section of today's **Federal Register**, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. *See* section 307(b)(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 13, 2015.

V. Anne Heard,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart RR—Tennessee

■ 2. In § 52.2220, table 1 in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry "Section 1200–3–18–.02" to read as follows:

§ 52.2220 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

TABLE 1—EPA APPROVED TENNESSEE REGULATIONS

State citation	Title/subject		State effective date	EPA approval date		Explanation						
*	*	*		*	*	*	*					
Chapter 1200–3–18 Volatile Organic Compounds												
*	*	*		*	*	*	*					
Section 1200–3–18–.02	General Provision Applicability.	ns and	12/18/2014	3/5/2015 [Ins Register c		Emissions Statem clarifies that 25 to required to submit and allows subject to the local permi	, and Anderson County to the trequirement applicability; ns or more NO_X sources are the triangle in addition to VOC sources; to sources to send statements of the treatment of the Tennessee Air Board.					
*	*	*		*	*	*	*					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2012-0798; FRL 9923-92-Region 4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Mississippi: New Source Review—Prevention of Significance Deterioration

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve revisions to the Mississippi State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Mississippi, through the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), on February 10, 2012. The SIP revision modifies Mississippi's New Source Review (NSR) Prevention of Significant

Deterioration (PSD) program to incorporate by reference (IBR) certain Federal PSD regulations. The revision also removes certain language from the SIP that is no longer relevant. EPA is approving Mississippi's February 10, 2012, revision to Mississippi's SIP because the Agency has determined that the changes are consistent with the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and EPA's PSD permitting regulations.

DATES: This rule is effective April 6, 2015.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA-R04-OAR-2012-0798. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through

www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section (formerly the Regulatory Development Section), Air Planning and Implementation Branch (formerly the Air Planning Branch), Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tiereny Bell, Air Regulatory and Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, Region 4, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9088. Ms. Bell can also be reached via electronic mail at bell.tiereny@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

EPA is taking final action to approve Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP revision to IBR ¹ federal requirements for NSR permitting. Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP revision includes changes to the air quality regulations in Air Pollution Control, Section 5 (APC-S-5)—Regulations for the Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality. These rule changes were provided to comply with Federal NSR PSD permitting requirements. The February 10, 2012, SIP submission updates the IBR date at APC-S-5 to November 4, 2011, for the Federal PSD permitting regulations at 40 CFR 52.21 and portions of 51.166 to include PSD provisions promulgated in the Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Biomass Deferral Rule,² Particulate Matter (PM₁₀), Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal,3 and Reasonable Possibility Rule.4 However, EPA cannot act on the portion of Mississippi's SIP submission that IBR the July 20, 2011, CO₂ Biomass Deferral Rule because the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) issued a decision on July 12, 2013, in Center for Biological Diversity v. EPA, 722 F.3d 401 (D.C. Cir. 2013) vacating the rule. Accordingly, Mississippi has since submitted a letter to EPA dated October 22, 2014, requesting that the Biomass Deferral Rule provisions from the February 10, 2012, SIP submission be withdrawn from EPA's consideration; therefore these provisions are no longer before EPA for consideration. The letter can be found in Docket ID: EPA-R04-OAR-2012-0798.

On August 6, 2014, EPA published a proposed rulemaking to approve the aforementioned changes to MDEQ's NSR program at APC–S–5. See 79 FR 45733. Comments on the proposed rulemaking were due on or before September 5, 2014. No comments, adverse or otherwise, were received on EPA's August 6, 2014, proposed

rulemaking. Pursuant to section 110 of the CAA, EPA is now taking final action to approve the changes to Mississippi's NSR program as provided in EPA's August 6, 2014, proposed rulemaking. EPA's August 6, 2014, proposed rulemaking contains more detailed information regarding Mississippi's SIP revision being approved today, and the rationale for today's final action. Detailed information regarding the Reasonable Possibility Rule and PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal can be found in EPA's August 6, 2014, proposed rulemaking as well as in the aforementioned final rulemakings. See 72 FR 72607 (December 21, 2007) and 76 FR 28646 (May 18, 2011), respectively. These rulemakings are summarized below. This final action approves a revision to the Mississippi SIP that (1) IBR the PSD provisions promulgated in the PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal and the Reasonable Possibility Rule, and (2) removes language from the SIP relating to the PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy and the Reasonable Possibility Rule that is no longer relevant.

A. Reasonable Possibility Rule

On December 14, 2007, EPA issued a final rule that provides additional explanation and more detailed criteria to clarify the "reasonable possibility" recordkeeping and reporting standard found in 40 CFR 52.21(r)(6) and 40 CFR 51.165(a)(6) and 51.166(r)(6) of the 2002 NSR reform rules.⁵ The "reasonable possibility" standard establishes for sources and reviewing authorities the criteria for determining when recordkeeping and reporting are required for a major stationary source undergoing a physical change or change in the method of operation that does not trigger major NSR permitting requirements. The standard also specifies the recordkeeping and reporting requirements for such sources. The December 14, 2007, final rule clarified and required recordkeeping and reporting when the projected increase in emissions to which the "reasonable possibility" test applies equals or exceeds 50 percent of the Act's NSR significance levels for any pollutant. See 72 FR 72607. NSR significance levels are pollutant-specific threshold emission rates (tons per year). If a project results in an emissions

increase of a regulated NSR pollutant that equals or exceeds the significance level for that pollutant, the increase is a "significant emissions increase" and NSR permitting requirements would apply. EPA's December 14, 2007, rulemaking clarifying the reasonable possibility provision was in response to the June 24, 2005, remand from the D.C. Circuit Court requiring that EPA either provide an acceptable explanation for its "reasonable possibility" standard or devise an appropriately supported alternative

MDEQ adopted the NSR Reform rules in the SIP on July 28, 2005, but did not incorporate the "reasonable possibility" provision into their SIP at APC-S-5 due to the remand. In its 2005 PSD regulations at APC-S-5(2.6), MDEQ excluded the following phrase from its IBR of 40 CFR 52.21: "In circumstances where there is a reasonable possibility, within the meaning of paragraph (r)(6)(vi) of 40 CFR 52.21, that a project that is not a part of a major modification may result in a significant emissions increase." 6 MDEQ's February 10, 2012, SIP revision removes the "reasonable possibility" exclusion at APC-S-5(2.6) and IBR EPA's December 21, 2007, revised definition of "reasonable possibility" into its SIP.

B. PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal

In the NSR PM $_{2.5}$ Rule, ⁷ EPA finalized regulations to establish the framework for implementing preconstruction permit review for the PM $_{2.5}$ NAAQS in both attainment and nonattainment areas. This rule included a grandfather provision that allowed PSD applicants that submitted their complete permit application prior to the July 15, 2008, effective date of the NSR PM $_{2.5}$ Rule to continue to rely on the 1997 PM $_{10}$ Surrogate Policy rather than amend

¹Throughout this rulemaking the acronym IBR means "incorporate by reference" or "incorporates by reference."

 $^{^2}$ "Deferral for CO $_2$ Emissions From Bioenergy and Other Biogenic Sources Under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V Programs," Final Rule, 76 FR 43490, (July 20, 2011) (hereinafter referred to as the "CO $_2$ Biomass Deferral Rule").

 $^{^3}$ Implementation of the New Source Review (NSR) Program for Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5 Micrometers (PM_{2.5}); Final Rule To Repeal Grandfather Provision, 76 FR 28646 (May 18, 2011) (hereafter referred to as the "PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal").

⁴ "Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Nonattainment New Source Review: Reasonable Possibility in Recordkeeping" Final Rule, 72 FR 72607, (December 21, 2007) (hereinafter referred to as the "Reasonable Possibility Rule").

⁵Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Nonattainment New Source Review: Reasonable Possibility in Recordkeeping, 72 FR 72607 (December 21, 2007) (hereafter referred to as the Reasonable Possibility Rule). For additional information on the 2002 NSR Reform Rules, see 67 FR 80186 (December 31, 2002) and http://www.epa.gov/nsr.

⁶ On July 10, 2006, EPA published the final rulemaking approving Mississippi's SIP revision adopting the NSR Reform Rule.1 See 71 FR 38773. In the approval, EPA acknowledged that Mississippi's rule did not contain the reasonable possibility language that was included in the remand and stated, "EPA continues to move forward with its evaluation of the portion of its NSR reform rules that were remanded by the D.C. Circuit and is preparing to respond to the D.C. Circuit's remand. EPA's final decision with regard to the remand may require EPA to take further action on this portion of Mississippi's rules."

⁷This rulemaking established regulations to implement the NSR program for the PM_{2.5} NAAQS on May 16, 2008. See 73 FR 28321. As a result of EPA's final NSR PM_{2.5} Rule, states were required to submit SIP revisions to EPA no later than May 16, 2011, to address these requirements for both the PSD and NNSR programs. On May 12, 2011, Mississippi submitted a SIP revision to IBR the NSR PM_{2.5} Rule into the state's SIP at APC−S−5. EPA approved portions of the NSR PM_{2.5} rule into the Mississippi SIP PSD program on September 26, 2012. See 77 FR 59095.

their application to demonstrate compliance directly with the new PM_{2.5} requirements. See 73 FR 28321. On May 12, 2011, Mississippi submitted a SIP revision that excluded the PM₁₀ surrogate grandfathering provision at 40 CFR 52.21(i)(1)(xi) from the state's PSD regulations. EPA approved portions of Mississippi's May 12, 2011, SIP revision on September 26, 2012 (77 FR 59095). On May 18, 2011, EPA took final action to repeal the PM_{2.5} grandfathering provision at 40 CFR 52.21(i)(1)(xi). See 76 FR 28646. Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP revision IBR the version of 40 CFR 52.21 that includes the PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfathering Rule Repeal and removes the May 12, 2011, PM₁₀ surrogate exclusion language from the PSD regulations at APC-S-5.

II. This Action

EPA is taking final action to approve Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP submission that updates the IBR date in Mississippi's SIP (at APC–S–5) to November 4, 2011, for 40 CFR 52.21 and portions of 51.166, to include PSD provisions promulgated in the PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal and Reasonable Possibility Rule. As stated above and in EPA's August 6, 2014, proposed rulemaking, EPA is not approving the CO₂ Biomass Deferral Rule into the Mississippi SIP because of the D.C. Circuit court's July 12, 2013, decision to vacate the rule. Accordingly, on October 22, 2014, MDEQ submitted a letter to EPA requesting that the CO₂ Biomass Deferral Rule provisions in the February 10, 2012, SIP submission be withdrawn from EPA consideration; therefore these provisions are no longer before EPA for consideration. Regarding the 2007 Reasonable Possibility Rule, Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP revision removes the "reasonable possibility" exclusion at APC-S-5(2.6) and IBR EPA's December 21, 2007, revised definition of "reasonable possibility."

Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP submittal also incorporates into the Mississippi SIP the version of 40 CFR 52.21 as of November 4, 2011, which includes the May 18, 2011, PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal. Thus, the language previously approved into Mississippi SIP at APC—S–5(2.7) that excludes the grandfathering provision is no longer necessary. Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP submittal removes this unnecessary language.

III. Final Action

EPA is taking final action to approve Mississippi's February 10, 2012, SIP revision that (1) updates the IBR date in APC–S–5 to November 4, 2011, for the Federal PSD permitting regulations at 40 CFR 52.21 and portions of 51.166 to include the Reasonable Possibility Rule and the PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy Repeal, and (2) removes language from the SIP at APC–S–5 pertaining to the PM₁₀ Surrogate and Grandfather Policy and the Reasonable Possibility Rule that is no longer relevant. EPA has made the determination that these changes to Mississippi's SIP are approvable because they are consistent with section 110 of the CAA and EPA's PSD permitting regulations.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would

be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

 does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 4, 2015. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of iudicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. See section 307(b)(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 13, 2015.

V. Anne Heard,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42.U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart Z—Mississippi

■ 2. Section 52.1270(c), is amended by revising the entries under the heading "APC-S-5" to read as follows:

§ 52.1270 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

EPA APPROVED MISSISSIPPI REGULATIONS

APC-S-5 Regulations for Prevention of Significant Deterioration for Air Quality 12/14/2011 3/5/2015 [Insert Federal Register citation]. The approval does not include incorporation by reference the CO2 Biomass Deferral which was withdrawn by State on October 22, 2014. On 9–26–2012, EPA approa revision to APC-S-5 which incorporated by reference regulations found at 40 CFR 52.21 as of March 22, 2014. On 9–26–2012, EPA approared in the May 16, 2008 NSR PM ₂₂ . Rule 40 CFR 51.166(b)(49)(vi)) and the PM ₂₃ . SILs threshold provisions (as promulgated in the October 20, 2010 PPSD Increment-SILs-SMC Rule at 40 CFR 52.21(s) Note: On October 22, 2014, Mississippi withdrew the PI SILs provision from Mississippi's May 18, 2011 SIP Subrision. On December 29, 2010, EPA approved a revision to APC-5 which incorporated by reference the regulations found 40 CFR 52.21 as of September 13, 2010. See 75 81858. That action approved the incorporation by refere with the exception of the phrase "except ethanol produce facilities producing ethanol by natural fermentation ure the North American Industry Classification System (NAI codes 325193 or 312140," APC-S-5 incorporated by erence from 40 CFR 52.21(b)(1)(i)(a) and (b)(1(iii)(). A tionally, that final EPA action did not incorporate by	State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date		Explanation	
All	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
the CO2 Biomass Deferral which was withdrawn by State on October 22, 2014. On 9–26–2012, EPA approare regulations found at 40 CFR 52.21 as of March 22, 2014. On 9–26–2012, EPA approare to APC—S–5 which incorporated by reference regulations found at 40 CFR 52.21 as of March 22, 2014. This approval did not include Mississippi's revision to (at Rule APC—S–5) the term "particulate matter emissic (as promulgated in the May 16, 2008 NSR PM _{2.5} Rule 40 CFR 51.166(b)(49)(vi)) and the PM _{2.5} SILs threshold provisions (as promulgated in the October 20, 2010 PSD Increment-SILs—SMC Rule at 40 CFR 52.21(k) Note: On October 22, 2014, Mississippi withdrew the PSILs provision from Mississippi's May 18, 2011 SIP Subraion. On December 29, 2010, EPA approved a revision to APC—5 which incorporated by reference the regulations found 40 CFR 52.21 as of September 13, 2010. See 75 81858. That action approved the incorporation by refere with the exception of the phrase "except ethanol producing ethanol by natural fermentation und the North American Industry Classification System (NAI codes 325193 or 312140," APC—S—5 incorporated by erence from 40 CFR 52.21(b)(1)(i)(a) and (b)(1(iii)(t). A tionally, that final EPA action did not incorporate by		APC-S	–5 Regulations for	Prevention of Signific	cant Deterioration fo	r Air Quality	
erence, into the Mississippi SIP, the administrative reg tions that were amended in the Fugitive Emissions Rule FR 77882) and are stayed through October 3, 2011.	All		12/14/2011	Federal Register	the CO2 Biomas State on October a revision to APC regulations found This approval did (at Rule APC—S-(as promulgated 40 CFR 51.166(b) provisions (as pressions) (as pressio	ss Deferral which war 22, 2014. On 9–26–2 C–S–5 which incorpora at 40 CFR 52.21 as d not include Mississip-5) the term "particulatin the May 16, 2008 o)(49)(vi)) and the PM2 omulgated in the Octo SILs–SMC Rule at 40 er 22, 2014, Mississippism August 13, and approved the incorping of the phrase "excepting ethanol by natural ara 112140," APC–S–5 CFR 52.21(b)(1)(i)(a) at 12140, and	s withdrawn by the 2012, EPA approved ted by reference the of March 22, 2011 ppi's revision to IBF te matter emissions NSR PM _{2.5} Rule (a 5 SILs threshold and ober 20, 2010 PM _{2.5} CFR 52.21(k)(2)) is withdrew the PM _{2.6} at 2011 SIP Submis revision to APC—S-regulations found a 2010. See 75 FF to a 2011 significant of the standard production formentation under incorporated by reference to the standard production (NAICS) and (b)(1(iii)(t). Addinicorporate by reference to the standard production formentation under the standard production former than the standard production for t

[FR Doc. 2015–05072 Filed 3–4–15; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 64

[Docket ID FEMA-2015-0001; Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-8373]

Suspension of Community Eligibility

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule identifies communities where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under

the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) that are scheduled for suspension on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the floodplain management requirements of the program. If the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will not occur and a notice of this will be provided by publication in the Federal Register on a subsequent date. Also, information identifying the current participation status of a community can be obtained from FEMA's Community Status Book (CSB). The CSB is available at http:// www.fema.gov/fema/csb.shtm.

DATES: The effective date of each community's scheduled suspension is

the third date ("Susp.") listed in the third column of the following tables.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you want to determine whether a particular community was suspended on the suspension date or for further information, contact Bret Gates, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–4133.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NFIP enables property owners to purchase Federal flood insurance that is not otherwise generally available from private insurers. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management measures aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits the sale of NFIP flood