

Branch (5 CFR part 2635), and Executive Order 12674 (as modified by Executive Order 12731). Management and support services shall be provided by the Economics and Statistics Administration.

IV. Compensation

Membership is under voluntary circumstances and therefore members do not receive compensation for service on the Commerce Data Advisory Council. Members shall receive per diem and travel expenses as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5703, as amended, for persons employed intermittently in the Government service. Members who are officers or employees of the United States Government shall not receive compensation for service on the Council.

V. Nominations

The Secretary will consider nominations of all qualified individuals to ensure that the CDAC includes the areas of subject matter expertise noted above (see "Structure"). Individuals may nominate themselves or other individuals, and professional associations and organizations may nominate one or more qualified persons for membership on the CDAC. Nominations shall state that the nominee is willing to serve as a member of the Council.

A nomination package should include the following information for each nominee: (1) A letter of nomination stating the name, affiliation, and contact information for the nominee, the basis for the nomination (i.e., what specific attributes recommend him/her for service in this capacity), and the nominee's field(s) of expertise; (2) a biographical sketch of the nominee and a copy of his/her curriculum vitae; and (3) the name, return address, email address, and daytime telephone number at which the nominator can be contacted.

The Department of Commerce has special interest in assuring that women, minority groups, and the physically disabled are adequately represented on advisory committees; and therefore, extends particular encouragement to nominations for appropriately qualified female, minority, or disabled candidates. The Department of Commerce also encourages geographic diversity in the composition of the Council. All nomination information should be provided in a single, complete package within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Interested applicants should send their nomination package to the email or postal address provided above.

Potential candidates will be asked to provide detailed information concerning financial interests, consultancies, research grants, and/or contracts that might be affected by recommendations of the Council to permit evaluation of possible sources of conflicts of interest. Finally, nominees will be required to certify that they are not subject to the Foreign Agents Registration Act (22 U.S.C. 611) or the Lobbying Disclosure Act (2 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.).

Dated: November 3, 2014.

Austin Durrer,

Chief of Staff for Under Secretary for Economic Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2014-26778 Filed 11-14-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-138-2014]

Foreign-Trade Zone 245—Decatur, Illinois; Application for Subzone; Schumacher Electric Corporation; Hoopeston, Illinois

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Economic Development Corporation of Decatur and Macon County, grantee of FTZ 245, requesting subzone status for the facility of Schumacher Electric Corporation (Schumacher), located in Hoopeston, Illinois. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on November 12, 2014.

The proposed subzone (4.8 acres) is located at 1025 E. Thompson Avenue, Hoopeston, Vermilion County. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time. The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 245.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 29, 2014. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 12, 2015.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov or (202) 482-0473.

Dated: November 12, 2014.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-27196 Filed 11-14-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-850]

Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 23, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe (over 4 1/2 inches) from Japan for the period of review (POR) of June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013. For these final results, we continue to find that Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. (SMI) failed to cooperate to the best of its ability and, accordingly, the Department is applying AFA to SMI. In addition, we find that no shipments were made by JFE Steel Corporation (JFE), Nippon Steel Corporation (Nippon), and NKK Tubes (NKK) during the POR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 17, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2778.

Background

On July 23, 2014, the Department published the preliminary results of the

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe from Japan.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are large diameter seamless carbon and alloy (other than stainless) steel standard, line, and pressure pipes produced, or equivalent, to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) A-53, ASTM A-106, ASTM A-333, ASTM A-334, ASTM A-589, ASTM A-795, and the American Petroleum Institute (API) 5L specifications and meeting the physical parameters described below, regardless of application. The scope of the order also includes all other products used in standard, line, or pressure pipe applications and meeting the physical parameters described below, regardless of specification, with the exception of the exclusions discussed below. Specifically included within the scope of the order are seamless pipes greater than 4.5 inches (114.3 mm) up to and including 16 inches (406.4 mm) in outside diameter, regardless of wall-thickness, manufacturing process (hot finished or cold-drawn), end finish (plain end, beveled end, upset end, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or surface finish.

The seamless pipes subject to the order are currently classifiable under the subheadings 7304.10.10.30, 7304.10.10.45, 7304.10.10.60, 7304.10.50.50, 7304.19.10.30, 7304.19.10.45, 7304.19.10.60, 7304.19.50.50, 7304.31.60.10, 7304.31.60.50, 7304.39.00.04, 7304.39.00.06, 7304.39.00.08, 7304.39.00.36, 7304.39.00.40, 7304.39.00.44, 7304.39.00.48, 7304.39.00.52, 7304.39.00.56, 7304.39.00.62, 7304.39.00.68, 7304.39.00.72, 7304.51.50.15, 7304.51.50.45, 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.20.30, 7304.59.20.55, 7304.59.20.60, 7304.59.20.70, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.30, 7304.59.80.35, 7304.59.80.40, 7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50,

7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, and 7304.59.80.70 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the merchandise subject to the scope is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Final Determination of No Shipments

We have made no changes to our findings announced in the *Preliminary Results*. Consistent with our findings in the *Preliminary Results*,² we find that JFE, Nippon, and NKK had no shipments during the POR.

Methodology

Also consistent with the *Preliminary Results*,³ and in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, we relied on facts available with an adverse inference with respect to SMI. Thus, we assign a rate of 107.80 percent as the weighted-average dumping margin for SMI with respect to one of its entries, while we continue to find that SMI had no other shipments during the POR. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We determine that, for the period June 1, 2012, through May 31, 2013, the following dumping margin exists for a certain entry for SMI:

Company	Rate (percent)
Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd.	107.80

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or

withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided in section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) Cash-deposit rate for SMI will be that established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than fair-value (LTFV) investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation, which is 68.88 percent.⁴ These cash-deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of this review.

These final results of this review are the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁵ Where assessments are based upon total facts available, including total AFA, we instruct CBP to assess duties at the AFA margin rate. The Department intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on the single POR entry of the subject merchandise produced or exported by SMI at the rate of 107.80 percent of the entered value.⁶

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.⁷ This clarification will apply to all POR entries entered under the case numbers for JFE, Nippon, and

⁴ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan; and Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Japan and the Republic of South Africa*, 65 FR 39360 (June 26, 2000) (LTFV Investigation).

⁵ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁷ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (Assessment Policy Notice).

¹ See *Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe (Over 4 1/2 Inches) from Japan: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 42762 (July 23, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 5–10.

³ *Id.* at 7–10.

NKK, and certain entries entered under the case number for SMI for which we determined SMI demonstrated its certification of no POR shipments. We will instruct CBP to liquidate these entries at the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation, 68.88 percent,⁸ if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. See *Assessment Policy Notice* for a full discussion of this clarification.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 7, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-27165 Filed 11-14-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 28, 2014, the United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") sustained the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") results of redetermination, pursuant to the CIT's remand order, in *Lifestyle Enterprise, Inc., et al., v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 09-00378.¹ Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("*Timken*"), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ("*Diamond Sawblades*"), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's *AR 3 Final Results*² and is amending the final results with respect to the margins assigned to Guandong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd. ("Yihua Timber") for the period of review ("POR") January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 7, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Subsequent to the publication of the *AR 3 Final Results*, various plaintiffs filed complaints with the CIT to challenge

¹ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Fourth Remand*, Court No. 09-00378, dated October 16, 2014, available at: <http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/index.html> ("*WBF Final Remand*").

² See *Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China*, 74 FR 41374 (August 17, 2009), as amended by *Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China*, 74 FR 55810 (October 29, 2009) (collectively, "*AR 3 Final Results*").

certain aspects of the *AR 3 Final Results* of the Department's third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China.

In *Lifestyle Enterprise, Inc. v. United States*, 768 F. Supp. 2d 1286 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2011), the CIT remanded the case to the Department to "explain or otherwise resolve" Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Co. Ltd.'s ("Orient") separate rate, the data set for wood inputs, the tariff heading for medium density fiberboard, whether Global Classic Designs, Inc., and Diretso Design Furnitures, Inc. produce comparable merchandise through a comparable production process, surrogate labor value, and negative export pricing.

In *Lifestyle Enterprise, Inc. v. United States*, 844 F. Supp. 2d 1283 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2012), the CIT remanded the case to the Department to re-determine Orient's AFA rate and to re-open the record to gather more evidence with respect to wood inputs, or to use the volume-based data set to value wood inputs.

In *Lifestyle Enterprise, Inc. v. United States*, 865 F. Supp. 2d 1284 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2012), the CIT remanded the issue of Orient's AFA rate to the Department for reconsideration for a second time.

In *Lifestyle Enterprise, Inc. v. United States*, 751 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2014), the CAFC reversed the CIT's decision to require the use of volume-based data in valuing the lumber inputs, and remanded the issue to the Department for further proceedings consistent with its opinion.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's October 28, 2014, judgment sustaining the WBF Final Remand constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the *AR 3 Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the *AR 3 Final Results*, the revised weighted-average dumping margin for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, for Yihua Timber is 21.53 percent. The

⁸ See *LTFV Investigation*.