

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 2.

Estimated Number of Responses: 30.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 55 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Cheryl Thompson, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch (202) 692-0043.

Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of Rural Development, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden to collect the required information, including the validity of the strategy used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments on the paperwork burden may be sent to Cheryl Thompson, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, Rural Development, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0742. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 28, 2005.

David Rouzer,

Acting Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.

[FR Doc. E5-8259 Filed 1-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XY-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 66-2005]

Foreign-Trade Zone 176 - Rockford, Illinois Area, Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Greater Rockford Airport Authority, grantee of FTZ 176, requesting authority to expand FTZ 176, in the Rockford, Illinois area, adjacent to the Rockford Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board

(15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on December 21, 2005.

FTZ 176 was approved on March 1, 1991 (Board Order 511, 56 FR 10409, 3/12/91). The zone project currently consists of the following sites in the Rockford, Illinois area: *Site 1* (1,972 acres) industrial park area of the Greater Rockford Airport on Route F.A. 179; *Site 1a* (2 acres) warehouse facilities at 1635 New Milford School Road (82,200 sq. ft.) and 1129 Eighteenth Avenue (12,871 sq. ft.), Rockford; *Site 2* (6 acres) warehouse at 500 South Independence Avenue, Rockford; *Site 3* (566 acres, 2 parcels) CenterPoint Industrial Park (366 acres), north of the intersection of Route 38 and Brush Grove Road, Rochelle, and, Interstate Transportation Center industrial park (200 acres), west side of state Highway 38; *Site 4* (304 acres, 3 parcels)-LogistiCenter, southwest corner of I-39 and I-88, Rochelle; *Site 5* (53 acres)-South Rochelle industrial park (53 acres), south side of Rochelle on State Highway 251 and Veterans Parkway; and, *Site 6* (74 acres)-Rolling Hills Industrial Park, 2200 Lakeshore Drive, Woodstock.

The applicant is requesting authority to expand the general-purpose zone to include an additional site (133 acres) at the Crossroads Commerce Center, located at Interstate 88 and Main Street, in Rochelle (Ogle County), Illinois. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses below:

1. *Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building-Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005; or
2. *Submissions via U.S. Postal Service:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB-4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is March 6, 2006. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to March 20, 2006).

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the

Office of the Foreign-Trade Zone Board's Executive Secretary at address No. 1 listed above and the U.S. Export Assistance Center, 515 N. Court St., Rockford, IL 61103.

Dated: December 21, 2005.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-8278 Filed 1-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1422]

Grant of Authority, Establishment of a Foreign-Trade Zone, Fargo, North Dakota

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for "... the establishment ... of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Municipal Airport Authority of the City of Fargo, North Dakota (the Grantee), has made application to the Board (FTZ Docket 20-2005, filed 5/11/05), requesting the establishment of a foreign-trade zone at sites in the Fargo, North Dakota, area, adjacent to the Fargo Customs port of entry;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 29277, 5/20/05); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants to the Grantee the privilege of establishing a foreign-trade zone, designated on the records of the Board as Foreign-Trade Zone No. 267, at the sites described in the application, and subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of December 2005.

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

Carlos M. Gutierrez,

Secretary of Commerce, Chairman and Executive Officer.

Attest:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-8277 Filed 1-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (flanges) from India. *See Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India*, 59 FR 5994, (February 9, 1994). In response to a request by Hilton Forge, the Department is initiating this changed circumstances review to determine whether Hilton Metal Forgings, Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Hilton Forge.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 4, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2924 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India (59 FR 5994).

Pursuant to an August 31, 2004 request from Hilton Forge, the Department conducted a new shipper review of flanges from India. On October 28, 2005, the Department published the final results of the new shipper review, determining that a dumping margin existed for Hilton

Forge for the period February 1 through July 31, 2004. *See Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India; Final Results of New Shipper Review*, 70 FR 62094 (October 28, 2005).

On November 14, 2005, Hilton Forge filed a request for a changed circumstances administrative review of the antidumping duty order on flanges from India, claiming that Hilton Forge has changed its name to Hilton Metal Forging Ltd., and has converted itself from a limited partnership firm into a company limited by shares. Hilton Forge requested that the Department determine whether Hilton Metal Forgings, Ltd is the successor-in-interest to Hilton Forge, in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), and 19 CFR 351.216 (2005). In response to this request, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review of this order.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the order.

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changes Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of a request from an interested party or receipt of information concerning an antidumping duty order which shows changed circumstances

exist to warrant a review of the order. On October 28, 2005, the Department published the final results of a new shipper review of flanges from India, which covered Hilton Forge. The Department determined that a dumping margin existed for Hilton Forge for the period February 1, 2004 through July 31, 2004. *See* 70 FR 62094. On November 14, 2005, Hilton Forge submitted its request for a changed circumstances review. With this request, Hilton Forge submitted certain information related to its claim that Hilton Forge changed its name to Hilton Metal Forging Ltd., and converted itself from a limited partnership company into a company limited by shares. Based on the information that Hilton Forge submitted regarding a name/status change, the Department has determined that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review exist. *See* 19 CFR 351.216(d).

In antidumping duty changed circumstances reviews involving a successor-in-interest determination, the Department typically examines several factors including, but not limited to, (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. *See Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460, 20462 (May 13, 1992) and *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 22847 (May 3, 2005) (*Plate from Romania*). While no single factor or combination of factors will necessarily be dispositive, the Department generally will consider the new company to be the successor to the predecessor if the resulting operations are essentially the same as those of the predecessor company. *See, e.g., Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944, 6945 (February 14, 1994), and *Plate from Romania*, 70 FR 22847. Thus, if the record evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the predecessor company, the Department may assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor. *See, e.g., Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 9979, 9980 (March 1, 1999). Although Hilton Forge submitted documentation related to its name change, it failed to provide complete