providing guidance under the Data Quality Act to federal agencies, so OSTP did not alter its own guidelines to deviate from OMB's guidance. A summary of significant amendments to OSTP's initial draft guidelines follows, in order of the text:

A new paragraph 2 was added to section I, to clarify that OSTP will treat information quality as integral to every step of the information creation, collection, maintenance and dissemination processes.

Paragraph 12 of section II(B) was modified to state that when reviewing the quality of information being prepared by other agencies (e.g., when OSTP coordinates an inter-agency drafting process), OSTP may request that the agencies certify in writing that the information they are providing complies with their own predissemination review processes and that, upon such certification, OSTP can presume such information complies with the Data Quality Act and OMB's guidelines.

Paragraph 1 of section III(A), involving correction requests, was modified to state that OSTP's failure to comply with its own or OMB's information quality guidelines can form the basis of a complaint.

Paragraph 2 of section III(A) was modified to delete any specific timeliness requirements.

Paragraph 3 of section III(A) was modified to require a complaint to reference OSTP's or OMB's information quality guidelines as well as the information alleged to be incorrect.

Paragraph 7 of section III(A) was modified to clarify that requestors bear the burden of proof with respect to the necessity of a correction and the type of correction to be made.

A new subsection C, Rulemaking and Other Public Comment Procedures, was added to section III, to clarify the circumstances under which OSTP would make corrections during a notice and comment proceeding prior to final agency action in that proceeding.

In section V, Definitions, paragraph 2(f) was modified to clarify that information contained in testimony before the courts, administrative bodies or Congress is excluded from the definition of "information" only to the extent that the information contained in such testimony was already previously disseminated. Paragraph 3 was similarly modified with respect to information contained in press releases.

Paragraph 6(b)(ii)(A) of section V was modified to clarify that reproducibility of original and supporting data will be assured according to commonly

accepted scientific, financial, or statistical standards.

Dated: October 16, 2002.

## Barbara Ann Ferguson,

Assistant Director for Budget and Administration, Office of Science and Technology Policy.

[FR Doc. 02–26899 Filed 10–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3170–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-46668; File No. 10-132]

Acknowledgement of Receipt of Notice of Registration as a National Securities Exchange Pursuant to Section 6(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc.

October 16, 2002.

Section 6(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act'') 1 provides that an exchange may register as a national securities exchange solely for the purposes of trading security futures products by filing a written notice with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") if such exchange is designated as a contract market by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission or registered as a derivative transaction execution facility under section 5a of the Commodity Exchange Act.<sup>2</sup> Rule 6a-4 under the Exchange Act 3 requires that such an exchange submit written notice of registration to the Commission on Form 1-N.4 An exchange's registration as a national securities exchange becomes effective contemporaneously with the submission of the written notice on Form 1-N.5

On August 29, 2002, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. ("CME") filed a Form 1–N with the Commission. Eursuant to section 6(g)(3) of the Exchange Act, the Commission hereby acknowledges receipt of the Form 1–N, as amended, submitted by CME. Copies of the Form 1–N submitted by CME, including all exhibits, are available in

the Commission's Public Reference Room, File No. 10–132.

For questions regarding this Release, contact: Theodore Lazo, Senior Special Counsel at (202) 942–0745, or Jennifer Colihan, Special Counsel at (202) 942–0735; Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549–1001.

By the Commission.

## Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 02-26884\ Filed\ 10-22-02;\ 8:45\ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-01185]

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration on the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (General Mills, Inc., Common Stock, \$.10 Par Value)

October 17, 2002.

General Mills, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") <sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2–2(d) thereunder, <sup>2</sup> to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.10 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange").

The Issuer states in its application that it has met the complied with the requirements of the CHX Article XXVIII, Rule 4, by complying with Exchange's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration. In making the decision to withdraw the Security from listing and registration on the CHX, the Issuer considered the annual volume of its Security trading on the Exhange, and the cost and expenses to maintain a dual listing of its Security on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE") and on the CHX. The Issuer states that the Security has traded on the NYSE since 1928.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing on the CHX and shall have no affect upon the Security's continued listing and registration on the NYSE under Section 12(b) of the Act.<sup>3</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before November 7, 2002, submit by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 7 U.S.C. 7a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.6a-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Upon receipt of a Form 1–N, the Division of Market Regulation examines the notice to determine whether all necessary information has been supplied and whether all other required documents have been furnished in proper form. Exchange Act Rule 202.3(b)(3), 17 CFR 202.3(b)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 6(g)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> CME provided the Commission with an initial Form 1–N on December 29, 2001. On August 29, 2002, CME filed an amendment to its Form 1–N to complete the required exhibits.

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(g)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78*l*(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>3 15</sup> U.S.C. 78*l*(b).