

§ 1337, on behalf of Immersion Corporation of San Jose, California. Supplements to the complaint were filed on May 9, May 16, and May 24, 2016. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile and portable electronic devices incorporating haptics (including smartphones and laptops) and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,749,507 (“the ‘507 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,808,488 (“the ‘488 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,336,260 (“the ‘260 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,581,710 (“the ‘710 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR § 210.10 (2016).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 3, 2016, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile and portable electronic devices incorporating haptics (including smartphones and laptops) and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, 9–12, and 14–17 of the ‘507 patent; claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 17, 18, 25–27, and 29 of the ‘488 patent; claims 1 and 2 of the ‘260 patent; and claims 1, 7–10, and 12 of the ‘710 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR § 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. §§ 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Immersion Corporation, 50 Rio Robles, San Jose, CA 95134.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Apple Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014

AT&T Mobility LLC, 1025 Lenox Park Boulevard NE., Atlanta, GA 30319.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Chief Administrative Law Judge is authorized to consolidate Inv. No. 337–TA–990 and this investigation if he deems it appropriate.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the

Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR § 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR §§ 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 6, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–13671 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—*fd.io Project, Inc.*

Notice is hereby given that, on May 4, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), *fd.io Project, Inc.* (“*fd.io*”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Intel Corporation, Hillsboro, OR; Brocade Communications Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA; Inocybe Technologies Inc., Gatineau, Quebec City, CANADA; Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Bantian,

Longgang District, Shenzhen, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Cisco Systems, Inc., Milpitas, CA; PLUMgrid, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; NXP Semiconductor Inc. (Freescale), Austin, TX; Mesosphere Inc., San Francisco, CA; Metaswitch Networks, San Francisco, CA; Cavium Networks, Inc., San Jose, CA; Ericsson, Kista, SWEDEN; Comcast, Philadelphia, PA; Red Hat, Inc., Raleigh, NC; and 6 WIND, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, FRANCE.

The general area of fd.io's planned activity are to: (a) Drive the evolution of IO services (IO, processing, and management agents for networking, storage, and other types of IO) through a neutral community delivering open source software that supports deployment models including cloud, NFV, container, bare metal networking, storage, and other types of IO, in order to create a high performance, modular, and extensible open source platform fostering innovation in IO services ("the Platform"); (b) host a collection of projects that form a cohesive code base for open community based development, enhanced component compatibility and interoperability, greater choice and flexibility for data plane developers, and an open environment for IO services development and technology adoption; (c) support and maintain the strategic framework of the Platform through the technologies made available by the organization to make the Platform a success; (d) support and maintain policies set by the Board of Directors of the Joint Venture; (e) promote such Platform worldwide; (f) create and maintain programs regarding the use of Joint Venture trademarks; and (g) undertake such other activities as may from time to time be appropriate to further the purposes and achieve the goals set forth above.

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2016-13629 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cooperative Research Group on Development and Validation of FlawPRO for Assessing Defect Tolerance of Welded Pipes Under Generalized High Strain Conditions

Notice is hereby given that, on April 27, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the

National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute—Cooperative Research Group on Development and Validation of FlawPRO for Assessing Defect Tolerance of Welded Pipes Under Generalized High Strain Conditions ("FlawPRO-JIP") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ConocoPhillips Company, Houston, TX, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and FlawPRO-JIP intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 17, 2011, FlawPRO-JIP filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 7, 2011 (76 FR 39901).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 2, 2012. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 11, 2012 (77 FR 73676).

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2016-13633 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—UHD Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 11, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), UHD Alliance, Inc. ("UHD Alliance") filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages

under specified circumstances. Specifically, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA; Tongfang Global, Ltd. (Seiki), Diamond Bar, CA; Arcelik AS Electronics Plant, Istanbul, TURKEY; Dell Inc., Round Rock, TX; and Paramount Pictures Corporation, Hollywood, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and UHD Alliance intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 17, 2015, UHD Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 17, 2015 (80 FR 42537).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 12, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 17, 2016 (81 FR 14485).

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2016-13632 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Silicon Integration Initiative, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Silicon Integration Initiative, Inc. ("Si2") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, MIE Fujitsu Semiconductor, Limited, Yokohama City, JAPAN; IC Manage, Inc., Campbell, CA; SA Magillem Design Services, Paris, FRANCE; Minalogic, Grenoble, FRANCE; Ricoh Electronic Devices Company, Limited, Osaka, JAPAN; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Guilford, CT; and Broadcom, Ltd., San Jose, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.