

Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 8, 2010.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-25818 Filed 10-13-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-10-028]

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: October 15, 2010 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. *Telephone:* (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-469 and 731-TA-1168 (Final) (Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 27, 2010.)
5. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-249 and 731-TA-262, 263, and 265 (Third Review) (Iron Construction Castings from Brazil, Canada, and China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 27, 2010.)
6. Outstanding action jackets:
 - (1) Document No. GC-10-161 concerning Inv. No. 337-TA-413

(Certain Rare-Earth Magnets and Magnetic Materials and Articles Containing Same).

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: October 8, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2010-25936 Filed 10-12-10; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-691]

In the Matter of Certain Inkjet Ink Supplies and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Claims 7 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,089,687 and Claims 2 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,301 and Finding a Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Submissions on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 18) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the investigation as to claims 7 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,089,687 and claims 2 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,301 and finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in this investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's

electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on October 29, 2009, based upon a complaint filed by Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California ("HP") on September 23, 2009, and supplemented on October 7, 2009, 74 FR 55856 (Oct. 29, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,959,985 ("the '985 patent"); 7,104,630 ("the '630 patent"); 6,089,687 ("the '687 patent"); and 6,264,301 ("the '301 patent"). The complaint named as respondents Zhuhai Gree Magneto-Electric Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China ("Zhuhai"); InkPlusToner.com of Canoga Park, California ("InkPlusToner"); Mipo International Ltd. of Kowloon, Hong Kong ("Mipo International"); Mextec Group, Inc.

d/b/a Mipo America Ltd. of Miami, Florida ("Mextec"); Shanghai Angel Printer Supplies Co. Ltd. of Shanghai, China ("Shanghai Angel"); SmartOne Services LLC d/b/a InkForSale.net of Hayward, California ("SmartOne"); Shenzhen Print Media Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China ("Shenzhen Print Media"); Comptree Ink d/b/a Meritline, ABCInk, EZ Label, and CDR DVDR Media of City of Industry, California ("Comptree"); Zhuhai National Resources & Jingjie Imaging Products Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China ("Zhuhai National"); Tatrix International of Guangdong, China ("Tatrix"); and Ourway Image Co., of Guangdong China ("Ourway").

On February 17, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 9) finding seven respondents, Mipo International, Mextec, Shanghai Angel, Shenzhen Print Media, Zhuhai National, Tatrix, and Ourway in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16. On March 19, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 11) terminating the investigation as to respondent Comptree based upon a settlement agreement. Also on March 19, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 12) terminating the investigation as to respondent Zhuhai based upon a consent order. On March 31, 2010, the

Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 13) terminating the investigation as to respondent InkPlusToner based upon a settlement agreement. On June 7, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 14) terminating the investigation as to respondent SmartOne based upon a settlement agreement.

On June 3, 2010, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 17) terminating the investigation as to the '985 patent and the '630 patent.

On June 17, 2010, HP filed an unopposed motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a) to withdraw all allegations related to claims 7 and 10 of the '687 patent and claims 2 and 3 of the '301 patent from the complaint, and to terminate the investigation with respect to those claims.

On May 7, 2010, HP moved for summary determination on the issues of domestic industry, importation, and violation of section 337. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(c)(2), 19 CFR 216(c)(2), HP also stated that it was seeking a general exclusion order and a cease and desist order against Mextec. On June 2, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney submitted a response in support of a finding that a domestic industry exists and that the defaulting respondents, Mipo International, Mextec, Shanghai Angel, Shenzhen Print Media, Zhuhai National, Tatrix, and Ourway have violated section 337 by infringing claims 6 and 9 of the '687 patent and claims 1, 5, and 6 of the '301 patent.

On August 30, 2010, the presiding administrative law judge issued the subject ID, Order No. 18, granting: (1) HP's motion to terminate the investigation as to claims 7 and 10 of the '687 patent and claims 2 and 3 of the '301 patent, and (2) HP's motion for summary determination of violation of section 337 with respect to the defaulting respondents. He also recommended a general exclusion order, a cease and desist order directed to domestic respondent Mextec, and a 100 percent bond to permit importation during the period of Presidential review. No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) Issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent(s) being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles.

Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) The public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainants and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainants are also requested to state the dates that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on Thursday, October 28, 2010. Reply submissions

must be filed no later than the close of business on Thursday, November 4, 2010. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 210.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 7, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-25812 Filed 10-13-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree

Notice is hereby given that on September 23, 2010, an electronic version of a proposed Consent Decree was lodged in the United States District Court for District of Arizona in *United States v. CalPortland Company*, No. 4:10-CV-00573-DCB. The Consent Decree settles the United States' claims for civil penalties and injunctive relief against CalPortland Company ("CPC") based on violations of the Clean Air Act (the "Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, and the Air Implementation Plan for the State of Arizona approved by EPA pursuant to the Act, in connection with modifications to CPC's cement manufacturing plant in Rillito, Arizona (the "Facility").

Under the terms of the proposed Consent Decree, CPC will pay a civil penalty of \$350,000 and will perform injunctive relief. The proposed decree sets forth two compliance options for