

requests an effective date of May 4, 2005.

PG&E states that copies of the filing have been served on the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Independent System Operator Corporation and Three Mountain Power, LLC.

Comment Date: eastern time on March 25, 2005.

27. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER05-683-000]

Take notice that on March 7, 2005, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC), on behalf of Appalachian Power Company, Columbus Southern Power Company, Indiana Michigan Power Company, Kentucky Power Company and Ohio Power Company (AEP Eastern Operating Companies) tendered for filing a restated and amended PJM services agreement between AEP Eastern Operating Companies and Buckeye Power, Inc.

AEPSC states that a copy of the filing has been served on Buckeye Power, Inc.

Comment Date: eastern time on March 28, 2005.

28. WPS Power Development, LLC

[Docket Nos. ER05-684-000, ER02-1059-001]

Take notice that on March 7, 2005, WPS Power Development, LLC (WPS Power) tendered for filing a Notice of Succession and a revised market-based rate tariff which reflects an internal corporate restructuring and a name change from WPS Power Development, Inc. to WPC Power Development, LLC. WPS Power requests an effective date of March 1, 2005.

Comment Date: eastern time on March 28, 2005.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant and all parties to this proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and

interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-1174 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OW-2004-0038, FRL-7885-2]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Survey of Airport Deicing Operations, EPA ICR Number 2171.01

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This is a request for a new collection. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection (Airline Questionnaire) as described below. EPA is also extending the comment period on a related, previously-announced collection (Airport Questionnaire).

DATES: For both the Airline and Airport Questionnaires, comments must be submitted on or before May 16, 2005. (The Airport Questionnaire was previously announced on January 28, 2005.)

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing docket ID number OW-

2004-0038, to EPA online using EDocket (our preferred method), by e-mail to ow-docket@epa.gov, or by mail to: Water Docket, 4101T, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Strassler, EPA Office of Water, telephone 202-566-1026, e-mail strassler.eric@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID number OW-2004-0038, which is available for public viewing at the Water Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is 202-566-1744, and the telephone number for the Water Docket is 202-566-2422. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA Dockets (EDocket) at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>. Use EDocket to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "Search," then key in the docket ID number identified above.

Any comments related to this ICR should be submitted to EPA within 60 days of this notice. EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EDocket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, confidential business information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDocket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDocket. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's **Federal Register** notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>.

Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are airport owners/operators.

Title: Survey of Airport Deicing Operations (Airline Questionnaire).

Abstract: EPA is developing wastewater discharge standards, called "effluent guidelines," for airports pursuant to the Agency's 2004 Effluent Guidelines Plan (69 FR 53719, September 2, 2004). The focus of the rulemaking is on wastewater discharges from aircraft and runway deicing operations. EPA will send survey questionnaires to a sample of air carriers to help the Agency compile a national assessment of deicing operations. The survey will include questions on the deicing technologies employed, amount of deicing chemicals used, pollution prevention techniques, and economic and financial information. Each air carrier receiving a questionnaire package would be asked to provide responses for a specified sample of locations at which the airline operates. Completion of this one-time survey will be mandatory pursuant to sec. 308 of the Clean Water Act.

EPA has prepared a separate draft questionnaire for airports. This questionnaire was announced at 70 FR 4117, January 28, 2005, with a comment deadline of March 29, 2005. EPA is hereby extending the comment deadline for the Airport Questionnaire to match the deadline for the Airline Questionnaire, namely May 16, 2005.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

EPA would like to solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement. The estimated burden for this survey is 24 hours per air carrier site (*i.e.* an air carrier's operational facility at a specific airport). The total number of air carrier sites is 300, producing an approximate total burden of 7,200 hours. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: March 10, 2005.

Mary T. Smith,

Acting Director, Office of Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 05-5324 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket No. R10-OAR-2005-OR-0003; FRL-7885-1]

Adequacy Status of the Medford-Ashland PM₁₀ Attainment and Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found the Medford-Ashland PM₁₀ Attainment and Maintenance Plan adequate for transportation conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has found them adequate. This affects future transportation conformity determinations prepared, reviewed and approved by the Rogue Valley Council of Governments, Oregon Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.

DATES: This finding is effective April 1, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp.htm>, (once there, click on the "Transportation Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions"). You may also contact Wayne Elson, U.S. EPA, Region 10, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics (AWT-107), 1200 Sixth Ave, Seattle WA 98101; (206) 553-1463 or elson.wayne@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 10 sent a letter to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality on March 8, 2005, stating that the SIP is adequate for transportation conformity purposes.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP is adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review and it also should not be used to prejudge our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a SIP adequate for conformity, the SIP could later be disapproved. For the reader's ease, the motor vehicle emissions budget is 3,754 tons per year. This was the only budget included in the Plan.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy in SIPs in guidance dated May 14, 1999. This guidance in now is reflected in the amended transportation conformity rule, July 1, 2004 (69 FR 40004). We followed this process in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 9, 2005.

Ronald A. Kreizenbeck,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 05-5325 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P