should also include the following phrase "Disk Copy—Not an Original." Each diskette should contain only one party's pleadings, preferably in a single electronic file. In addition, commenters must send diskette copies to the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing (BCPI), Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. Pursuant to section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.1206, this proceeding will be conducted as a permit-butdisclose proceeding in which ex parte communications are subject to disclosure. The full text of this document and copies of any subsequently filed documents in this matter will be available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document and copies of subsequently filed documents in this matters may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contract, BCPI, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. Customers may contact BCPI, Inc. at their Web site http://www.bcpiweb.com or call 1-800-378-3160. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY). This document can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at: http:// www.fcc.gov/cgb/dro.

Synopsis

In the June 17, 2003 Second Improved TRS Order, the Commission required that TRS providers offer three-way calling as a standard feature of TRS. In the August 1, 2003 Declaratory Ruling, the Commission recognized captioned telephone service as a type of TRS. (See Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, published at 68 FR 55898, September 29, 2003, in CC Docket No. 98-67; FCC 03-190. The *Declaratory* Ruling did not waive the requirement that providers of captioned telephone service offer a three-way calling feature. On September 24, 2003, AT&T Corp. (AT&T) filed a petition for limited reconsideration of the Second Improved TRS Order. (See AT&T, AT&T Petition for Limited Reconsideration and for Waiver, CC Docket No. 98-67, CG Docket No. 03-123 (filed September 24, 2003)). AT&T requested that the

Commission waive the three-way calling requirement adopted in the Second Improved TRS Order. AT&T asserted that it was not possible for the TRS facility to set up a three-way call, subject to clarification regarding how three-way calling may be provided in compliance with the Commission's TRS regulations. On December 11, 2003, Ultratec, Inc. and Sprint Corporation filed a petition seeking clarification that the three-way calling requirement either does not apply to captioned telephone service or that a TRS provider complies with the rule regardless of the method used to set up the three-way call. (See Petition for Clarification by Ultratec, Inc. and Sprint Corporation, CC Docket No. 98-67, CG Docket No. 03-123 (file December 11, 2003)). On February 24, 2004, in response to these petitions, the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau released an *Order* waiving for one year the requirement that TRS providers (including providers of captioned telephone service) offer threeway calling. (Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, CC Docket 98-67, DA 04-465, 19 FCC Rcd 2993 (February 24, 2004)). In view of the pending expiration date of the one-year February 24, 2004, waiver, the Commission now seek comment on whether this waiver should be left to expire or be extended, or whether the rule should be modified or clarified and, if so, how.

Federal Communications Commission. **Jay Keithley**,

Deputy Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau.

[FR Doc. 05–651 Filed 1–12–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 206

[DFARS Case 2003-D017]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Competition Requirements; Correction

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Correction to final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD is issuing a correction to the final rule published at 69 FR 74990–74991 on December 15, 2004, pertaining to competition requirements. The correction shows that the change to 48 CFR part 206, section 206.001, revises only paragraph (b) of section 206.001. **EFFECTIVE DATES:** December 15, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Michele Peterson, Defense Acquisition

Regulations System, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0311; facsimile (703) 602–0350.

Correction

PART 206—[CORRECTED]

- In the issue of Wednesday, December 15, 2004, on page 74991, in the second column, amendatory instruction 2 is corrected to read as follows:
- \blacksquare 2. Section 206.001 is revised to read as follows:

206.001 Applicability.

(b) As authorized by 10 U.S.C. 1091, contracts awarded to individuals using the procedures at 237.104(b)(ii) are exempt from the competitive requirements of FAR part 6.

(S-70) Also excepted from this part are follow-on production contracts for products developed pursuant to the "other transactions" authority of 10 U.S.C. 2371 for prototype projects when—

- (1) The other transaction agreement includes provisions for a follow-on production contract;
- (2) The contracting officer receives sufficient information from the agreements officer and the project manager for the prototype other transaction agreement, which documents that the conditions set forth in 10 U.S.C. 2371 note, subsections (f)(2) (A) and (B) (see 32 CFR 3.9(d)), have been met; and
- (3) The contracting officer establishes quantities and prices for the follow-on production contract that do not exceed the quantities and target prices established in the other transaction agreement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 05–760 Filed 1–12–05; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 5001–08–P$

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Parts 225 and 252 [DFARS Case 2004–D013]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Free Trade Agreements—Australia and Morocco

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Interim rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: DoD has issued an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement