

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely affect a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or Tribal governments or communities in a material way (also referred to as an “economically significant” rule);

(2) Create serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impacts of entitlement grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles stated in the Executive order.

This final regulatory action is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by OMB under section 3 (f) of Executive Order 12866.

Under Executive Order 13771, for each new rule that the Department proposes for notice and comment or otherwise promulgates that is a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, and that imposes total costs greater than zero, it must identify two deregulatory actions. For FY 2020, any new incremental costs associated with a new significant regulatory action must be fully offset by the elimination of existing costs through deregulatory actions. Because this regulatory action is not significant, the requirements of Executive Order 13771 do not apply.

We have also reviewed this regulatory action under Executive Order 13563, which supplements and explicitly reaffirms the principles, structures, and definitions governing regulatory review established in Executive Order 12866. To the extent permitted by law, Executive Order 13563 requires that an agency—

(1) Propose or adopt regulations only upon a reasoned determination that their benefits justify their costs (recognizing that some benefits and costs are difficult to quantify);

(2) Tailor its regulations to impose the least burden on society, consistent with obtaining regulatory objectives and taking into account—among other things and to the extent practicable—the costs of cumulative regulations;

(3) In choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, select those approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity);

(4) To the extent feasible, specify performance objectives, rather than the

behavior or manner of compliance a regulated entity must adopt; and

(5) Identify and assess available alternatives to direct regulation, including economic incentives—such as user fees or marketable permits—to encourage the desired behavior, or provide information that enables the public to make choices.

Executive Order 13563 also requires an agency “to use the best available techniques to quantify anticipated present and future benefits and costs as accurately as possible.” The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB has emphasized that these techniques may include “identifying changing future compliance costs that might result from technological innovation or anticipated behavioral changes.”

We are issuing these final priorities only on a reasoned determination that their benefits would justify their costs. In choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, we selected those approaches that maximize net benefits. Based on the analysis that follows, the Department believes that this regulatory action is consistent with the principles in Executive Order 13563.

We have also determined that this regulatory action would not unduly interfere with State, local, and Tribal governments in the exercise of their governmental functions.

In accordance with these Executive orders, the Department has assessed the potential costs and benefits, both quantitative and qualitative, of this regulatory action. The potential costs are those resulting from statutory requirements and those we have determined as necessary for administering the Department’s programs and activities. The costs would include the time and effort in responding to the priorities for entities that choose to respond.

In addition, we have considered the potential benefits of this regulatory action and have noted these benefits in the background section of the NPP. The benefits include supporting the work of the State VR agencies in the Client Assistance Program (84.263D); assisting and supporting individuals with disabilities pursuing self-employment, business ownership, and telecommuting (84.263E); promoting field-initiated projects related to VR (84.263F); and tailoring the activities conducted under the priorities to reflect the greatest needs in the field.

Intergovernmental Review: This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an

intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Mark Schultz,

Commissioner, Rehabilitation Services Administration, Delegated the Authority to Perform the Functions and Duties of the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 2020–16958 Filed 8–4–20; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Chapter III

Final Waivers and Extension of the Project Period for the National Center for Information and Technical Support for Postsecondary Students With Disabilities Grant Program

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education (OPE), Department of Education.

ACTION: Final waivers and extension of project period.

SUMMARY: The Secretary waives the requirements in the Education Department General Administrative Regulations that generally prohibit project periods exceeding five years and

project period extensions involving the obligation of additional Federal funds. The waivers and extension would enable the project under Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number 84.116D to receive funding for an additional period, not to exceed September 25, 2021.

DATES: The waivers and extension of the project period are effective August 6, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shedita Alston, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Room 260–24, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: 202–453–7090. Email: Shedita.Alston@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 16, 2014, Congress passed the Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2015 (2015 Appropriations Act), Public Law 113–235. As noted in the explanatory statement (2015 Appropriations Act Explanatory Statement) submitted by the chairman of the Committee on Appropriations for the U.S. House of Representatives regarding the 2015 Appropriations Act, the appropriations for the Department included \$2,500,000 for a National Center for Information and Technical Support for Postsecondary Students with Disabilities (the Center), as authorized by section 777(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA). *See* 160 Cong. Rec. H9307–01, H9841 (Dec. 11, 2014). Section 4 of the 2015 Appropriations Act provides that the 2015 Appropriations Act Explanatory Statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

On June 19, 2015, the Department of Education (Department) published in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 35323) a notice inviting applications (2015 NIA) for projects for fiscal year (FY) 2015 under the National Center for Information and Technical Support for Postsecondary Students with Disabilities (NCITSPSD) competitive grant program. The purpose of the Center is to provide technical assistance and information on best and promising practices for students with disabilities as they transition to or attend postsecondary education.

In September 2015, the Department made one 48-month award to the Association on Higher Education and Disability for their project entitled the “National Center for College Students with Disabilities.”

The Department granted the Center a no-cost extension in September 2019, extending the current project period for this grantee through September 25, 2020.

On December 20, 2019, Congress passed the Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (2020 Appropriations Act), Public Law 116–94. As noted in the explanatory statement (2020 Appropriations Act Explanatory Statement) submitted by the chairwoman of the Committee on Appropriations for the U.S. House of Representatives regarding the 2020 Consolidated Appropriations Act, the appropriations for the Department included \$500,000 for the operation of the Center authorized under section 777(a) of the HEA. *See* 165 Cong. Rec. H11061–01, H11083 (Dec. 17, 2019). Section 4 of the 2020 Appropriations Act provides that the 2020 Appropriations Act Explanatory Statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

Final Waivers and Extension

The Department is waiving the requirements in 34 CFR 75.250, which prohibit project periods exceeding five years, and the requirements in 34 CFR 75.261(c)(2), which limit the extension of a project period if the extension involves the obligation of additional Federal funds. The waivers and extension would enable the project under CFDA number 84.116D to receive funding for an additional one year period, not to exceed September 25, 2021.

We are waiving these requirements to provide the necessary time and funding for the current grantee to complete outstanding project activities, as outlined in its 2015 grant. Completion of these activities, including a required biannual report to Congress, is necessary to fulfill the purpose of the program as outlined in the HEA. With the awarding of a supplement, the Center will be able to continue to provide technical assistance and information on best and promising practices for students with disabilities as they transition to or attend postsecondary education.

Additionally, the \$500,000 amount that was appropriated under the 2020 Appropriations Act is only 20 percent of the amount provided by Congress for

the program in FY 2015 and is insufficient to support a new, multiyear grant project period. We do not believe that it is the intent of Congress that the Department run a new grant program competition for the one year of funding appropriated by the 2020 Appropriations Act, as opposed to providing a supplement to the current grantee, because doing so would increase the burden on the potential applicants. Additionally, the comparatively nominal amount of funding that was appropriated for FY 2020 would create a great hardship for any newly funded applicant needing to bear responsibility for both start-up and operational costs, as well as other statutory requirements.

Under these waivers and extension of the project period—

(1) The current grantee is authorized to receive one continuation award.

(2) The Department will not announce a new competition or make new awards under the NCITSPSD competitive grant program in FY 2020.

(3) During the extension period, any activities carried out must be consistent with, or be a logical extension of, the scope, goals, and objectives of the grantee’s approved application from the 2015 NCITSPSD competition.

(4) The grantee must also continue to comply with the requirements established in the program regulations and the 2015 NIA.

The waivers of 34 CFR 75.250(a) and 75.261(c)(2) do not affect the applicability of the requirements in 34 CFR 75.253 (continuation of a multiyear project after the first budget period) to the current grantee receiving a continuation award as a result of the waivers.

In addition, these waivers do not exempt the current grantee from the account-closing provisions in 31 U.S.C. 1552(a), nor do they extend the availability of funds previously awarded to the current grantee.

Waiver of Notice and Comment Rulemaking and Delayed Effective Date Under the Administrative Procedure Act

Under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553 *et seq.*) the Department generally offers interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, the APA provides that an agency is not required to conduct notice and comment rulemaking when the agency for good cause finds that notice and public comment thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

As the Court found in *Metzenbaum v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission*, 675 F.2d 1282, 1291 (D.C. Cir. 1982), the opportunity for notice and comment where there is no discretion is “unnecessary.” *Id.* (quoting 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B)). The Court further stated that notice and comment for such a nondiscretionary action “might even have been ‘contrary to the public interest,’ given the expense that would have been involved in a futile gesture.” *Id.* See also *Lake Carriers’ Ass’n v. E.P.A.*, 652 F.3d 1, 10 (D.C. Cir. 2011) (notice and comment rulemaking “would have served no purpose” where agency lacked the authority to amend or reject the conditions at issue). As this waiver and extension fulfills our understanding of congressional intent for the \$500,000 appropriated in FY 2020 for the NCITSPSD grant program to go to the current grantee to fulfill the program’s objectives during this fiscal year, the Department finds that there is similarly good cause to waive notice and comment rulemaking. The goals of the NCITSPSD grant program cannot be met by other potential applicants with the small amount of funding available for the NCITSPSD program in FY 2020.

The APA also requires that a substantive rule must be published at least 30 days before its effective date, except as otherwise provided for good cause (5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3)). In addition to the reasons stated above, a delayed effective date would be contrary to the public interest because it might prevent the waivers and extension from taking effect prior to the expiration of the current project period. Therefore, the Secretary waives the delayed effective date provision for good cause.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

The Regulatory Flexibility Act does not apply to this rulemaking because there is good cause to waive notice and comment under 5 U.S.C. 553.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This notice of waivers and extension of project period does not contain any information collection requirements.

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance. This document provides early notification of

our specific plans and actions for this program.

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotope, or compact disc) on request to the contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Robert L. King,

Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.

[FR Doc. 2020–17145 Filed 8–4–20; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA–R04–OAR–2020–0003; FRL–10011–97–Region 4]

Air Plan Approval and Designation of Areas; Kentucky; Redesignation of the Jefferson County 2010 1-Hour Sulfur Dioxide Nonattainment Area to Attainment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In a letter dated December 9, 2019, the Commonwealth of Kentucky, through the Kentucky Division of Air Quality (KDAQ) on behalf of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD), submitted a request for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to redesignate the Jefferson County sulfur dioxide (SO₂) nonattainment area (hereinafter referred to as the “Jefferson County Area” or “Area”) to attainment for the 2010 1-hour SO₂ primary national ambient air

quality standard (NAAQS or standard) and to approve an accompanying state implementation plan (SIP) revision containing a maintenance plan for the Area. EPA is taking final action to determine that the Jefferson County Area has attained the 2010 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS; to approve the SIP revision containing the Commonwealth’s plan for maintaining attainment of the 2010 1-hour SO₂ standard and to incorporate the maintenance plan into the SIP; and to redesignate the Jefferson County Area to attainment for the 2010 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS.

DATES: This rule is effective September 8, 2020.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R04–OAR–2020–0003. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials can either be retrieved electronically via www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Madolyn Sanchez, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Ms. Sanchez may be reached by phone at (404) 562–9644 or via electronic mail at sanchez.madolyn@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. What is the background for the actions?

On June 2, 2010, EPA revised the primary SO₂ NAAQS, establishing a new 1-hour SO₂ standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb). See 75 FR 35520 (June 22,