltd. ("General Plastic") of Taichung, Taiwan; Katun Corporation ("Katun") of Minneapolis, Minnesota; Sun Data Supply, Inc. ("Sun Data Supply") of Los Angeles, California; and Shenzhen Keluodeng Kejiyouxiangongsi ("KenoGen") of Guangdong, China. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUI") is also a party to the investigation. *Id.*

The complaint and NOI were later amended to correct the name of originally-identified respondent Do It Wiser, LLC d/b/a Image Toner to Do It Wiser, Inc. d/b/a Image Toner. Order No. 5 (May 13, 2021), *unreviewed by* 86 FR 29292-93 (June 1, 2021).

The Commission previously found the Ninestar Respondents, Static Control, Easy Group, LD Products, and Supplies Guys in default. Order No. 7 (June 22, 2021), *unreviewed by* Notice (July 6, 2021). The Commission also previously found respondents Sichuan XingDian,

Sichuan Wiztuner, Copier Repair Specialists, Digital Buyer, Ink Tech, Precision Roller, NAR Cartridges, Zhuhai Henyun, Zinyaw, Do It Wiser, MITOCOLOR, Yatengshang, ChengDuXiang, Erlandianzishang, and CJ-us in default. Order No. 18 (Sept. 28, 2021), *unreviewed by* Notice (Oct. 27, 2021).

The Commission previously terminated respondents General Plastic, Katun, and Sun Data Supply from the investigation pursuant to consent order stipulations. Order No. 10 (July 1, 2021), *unreviewed by* Notice (July 19, 2021). The Commission further terminated respondent KenoGen from the investigation based on partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 13, *unreviewed by* Notice (Aug. 25, 2021).

The Commission also previously terminated investigation as to certain claims of the Asserted Patents. Order No. 11, *unreviewed by* Notice (Aug. 25, 2021).

On October 1, 2021, Canon filed a motion seeking summary determination that the Defaulting Respondents have violated section 337 and requesting that the ALJ recommend that the Commission issue a GEO and CDOs against certain respondents, and set a 100 percent bond for any importations of infringing goods during the period of Presidential review. On October 12, 2021, OUI filed a response supporting Canon's motion and requested remedial relief. None of the Defaulting Respondents filed a response to Canon's motion.

On May 15, 2022, the presiding Chief Administrative Law Judge ("CALJ") issued an initial determination ("ID") granting Canon's motion and finding violations of section 337 by the Defaulting Respondents. Specifically, the ID finds that: (i) the Commission has subject matter, personal, and in rem jurisdiction in this investigation; (ii) Canon has standing to assert the Asserted Patents; (iii) Canon has satisfied the importation requirement as to all Defaulting Respondents; (iv) the accused products practice claim 1 of the '667 patent; claim 1 of the '060 patent; claim 1 of the '061 patent; claim 1 of the '957 patent; claims 1 and 12 of the '814 patent; claims 50, 58, and 61 of the '032 patent; claims 1 and 13 of the '033 patent; claims 46 and 50 of the '654 patent; claims 1, 10, and 13 of the '881 patent; and claims 1 and 8 of the '882 patent; (v) Canon has satisfied the technical prong of the DI requirement with respect to the Asserted Patents; (vi) Canon has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement with respect to the Asserted Patents; and (vii) no claim of

the Asserted Patents has been shown invalid. The CALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding recommended that the Commission: (i) issue a GEO, (ii) issue CDOs against respondents Ninestar Tech, Static Control, Copier Repair Specialists, Digital Buyer, Do It Wiser, Easy Group, Ink Tech, Precision Roller, LD Products, NAR Cartridges, Supplies Guys, MITOCOLOR, Zinyaw, Ninestar Corporation, Ninestar Image, Sichuan XingDian, Sichuan Wiztoner, Yatengshang, ChengDuXiang, and Erlandianzishang, and (iii) set a 100 percent bond for any importations of infringing products during the period of Presidential review. No party petitioned for review of the subject ID.

The Commission did not receive any submissions on the public interest from the parties pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) (19 CFR 210.50(a)(4)). The Commission received one submission on the public interest from a member of the public in response to the Commission's **Federal Register** notice. 87 FR 16230–31 (March 22, 2022).

On April 29, 2022, the Commission determined to review the ID in part. 87 FR 26783–85 (May 5, 2022). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the ID's findings with respect to whether terminated respondent Sun Data Supply has satisfied the importation requirement and the ID's analysis of the economic prong of domestic industry requirement. *Id.* The Commission further requested briefing on remedy, bonding, and the public interest. *Id.*

On May 13, 2022, Canon and OUII filed initial written responses to the Commission's request for briefing. On May 19, 2022, OUII filed its reply submission. On May 20, 2022, Canon filed its reply submission.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the ID and Canon's and OUII's submissions, the Commission has found a violation of section 337 with respect to Defaulting Respondents. The Commission vacates the ID's findings with respect to whether terminated respondent Sun Data Supply has satisfied the importation requirement. The Commission affirms, with modified analysis, the ID's findings that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied under section 337(a)(3)(A) and (B). *See* 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(A), (B). (Commissioner Kearns finds the economic prong satisfied under section 337(a)(3)(A) and takes no position with respect to section 337(a)(3)(B)). (Commissioner Stayin does not join the

Commission's analysis, but joins the Commission's determination that Canon has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement.) The Commission also corrects two typographical errors on pages 71 and 80 of the ID, as explained in the Commission's opinion.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy in this investigation is: (1) a GEO prohibiting the unlicensed entry of certain toner supply containers and components thereof that infringe one or more of claim 1 of the '667 patent; claim 1 of the '060 patent; claim 1 of the '061 patent; claim 1 of the '957 patent; claims 1 and 12 of the '814 patent; claims 50, 58, and 61 of the '032 patent; claims 1 and 13 of the '033 patent; claims 46 and 50 of the '654 patent; claims 1, 10, and 13 of the '881 patent; or claims 1 and 8 of the '882 patent; and (2) CDOs against Ninestar Tech, Static Control, Copier Repair Specialists, Digital Buyer, Do It Wiser, Easy Group, Ink Tech, Precision Roller, LD Products, NAR Cartridges, Supplies Guys, MITOCOLOR, Zinyaw, Ninestar Corporation, Ninestar Image, Sichuan XingDian, Sichuan Wiztoner, Yatengshang, ChengDuXiang, and Erlandianzishang. The Commission finds that the public interest factors do not preclude issuance of the requested relief. *See* 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1). The Commission has also determined that the bond during the period of Presidential review shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the Accused Products that are subject to the GEO and CDOs. *See* 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

The Commission's reasoning in support of its determinations is set forth more fully in its opinion. The Commission's opinion and orders were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance. The investigation is terminated.

While temporary remote operating procedures are in place in response to COVID–19, the Office of the Secretary is not able to serve parties that have not retained counsel or otherwise provided a point of contact for electronic service. Accordingly, pursuant to Commission Rules 201.16(a) and 210.7(a)(1) (19 CFR 201.16(a), 210.7(a)(1)), the Commission orders that the Complainant complete service for any party without a method of electronic service noted on the attached Certificate of Service and shall file proof of service on the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS).

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 1, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 1, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–16788 Filed 8–4–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Advisory Committees on Appellate, Bankruptcy, Civil, and Evidence Rules; Hearings of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Advisory Committees on Appellate, Bankruptcy, Civil, and Evidence Rules; notice of proposed amendments and open hearings.

DATES: All written comments and suggestions with respect to the proposed amendments may be submitted on or after the opening of the period for public comment on August 15, 2022, but no later than February 16, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted electronically, following the instructions provided on the website. All comments submitted will be posted on the website and available to the public.

Public hearings either virtually or in person are scheduled on the proposed amendments as follows:

- Appellate Rules on October 13, 2022 and January 5, 2023;
- Bankruptcy Rules on January 6, 2023 and January 13, 2023;
- Civil Rules on October 12, 2022 and January 5, 2023; and
- Evidence Rules on January 20, 2023 and January 27, 2023.

Those wishing to testify must contact the Secretary of the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure by email at: RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov, at least 30 days before the hearing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. Thomas Byron III, Esq., Chief Counsel, Rules Committee Staff, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle NE, Suite 7–300, Washington, DC 20544, Phone (202) 502–1820, RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov.