

Subject, city, state	Effective date	Subject, city, state	Effective date	Subject, city, state	Effective date
TEHACHAPI, CA		SAN ANTONIO, TX		INOLA, OK	
MOORE, LAURIE LEE	04/18/2002	RODRIGUEZ, ROLANDO X	08/16/2000	LEIBLIE-BRITAIN, TONYA	
OMAHA, NE		SAN ANTONIO, TX		BETH	04/18/2002
NAJJAR, SAMIR SHIBLY	04/18/2002	OWNED/CONTROLLED BY CONVICTED ENTITIES		INDEPENDENCE, MO	
YAZOO CITY, MS				LOGUE, JENNI LYNFRED	04/18/2002
NIKRAVESH, DAVID NEJAT ...	04/18/2002			LOS ANGELES, CA	
VAN NUYS, CA		ALLIED MEDICAL CLINIC	04/18/2002	LOWMAN, JOHN R	04/18/2002
ORTIZ, TINA MARIE	04/18/2002	HOMESTEAD, FL		PHOENIX, AZ	
DALLAS, TX		AUREX, INC	04/18/2002	MINK, PAUL A	04/18/2002
PACROPIS, GARY WILLIAM ...	04/18/2002	JACKSONVILLE, FL		LANSING, MI	
AMBLER, PA		BODY SCAN TESTING CEN-TER	04/18/2002	MOTLEY, REBECCA K	04/18/2002
PATTERSON, CHRISTINA A ...	04/18/2002	ST GEORGE, UT		LATHRUP VILLAGE, MI	
PALESTINE, IL		BOOKER CHIROPRACTIC	04/18/2002	RODRIGUEZ, FRANKIE	04/18/2002
PEARL, RICHARD E	04/18/2002	BOOKER, TX		KISSIMMEE, FL	
BROOKLYN, NY		COAST MEDICAL SUPPLY	04/18/2002	SHAW, MICHAEL W	04/18/2002
PEREZ, EDWIN	04/18/2002	LOS ANGELES, CA		CHICAGO, IL	
JOHNSTON CITY, IL		HIGHLAND DRUG CO	04/18/2002	STARACE, WILLIAM J	04/18/2002
PIERCE, KENNETH ALAN JR		JACKSONVILLE, FL		STOCKTON, CA	
CARSON CITY, NV		HIGHLAND PARK MEDICAL SUPPLY	04/18/2002	THOMAS, JAMES C	04/18/2002
PONCHO, PAUL L	04/18/2002	LOS ANGELES, CA		WAUWATOSA, WI	
PHOENIX, AZ		PACOIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY		TYSON, RICKY D	04/18/2002
PRINCE, WAYNE LAGUORI ...	04/18/2002	PACOIMA, CA		OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	
OAKLAND PARK, FL		SYNCHRONIZED MONTROSE		VAN WINKLE, DAVID WAYNE	04/18/2002
PYLES, JOCELYN N	04/18/2002	CHIRO	04/18/2002	MENA, AR	
THE WOODLANDS, TX		HOUSTON, TX		WALDMAN, MARC M	04/18/2002
RAI, PARMJIT KAUR	04/18/2002	DEFAULT ON HEAL LOAN		MERRICK, NY	
VALLEYVIEW, OH				WASHINGTON, DIANE L	04/18/2002
SANGA, JODY T	04/18/2002			S HOLLAND, IL	
EASTFORD, CT				WINTERS, MARK I	04/18/2002
SCHENTHAL, STEPHEN JO-SEPH	04/18/2002			CLEVELAND, OH	
DESTIN, FL		BARNES, STANLEY GEORGE	04/18/2002	WISE, HARRY MICHAEL	04/18/2002
SCHLAU, GAIL	04/18/2002	BULLHEAD CITY, AZ		LITTLETON, CO	
MARGATE, FL		BLAND, HENRY NELSON JR	04/18/2002	YANG, JINGMIAO	04/18/2002
SCOTTI, STEPHEN DOUGLAS	04/18/2002	MIAMI, FL		WYNNE WOOD, PA	
EDINA, MN		BLOOMER, ANDERSAN M	03/19/2002	OWNERS OF EXCLUDED ENTITIES	
SHARPE, RICHARD J	04/18/2002	BALTIMORE, MD			
WALPOLE, MA		CAPEHART, TIMOTHY S	04/18/2002	PARKER, ROBERTA	04/18/2002
SMALLEY, MARK RUSSELL ...	04/18/2002	LA RUSSELL, MO		MINNEAPOLIS, MN	
ODGEN, UT		COOK, ROBERT DENNIS	03/19/2002		
SNYDER, STEVEN P	04/18/2002	TENNILLE, GA		Dated: April 3, 2002.	
LOUISVILLE, KY		DIPIETRO, MICHAEL V	04/18/2002	Calvin Anderson, Jr.,	
STEEN, PATRICIA A	04/18/2002	SEAFORD, NY		Director, Health Care Administrative	
WYANTSKILL, NY		DUPUIS, KENNETH J	04/18/2002	Sanctions, Office of Inspector General.	
STOREY, GEORGE	04/18/2002	ORONO, ME		[FR Doc. 02-9486 Filed 4-17-02; 8:45 am]	
WICHITA FALLS, TX		DURANT, BILL	04/18/2002	BILLING CODE 4150-04-P	
TAYLOR, BARBARA J	04/18/2002	ENCINO, CA			
ARVADA, CO		FERNANDEZ-LORIO, ANA-MARIA	04/18/2002	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	
TESTERMAN, JEANNE		COLUMBIA, MO		National Institutes of Health	
TOWERY	04/18/2002	GHALBI, ABDOLLNASER	04/18/2002	Submission for OMB Review;	
NEWTON, NC		ANAHEIM, CA		Comment Request; The National	
TROESTER, DENNIS	04/18/2002	GORBAHN, MARK KENNETH	04/18/2002	Cancer Institute Cancer Information	
BAY CITY, MI		ATASCADERO, CA		Service Comprehensive Evaluation	
VOIGT, DIONE WAYNE	04/18/2002	HOBSON, DONALD A	04/18/2002	Plan	
TUCSON, AZ		INGLEWOOD, CA		SUMMARY: Under the provisions of	
WEERASINGHE, SHERRY		HOPENSTAND, BOAZ JACOB	04/18/2002	section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork	
LYNN	04/18/2002	BEVERLY HILLS, CA		Reduction Act of 1995, the National	
EDMOND, OK		HOULE, CHERYL T	04/18/2002	Cancer Institute (NCI) of the National	
WEITZMAN, DAVID DOAH	04/18/2002	WINDHAM, ME		Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted	
ROCHESTER, IN		IBRAHIM, NAHLA	04/18/2002	to the Office of Management and Budget	
WELLS, ROBERT NELSON ...	04/18/2002	ALHAMBRA, CA		(OMB) a request for review and	
FRESNO, CA		JACKSON, ROBYN ANNE	04/18/2002	approval of the information collection	
WEST, TRINA SUE	04/18/2002	CALUMET CITY, IL		listed below. This proposed information	
ARDMORE, OK		JANKORD, DEAN F	01/16/2002		
WILLIAMS, MICHAEL T	04/18/2002	BURNSVILLE, MN			
TAMPA, FL		JUSTICE, GLENN RAY	04/18/2002		
WINKLHOFFER, BONNIE JO	04/18/2002	LAS VEGAS, NV			
SAN BERNARDINO, CA		LANDOU, LISSA S	04/18/2002		
WINNETT, JAMIE SUE	04/18/2002	ELM WOOD PARK, NJ			
SULPHUR SPRINGS, TX		LASTER, STEVEN SCOTT	04/18/2002		
FRAUD/KICKBACKS					
GONZALEZ-SANCHEZ, EDULFO	08/09/2000				

collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on October 18, 2001, pages 52925–52926 and allowed 60 days for public comment. No public comments were received. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Proposed Collection

Title: The National Cancer Institute Cancer Information Service Comprehensive Evaluation Plan. *Type of Information Collection Request:* New. *Need and Use of Information Collection:* The NCI Office of Communications has dedicated resources to the Cancer

Information Service Branch to conduct an independent, scientifically designed and implemented evaluation of the Cancer Information Service (CIS), an NCI program that serves as a national resource for information and education about cancer. The study will assess the extent to which the program has been implemented and the impact and outcomes of the program in affecting the public and CIS partners. Partners are national, state, and regional organizations that collaborate with CIS.

For this study, three separate data collection efforts will be conducted: (1) The National User Survey, a survey of a sample of CIS Information Service users; (2) the National Partner Survey, a survey of a sample of CIS Networking, Education Program, Program Development, and Research partners; and (3) the Case Study Audience Survey, a survey of audiences served by

selected partners. The National User Survey and the National Partner Survey will be conducted using telephone interviews. The Case Study Audience Survey will be conducted using a survey mode that is most appropriate for the targeted audiences (*i.e.*, telephone, self-administered, or in person). The findings will form the basis of annual reports on evaluation findings. These reports will provide assistance in improving the programs, products, and services of CIS. *Frequency of Response:* One time only with the exception of the Case Study Audience Survey, which includes a pre- and postsurvey. *Affected Public:* Organizations and not-for-profit institutions; state, local, or tribal government; individuals and households. *Type of Respondents:* Adults using CIS services and CIS partners. The annual reporting burden is as follows:

TABLE 1.—RESPONDENT AND BURDEN ESTIMATE

Type of respondent	Estimated annual number of respondents	Estimated number of responses of per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden hours per response	Estimated annualized burden
National User Survey					
Screener respondents	983	1	983	0.08	79
CIS users	833	1	833	0.42	350
National Partner Survey					
CIS partners	333	1	333	0.75	250
Case Study Audience Survey					
Case study audience	133	2	266	0.25	67
Total	2,282		2,415		746

The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at \$7,554.00. There are no capital costs to report. There are no operating or maintenance costs to report.

Request for Comments

Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies are invited on one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Direct Comments To OMB

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for NIH. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans, contact Madeline La Porta,

Project Office for Evaluation, Cancer Information Service Branch, National Cancer Institute, 6116 Executive Boulevard, MSC 8322, Bethesda, Maryland 20892–8322; by telephone at (301) 594–8025; by fax at (301) 402–0555.

Comments Due Date

Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Dated: April 11, 2002.

Reesa Nichols,

OMB Clearance Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02–9453 Filed 4–17–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–M