

TABLE 3 TO § 175.320(a)(2)

Location identified in Figure 2 to § 175.320(a)(2)	Condition requiring fire extinguishers
1 .....	Bait wells.
2 .....	Glove compartments.
3 .....	Buoyant flotation material.
4 .....	Open slatted flooring.
5 .....	Ice chests.

(b) *Recreational vessels more than 65 feet in length.* (1) A recreational vessel more than 65 feet in length must carry at least the minimum number of portable fire extinguishers specified for its tonnage as set forth in table 4 to § 175.320(b)(1).

TABLE 4 TO § 175.320(b)(1)

Gross tonnage—		Minimum number of 20-B portable fire extinguishers
More than	Not more than	
	50	1
50 .....	100	2
100 .....	500	3
500 .....	1,000	6
1,000 .....	.....	8

(2) In addition to the portable fire extinguishers required by table 4 to § 175.320(b)(1), the following fire extinguishing equipment must be fitted in the machinery space:

(i) One 20-B fire extinguisher must be carried for each 1,000 brake horsepower of the main engines or fraction. However, not more than six such extinguishers are required to be carried.

(ii) On recreational vessels of more than 300 gross tons, either one 160-B semi-portable fire extinguishing system must be fitted, or alternatively, a fixed fire extinguishing system must be fitted in the machinery space.

(3) The frame or support of each 160-B semi-portable fire extinguisher required by paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section must be welded or otherwise permanently attached to a bulkhead or deck.

(4) If an approved semi-portable fire extinguisher has wheels, it must be securely stowed when not in use to prevent it from rolling out of control under heavy sea conditions.

(c) *Extinguishers with larger numerical ratings or multiple letter designations.* Extinguishers with larger numerical ratings or multiple letter designations may be used to meet the requirements of table 1 to § 175.320(a)(1) and table 4 to § 175.320(b)(1).

#### § 175.380 Condition and number of fire extinguishers required for recreational vessels built model year 2017 or earlier.

Recreational vessels with a model year between 1953 and 2017 must meet the following requirements:

(a) Previously installed extinguishers with extinguishing capacities that are less than what is required in table 1 to § 175.320(a)(1) or table 2 to § 175.320(b)(1) need not be replaced but must be maintained in good condition.

(b) All extinguishers installed after August 22, 2016, must meet the applicable requirements in §§ 175.305 through 175.320.

#### § 175.390 Condition and number of fire extinguishers required for recreational vessels built before model year 1953.

A recreational vessel built before model year 1953 must meet the applicable number and general type of equipment provisions of §§ 175.305 through 175.320. Existing items of equipment and installations previously approved but not meeting the applicable requirements for type approval may be continued in service provided they are in good condition. All new installations and replacements must meet the requirements of §§ 175.305 through 175.320.

#### Title 46—Shipping

#### PART 25—REQUIREMENTS

■ 6. The authority citation for part 25 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1903(b); 46 U.S.C. 2103, 3306, 4102, 4302; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.2, paragraphs (II) (77), (92)(a), and 92(b).

■ 7. Revise § 25.30–1 to read as follows:

#### § 25.30–1 Applicability.

(a) This subpart applies to all vessels contracted for on or after August 22, 2016, except for recreational vessels as defined in 33 CFR 175.3, which are governed by fire safety equipment requirements at 33 CFR part 175, subpart E.

(b) All vessels contracted for before August 22, 2016, and after November 19, 1952, except recreational vessels as defined in 33 CFR 175.3, must meet the requirements of 46 CFR 25.30–80.

(c) All vessels, contracted for before November 19, 1952, except recreational vessels as defined in 33 CFR 175.3, must meet the requirements of 46 CFR 25.30–90.

Dated: October 12, 2021.

J.W. Mauger,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Assistant Commandant for Prevention Policy.

[FR Doc. 2021–22578 Filed 10–21–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

### 33 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. USCG–2021–0697]

RIN 1625–AA08

### Special Local Regulation; Sacramento Ironman Triathlon; American River and Sacramento River, Sacramento, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary special local regulation for navigable waters on the Sacramento River. The special local regulation is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by the Sacramento Ironman Triathlon marine event. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector San Francisco.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on October 24, 2021 from 6 a.m. to 10 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2021–0697 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, call or email Lieutenant Anthony I. Solares, U.S. Coast Guard District 11, Sector San Francisco, at 415–399–3585, [SFWaterways@uscg.mil](mailto:SFWaterways@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
COTP Captain of the Port  
PATCOM Patrol Commander  
U.S.C. United States Code

#### II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and

opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. We must establish this regulation by October 24, 2021 and lack sufficient time to provide a reasonable comment period and then consider those comments before issuing this rule.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule would be contrary to public interest because immediate action is needed to ensure the safety of the participants and vessels during the Sacramento Ironman Triathlon on October 24, 2021.

### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231). The Captain of the Port Sector San Francisco (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with Sacramento Ironman Triathlon on October 24, 2021, will be a safety concern from Township 9 Park to the Sacramento River at Tower Bridge for four hours. This rule is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the special local regulation while the event is taking place.

### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a special local regulation starting in the American River from Township 9 Park to the Sacramento River at Tower Bridge. The safety zone will be in effect on October 24, 2021 from 6 a.m. to 10 a.m. The duration of the zone is intended to protect participants, and the marine environment in these navigable waters while the Sacramento Ironman Triathlon is taking place. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the regulated area without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative.

### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking.

Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and the time-of-day of the special local regulation. This special local regulation would impact a small designated area of the American River and Sacramento River for a short duration and vessel traffic will be able to transit after the time of the event. Moreover, the Coast Guard will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF-FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

#### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received 00 comments from the Small Business Administration on this rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

When the special local regulation is in effect, vessel traffic can pass safely around the regulated area. The maritime public will be advised in advance of this special local regulation via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see

**ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure,

we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a special local regulation lasting only 4 hours that will prohibit entry within a 2 mile segment of the American River and Sacramento River. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph [L61] of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70041; 33 CFR 1.05–1.

■ 2. Add § 100.T1199–0697 to read as follows:

**§ 100.T1199–0697 Special Local Regulation; Sacramento Ironman Triathlon; American River and Sacramento River, Sacramento, CA**

(a) *Regulated area.* The regulations in this section apply to the following area: All waters of the American River and Sacramento River from Township 9 Park to Tower Bridge.

(b) *Regulations.* (1) All non-participants are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated

area described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector San Francisco or their designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative by VHF Channel 69 or phone at 1–415–399–3547. Those in the regulated area must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(3) The COTP will provide notice of the regulated area through advanced notice via broadcast notice to mariners.

(c) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced on October 24, 2021, from 6 a.m. to 10 a.m.

Dated: October 15, 2021.

**Taylor Q. Lam,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Francisco.*

[FR Doc. 2021–23026 Filed 10–21–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. USCG–2021–0761]

RIN 1625–AA08

#### Special Local Regulations; Perrysburg Regatta, Maumee River, Toledo, OH

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard will enforce a special local regulation for the Perrysburg Regatta from 7:30 a.m. through 3:30 p.m. on October 23, 2021 to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during this event. Our regulation for marine events within the Ninth Coast Guard District identifies the regulated area for this event in Toledo, OH. During the enforcement period, the operator of any vessel in the regulated area must comply with directions from the Patrol Commander or any Official Patrol displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

**DATES:** This regulation will be enforced from 7:30 a.m. through 3:30 p.m. on October 23, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2021–0761 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, in the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material.”

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email MST3 John Angotti, Waterways Department, Marine Safety Unit Toledo, Coast Guard; telephone (419) 418–6056, email [john.t.angotti@uscg.mil](mailto:john.t.angotti@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because we must establish this special local regulation by October 23, 2021. Delaying the effective date of this regulation for a comment period to run would be contrary to the public interest and impractical because it would inhibit the Coast Guard's ability to protect spectators and vessels from the potential safety hazards associated with a marine regatta.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this regulation would be contrary to the rule's objectives of protecting the safety of the public and property on the navigable waters in the vicinity of the regatta.

##### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231). The Captain of the Port Detroit (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the Perrysburg Regatta starting October 23, 2021, would be a safety concern for anyone navigating within the Maumee River, Toledo, OH.

##### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a temporary special local regulation from 7:30 a.m.