

## Discussion

Following Department of Energy guidelines, Southwestern prepared a 2012 Current Power Repayment Study using the existing Sam Rayburn Dam Project rate schedule. The study shows the cumulative amortization through FY 2011 at \$24,993,504 on a total investment of \$30,254,778. The FY 2012 Revised Power Repayment Study indicates the need for an increase in annual revenues of \$193,896, or 4.9 percent, to meet repayment criteria.

Southwestern deferred the indicated rate adjustment because it fell within Southwestern's plus-or-minus five percent isolated project rate adjustment threshold and proposed extending the current rate through September 30, 2013. The threshold was developed to add efficiency to the process of maintaining adequate rates and is consistent with cost recovery criteria within DOE Order Number RA 6120.2 regarding rate adjustment plans. The extension is required because the current rate expires September 30, 2012. Southwestern will reevaluate the ability of the existing rate to provide sufficient revenues to satisfy costs projected in the FY 2013 Power Repayment Studies.

Title 10, Part 903, Subpart A of the Code of Federal Regulations, "Procedures for Public Participation in Power and Transmission Rate Adjustments and Extensions," has been followed in connection with the rate extension. Opportunities for public review and comment during a 30-day period on the proposed Rayburn power rate extension were announced by a **Federal Register** (77 FR 50493) notice published on August 21, 2012. Written comments were accepted through September 20, 2012. Southwestern provided the **Federal Register** notice to the customer and interested parties for review and comment during the formal period of public participation. In addition, prior to the formal 30-day public participation process, Southwestern discussed with the customer representatives the proposed rate extension. Only one formal comment was received from Gillis & Angley, LLP, Counsellors at Law, on behalf of Sam Rayburn Dam Electric Cooperative, Inc., which stated that they had no objection to the proposed rate extension.

Upon conclusion of the comment period in September 2012, Southwestern finalized the rate schedule extension for the annual rate of \$3,949,872 to be extended for one year through September 30, 2013. This rate extension will allow the FY 2013 Power Repayment Studies to evaluate

the ability of the existing rate to provide sufficient revenues to accomplish repayment in the required number of years.

## Comments and Responses

Southwestern received one comment during the public comment period. The comment, on behalf of Sam Rayburn Dam Electric Cooperative, Inc., expressed no objection to the rate extension through September 30, 2013.

## Order

In view of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority to extend rates, previously confirmed and approved by FERC, on an interim basis, of Title 10, Part 903, Subpart A of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR part 903), Sections 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3), I hereby extend on an interim basis, for the period of one year, effective October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013, the current FERC approved Sam Rayburn Dam Project Rate for the sale of power and energy.

Dated: November 7, 2012.

Daniel B. Poneman,  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-27674 Filed 11-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9751-7]

### Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA" or the "Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement to address a lawsuit filed by Public Service Company of Oklahoma ("Plaintiff") in the United States Appeals Court for the Tenth Circuit: *Public Service Company of Oklahoma v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, et al.*, No. 12-9524. On February 24, 2011, Plaintiff timely filed a Petition for Review, challenging the issuance of EPA's final rule entitled, "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oklahoma; Federal Implementation Plan for Interstate Transport of Pollution Affecting Visibility and Best Available Retrofit Technology Determinations," 76 FR 81,728 (Dec. 28, 2011) (the "Final Rule"). The Final Rule partially approved and partially disapproved

Oklahoma's state implementation plan ("SIP") submitted under the "visibility" and "interstate transport" provisions of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"). The Final Rule included a federal implementation plan ("FIP") establishing Best Available Retrofit Technology ("BART") emission limitations on sulfur dioxide ("SO<sub>2</sub>") for Units 3 and 4 of Plaintiff's Northeastern plant ("Plaintiff's Units") to address the visibility and interstate transport provisions of the CAA. On March 26, 2012, Sierra Club ("Intervenor") filed a timely motion to intervene. The motion was granted March 27, 2012. The proposed settlement agreement establishes a deadline for EPA to take action on a SIP to be drafted and submitted by Oklahoma addressing Plaintiff's Units.

**DATES:** Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by December 14, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA-HQ-OGC-2012-0826, online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (EPA's preferred method); by email to [oei.docket@epa.gov](mailto:oei.docket@epa.gov); by mail to EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; or by hand delivery or courier to EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Comments on a disk or CD-ROM should be formatted in Word or ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption, and may be mailed to the mailing address above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lea Anderson, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564-5571; fax number (202) 564-5603; email address: [anderson.lea@epa.gov](mailto:anderson.lea@epa.gov).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Additional Information About the Proposed Settlement Agreement

The proposed settlement agreement would resolve a lawsuit challenging the issuance of EPA's final rule partially approving and partially disapproving Oklahoma's SIP submitted under the "visibility" and "interstate transport" provisions of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7410, 7491, and 7492. The Final Rule included a FIP establishing BART emission limitations on SO<sub>2</sub> for Units 3 and 4 of Plaintiff's Northeastern plant to address the visibility and interstate

transport provisions of the CAA. Specifically, the proposed settlement agreement outlines the timeframe in which Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality ("ODEQ") develops and the Oklahoma Secretary of Environment submits to EPA for review SIP revisions containing specific elements as spelled out in Attachment A of the settlement agreement to address the "visibility" and "interstate transport" provisions of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7410, 7491, and 7492. In turn, the proposed settlement agreement establishes a deadline for EPA to take final action on Oklahoma's visibility and interstate transport SIP revisions addressing Plaintiff's units within six months from the date of EPA's determination that the revisions meet the requirements of the CAA consistent with 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B). Because this Agreement requires ODEQ to develop and propose SIP revisions and the Oklahoma Secretary of Environment ("Secretary") to submit these SIP revisions to EPA under the visibility and interstate transport provisions of the CAA, and because ODEQ and the Secretary prefer to regulate Plaintiff under such SIP revisions rather than EPA's FIP, ODEQ and the Secretary are parties to the settlement agreement as are the Plaintiff and the Intervenor. After EPA fulfills its obligations under the proposed settlement agreement, Plaintiff, Intervenor, and EPA will file a joint stipulation of dismissal of Plaintiff's Petition for Review.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines that consent to this settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the proposed settlement agreement will be affirmed.

## II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreement

### *How can I get a copy of the settlement agreement?*

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2012-0826) contains a

copy of the proposed settlement agreement. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566-1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). You may use [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, key in the appropriate docket identification number then select "search".

It is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

### *How and to whom do I submit comments?*

You may submit comments as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD ROM you submit. This

ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (email) system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

**Lorie J. Schmidt,**

*Associate General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2012-27704 Filed 11-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

---

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### **Federal Advisory Committee Act; Communications Security, Reliability, and Interoperability Council**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, this notice advises interested persons that the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Communications Security, Reliability, and Interoperability Council (CSRIC) will hold its sixth meeting. The Next Generation Alerting Working Group, DNSSEC Implementation Practices for ISPs Working Group, Secure BGP Deployment Working Group, and E 9-1-1 Best Practices Working Group will be presenting reports for a vote by the