

Comment 5: Clerical Error in the Calculation of the Cost of Freight on Incoming Materials
[FR Doc. E6-20366 Filed 11-30-06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-351-806

Silicon Metal From Brazil: Notice of Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Globe Metallurgical Inc. (Globe), a domestic producer of silicon metal, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. The period of review covers July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006. Because the respondents reported that they had no sales or shipments to the United States during the period of review, we intend to rescind the review of these companies.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janis Kalnins at (202) 482-1392 or Minoo Hatten at (202) 482-1690, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil on July 31, 1991. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Silicon Metal from Brazil* 56 FR 36135 (July 31, 1991). On July 3, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for the period of review covering July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006. See *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation*, 71 FR 37890 (July 3, 2006). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), Globe requested an administrative review of this order with respect to the following respondents: Camarago Correa Metais S.A., Companhia Ferroligas de Minas Gerais-minasligas, Italmagnesio Nordeste S.A., and Ligas de Alumínio S.A.

The Department published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil on August 8, 2006. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 51573 (August 30, 2006).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) as a chemical product but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Intent to Rescind Administrative Review

The Department will rescind an administrative review with respect to an exporter or producer if the Department concludes that there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). In response to the Department's questionnaire, the respondents notified the Department that they had no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. Globe submitted no information rebutting the respondent's responses.

The Department conducted a customs data query to ascertain whether there were suspended entries of subject merchandise. See November 22, 2006, Memorandum to File entitled "Silicon Metal from Brazil: Customs Data Query." Based on the data query, there is no evidence of entries or shipments of the subject merchandise by the respondents during the period of review. Therefore, we intend to rescind the review.

In accordance with the Department's clarification of its assessment policy (see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May

6, 2003)), in the event any entries were made during the period of review through intermediaries under U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) case numbers for these respondents, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate in effect on the date of entry.

Public Comment

An interested party may request a hearing within 15 days of publication of this notice of intent to rescind. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 30 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 15 days after the date of publication of this notice of intent to rescind. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case brief. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Further, parties submitting written comments should provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette. The Department will issue the final notice, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, or at a hearing, if requested, within 120 days of publication of this notice of intent to rescind.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d).

Dated: November 27, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20368 Filed 11-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-469-805

Stainless Steel Bar from Spain: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov or Minoo Hatten,

AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0665 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

At the request of an interested party, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from Spain for the period March 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 25145 (April 28, 2006). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than December 1, 2006.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the current deadline of December 1, 2006. We require additional time to analyze supplemental questionnaire responses with respect to a number of cost issues in this administrative review. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 75 days, to February 13, 2006.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777 (i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 27, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20367 Filed 11-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 112706D]

Endangered and Threatened Species; Take of Anadromous Fish

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability and request for comment.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that NMFS has received an application from the Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County (Grant PUD), the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), and the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation (YN) for a direct take permit pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA). The duration of the proposed Permit is three years. This document serves to notify the public that the permit applications and the associated draft environmental assessment (EA) are available for comment before a final decision on whether to issue a Finding of No Significant Impact is made by NMFS for take of upper Columbia River spring-run chinook salmon and steelhead. All comments received will become part of the public record and will be available for review pursuant to the ESA.

DATES: Written comments on the application and draft EA must be received at the appropriate address or fax number (see **ADDRESSES**) no later than 5 p.m. Pacific standard time January 2, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the application should be sent to Kristine Petersen, National Marine Fisheries Services, Salmon Recovery Division, 1201 N.E. Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to: whiteriver.nwr@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line of the e-mail comment the following identifier: "Comments on White River program". Comments may also be sent via facsimile (fax) to (503) 872-2737.

Requests for copies of the permit application should be directed to the National Marine Fisheries Services, Salmon Recovery Division, 1201 NE Lloyd Boulevard, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97232. The documents are also available on the Internet at www.nwr.noaa.gov. Comments received will be available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours by calling (503) 230-5409.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristine Petersen at (503) 230-5409 or e-mail: kristine.petersen@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is relevant to the following species and evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) or distinct population segments (DPSs):

1. Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*): endangered, upper Columbia River spring-run.

2. Steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): threatened, naturally produced and artificially propagated Upper Columbia River.

Background

Section 9 of the ESA and Federal regulations prohibit the "taking" of a species listed as endangered or threatened. The term "take" is defined under the ESA to mean harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. NMFS may issue permits to take listed species for any act otherwise prohibited by section 9 for scientific purposes or to enhance the propagation or survival of the affected species, under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA. NMFS regulations governing permits for threatened and endangered species are promulgated at 50 CFR 222.307.

NEPA requires Federal agencies to conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed actions to determine if the actions may affect the human environment. NMFS expects to take action on two ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) submittals received from the applicants. Therefore NMFS is seeking public input on the scope of the required NEPA analysis, including the range of reasonable alternatives and associated impacts of any alternatives.

In an application received on August 27, 2006, the Grant PUD submitted an application to NMFS for an ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) permit for the direct take of ESA-listed upper Columbia River spring Chinook salmon from the White River in Chelan County, in order to carry out an artificial propagation (hatchery) program to enhance the species. The purpose of this program is to prevent the extinction of, conserve, and ultimately restore the naturally spawning White River spring Chinook salmon spawning aggregate, which is part of the Wenatchee population within the upper Columbia River basin.

This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the ESA. NMFS will evaluate the application, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the application meets the requirements of