ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6625-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BIA-K03026-CA Rating EC2, Teayawa Energy Center, Construction and Operation of a 600 megawatt (MW)(nominal output), Natural-Gas-Fired, Combined-Cycle Energy Center, On Indian Trust Land, Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians Tribe, Coachella Valley, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested additional information regarding potential impacts to air quality, water resources, and other alternatives considered.

ERP No. D-BLM-K81027-NV Rating EC2, Nevada Test and Training Range Resource Management Plan, (formerly Known as the Nellis Air Force Range (NAFR)), Implementation, Clark, Nye and Lincoln Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the protection of water quality and biological resources, especially those dependent on water quality, and asked that the Bureau of Land Management incorporate pollution prevention practices in the resource planning area.

ERP No. D-COE-E36180-MS Rating EC2, Yalobusha River Watershed, Demonstration Erosion Control Project, Construction of Six Floodwater-Retarding Structures, Yazoo Basin, Webster, Calhoun and Chickasaw Counties, MS.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the longterms effects of the preferred channelization and reservoir alternative and whether this was the best means to achieve project objectives.

ERP No. Ď-FHŴ-E40791-SC Rating EO2, James E. Clyburn Connector Project, Construction of a Two-Lane Rural Roadway Northeast of Orangeburg and Southwest of Sumter, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Calhoun, Claredon and Sumter Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the project as proposed because of the potential impacts to waters of the U.S., noise, and habitat; and requested additional information regarding these potential impacts.

ERP No. D-NPS-E65058-GA Rating LO, Fort Frederica National Monument General Management Plan, Implementation, Saint Simons Island, Glynn County, GA.

Summary: EPA review did not identify any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal.

ERP No. D-NPS-G61041-AR Rating LO, Little Rock Central High School National Historic Site General Management Plan, Future Management and Use, Implementation, Little Rock, AR.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-UAF-G11041-OK Rating EC2, Altus Air Force Base (AFB), Proposed Airfield Repairs, Improvements, Adjustments to Aircrew Training, and Installation of an Instrument Landing System (ILS) and a Microwave Landing System (MLS), Jackson County, OK.

Summary: EPA has identified environmental concerns regarding the need to provide more balance in the impact analysis and mitigation measures.

ERP No. DS-FHW-H40088-IA Rating EC2, IA-100 Extension Around Cedar Rapids, Edgewood Road to US 30, Reevaluation of the Project Corridor and Changes in Environmental Requirements, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Linn County, IA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the project as proposed will affect the Rock Island Preserve both as a park and as habitat for the Byssus Skipper, a state threatened species of butterfly. EPA requested additional information regarding the design of the preferred alternative so impacts to the Preserve, the butterfly, wetlands and floodplains may be minimized.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-G39033-LA West Bay Sediment Diversion Channel Construction Project, Funding, Plaquemines Parish, LA.

Summary: EPA has no further comments to offer on the Final EIS and

has no objections to the selection of the lead agency's preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-FHW-F40223-MN I-494 Reconstruction Corridor Study, I-394 on the west to the Minnesota River, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Hennepin County, MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections that the final EIS does not present an adequate wetland mitigation plan and that wetland impacts have increased substantially. Until an adequate wetland mitigation plan is developed, EPA would object to the issuance of a Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 Permit for the Preferred Alternative identified in the FEIS.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40239-CA Interstate 215 (I–215) Transportation Improvements, from the short segments of CA-60 and CA-91 in the Cities of Riverside andMoreno Valley, Funding, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed continuing environmental concerns that the project will provide only marginal relief to congestion while compounding the poor air quality in the region. EPA requested additional air quality information be included in the ROD.

ERP No. F-NPS-K61151-CA Lassen Volcanic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta and Tehama Counties, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: December 20, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–32038 Filed 12–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7123-6]

Good Neighbor Environmental Board Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The next meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board, a federal advisory committee that reports to the President and Congress on environmental and infrastructure projects along the U.S. border with Mexico, will take place in Washington, DC on January 23 and 24, 2002. It is open to the public. The meeting will be preceded by a new member orientation session on January 22.

DATES: On January 23, a day-long strategic planning session will begin at 8:30 a.m. and end at 5:30 p.m. On January 24, a special half-day session called Forecast 2002 will begin at 8 a.m. and end at 12 noon. The pre-meeting orientation session for new members will take place from 4–6 p.m. on January 22

ADDRESSES: The meeting site is the Omni Shoreham Hotel, 2500 Calvert Street, NW., Washington, DC 20008. The closest metro is Woodley Park-Zoo on Connecticut Avenue.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elaine M. Koerner, Designated FederalOfficer for the Good Neighbor Environmental Board, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, Office of the Administrator, USEPA, MC1601A, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20004, (202) 564–1484, koerner.elaine@epa.gov.

Meeting Access: Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact the Designated Federal Officer at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda

The strategic planning session, scheduled for all day on January 23, is the Board's annual routine planning session in which it determines priorities and processes for the coming year. The Forecast 2002 session, scheduled for the morning of January 24, will consist of substantive briefings from senior-level border region specialists and a public comment session.

Public Attendance

The public is welcome to attend all portions of the meeting. Members of the public who plan to file written statements and/or make brief (suggested 5-minute limit) oral statements at the public comment session are encouraged to contact the Designated Federal Officer for the Board prior to the meeting.

Background

The Good Neighbor Environmental Board meets three times each calendar year at different locations along the U.S.-Mexico border and also holds an annual strategic planning session. It was created by the Enterprise for the Americans Initiative Act of 1992. An Executive Order delegates implementing authority to the Administrator of EPA. The Board is responsible for providing

advice to the President and the Congress on environmental and infrastructure issues and needs within the States contiguous to Mexico in order to improve the quality of life of persons residing on the United States side of the border. The statute calls for the Board to have representatives from U.S. Government agencies; the governments of the States of Arizona, California, New Mexico and Texas; and private organizations with expertise on environmental and infrastructure problems along the southwest border. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency gives notice of this meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463).

Elaine M. Koerner,

Designated Federal Officer. [FR Doc. 01–32102 Filed 12–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-30462A; FRL-6815-2]

Pesticide Product Registrations; Conditional Approval

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces Agency approval of applications submitted by Eco Soil Systems, Inc., to conditionally register the pesticide product AtEzeTM containing a new active ingredient not included in any previously registered products pursuant to the provisions of section 3(c)(7)(C) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Anne Ball, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 308–8717; e-mail address: ball.anne@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Categories	NAICS codes	Examples of potentially affected entities
Industry	111 112 311 32532	Crop production Animal production Food manufacturing Pesticide manufacturing

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations," "Regulations and Proposed Rules," and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the Federal Register listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

To access a fact sheet which provides more detail on this registration, go to the Home Page for the Office of Pesticide Programs at http://www.epa.gov/ pesticides/, and select "fact sheet."

2. In person. The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPP-30462A. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received during an applicable comment period, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which