

in level flight, and have a power-off stall speed that does not exceed 35 knots calibrated airspeed.

*Grant, 06/27/2001, Exemption No. 4274I.*

[FR Doc. 01-17244 Filed 7-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Railroad Administration**

**Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and its implementing regulations, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) hereby announces that it is seeking renewal of the following currently approved information collection activities. Before submitting these information collection requirements for clearance by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), FRA is soliciting public comment on specific aspects of the activities identified below.

**DATES:** Comments must be received no later than September 10, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments on any or all of the following proposed activities by mail to either: Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Safety, Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS-21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590, or Ms. Dian Deal, Office of Information Technology and Productivity Improvement, RAD-20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590. Commenters requesting FRA to acknowledge receipt of their respective comments must include a self-addressed stamped postcard stating, "Comments on OMB control number 2130-0524.

Alternatively, comments may be transmitted via facsimile to (202) 493-

6265 or (202) 493-6170, or E-mail to Mr. Brogan at robert.brogan@fra.dot.gov, or to Ms. Deal at dian.deal@fra.dot.gov. Please refer to the assigned OMB control number in any correspondence submitted. FRA will summarize comments received in response to this notice in a subsequent notice and include them in its information collection submission to OMB for approval.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Robert Brogan, Office of Planning and Evaluation Division, RRS-21, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 17, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493-6292) or Dian Deal, Office of Information Technology and Productivity Improvement, RAD-20, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., NW., Mail Stop 35, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493-6133). (These telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Pub. L. 104-13, § 2, 109 Stat. 163 (1995) (codified as revised at 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR Part 1320, require Federal agencies to provide 60-days notice to the public for comment on information collection activities before seeking approval for reinstatement or renewal by OMB. 44 U.S.C. (c)(2)(A); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), 1320.10(e)(1), 1320.12(a). Specifically, FRA invites interested respondents to comment on the following summary of proposed information collection activities regarding (i) whether the information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the activities will have practical utility; (ii) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (iii) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (iv) ways for FRA to minimize the burden of information

collection activities on the public by automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses). See 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)(i)-(iv); 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1)(i)-(iv). FRA believes that soliciting public comment will promote its efforts to reduce the administrative and paperwork burdens associated with the collection of information mandated by Federal regulations. In summary, FRA reasons that comments received will advance three objectives: (i) reduce reporting burdens; (ii) ensure that it organizes information collection requirements in a "user friendly" format to improve the use of such information; and (iii) accurately assess the resources expended to retrieve and produce information requested. See 44 U.S.C. 3501.

Below is a brief summary of the currently approved information collection activities that FRA will submit for clearance by OMB as required under the PRA:

*Title:* Radio Communications.

*OMB Control Number:* 2130-0524.

*Abstract:* The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) amended its radio standards and procedures to promote compliance by making the regulations more flexible; to require wireless communications devices, including radios, for specified classifications of railroad operations and roadway workers; and to re-title this part to reflect its coverage of other means of wireless communications such as cellular telephones, data radio terminals, and other forms of wireless communications to convey emergency and need-to-know information. The new rule establishes safe, uniform procedures covering the use of radio and other wireless communications within the railroad industry.

*Form Number(s):* N/A.

*Affected Public:* Businesses.

*Respondent Universe:* 685 railroads.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion; annually.

*Reporting Burden:*

CFR section	Respondent universe (railroads)	Total annual responses	Average time per response	Total annual burden hours	Total annual burden cost
220.8—Waivers .....	685	2 letters .....	60 minutes .....	2	\$78
220.25—Instruction of Employees .....	685	70,000 sessions .....	30 minutes .....	35,000	1,120,000
—Sub. Yrs.-Instr. ....	685	12,540 sessions .....	30 minutes .....	6,270	200,640
—Operational Testing of Empl. ...	685	100,000 tests .....	15 minutes .....	25,000	800,000
220.35—Testing Radio/Wireless Communication Eq.	685	780,000 tests .....	30 seconds .....	6,500	208,000
220.61—Transmission of Mandatory Dir.	685	7,200,000 directives .....	1.5 minutes .....	180,000	5,760,000

CFR section	Respondent universe (railroads)	Total annual responses	Average time per response	Total annual burden hours	Total annual burden cost
—Marking Man. Dir. ....	685	624,000 marks .....	15 seconds .....	2,600	83,200

*Total Responses:* 8,786,542.  
*Estimated Total Annual Burden:* 255,372 hours.

*Status:* Regular Review.

Pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b), 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 5, 2001.

**Kathy A. Weiner**

*Director, Office of Information Technology and Support Systems, Federal Railroad Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01–17245 Filed 7–9–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2001–10044; Notice 1]

#### Reliance Trailer Co., LLC; Application for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224

We are asking for comments on the application by Reliance Trailer Co., LLC, of Spokane, Washington (“Reliance”), for an exemption of two years from Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224 *Rear Impact Protection*. Reliance asserts that compliance would cause substantial economic hardship to a manufacturer that has tried in good faith to comply with the standard.

We are publishing this notice of receipt of the application in accordance with our regulations on the subject. This action does not mean that we have made a judgment yet about the merits of the application.

#### Why Reliance Says That It Needs an Exemption.

In February 2001, Reliance acquired the assets of SturdyWeld, another Washington company, in order to commence manufacture of “trailers built to mate with asphalt paving equipment.” This appears to be a horizontal discharge trailer that is used in the road construction industry to

deliver asphalt and other road building materials to the construction site.

Standard No. 224 requires, effective January 26, 1998, that all trailers with a GVWR of 4536 Kg or more, including Reliance’s trailers, be fitted with a rear impact guard that conforms to Standard No. 223 *Rear impact guards*. Reliance argued that installation of the rear impact guard will prevent its trailers from connecting to the paver and performing their mission. Thus, its trailers will no longer be functional.

#### Reliance’s Reasons Why It Believes That Compliance Would Cause It Substantial Economic Hardship and That It Has Tried in Good Faith to Comply with Standard No. 224

Reliance is a small volume manufacturer whose total production in the 12-month period preceding its petition was 268 trailers. In the absence of an exemption, Reliance says that “considering the over \$2 million paid for the [SturdyWeld] Division and if we are able to sell the over \$1 million inventory, but have to shut this operation down, we would probably lose over \$1 million.” Its cumulative net income after taxes for the fiscal years 1998, 1999, and 2000 was \$150,793.

Reliance apparently learned of its compliance problem after producing 26 of the trailers in question. It has determined that these trailers fail to comply with Standard No. 224, and has notified NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573. It has also filed a petition for a determination that the noncompliance is inconsequential to safety. Reliance has also discovered that “this is a nationwide, yet unsolved, problem,” citing three manufacturers of similar trailers who have received temporary exemptions from Standard No. 224, Beall Trailers, Red River Manufacturing, and Dan Hill Associates.

The petition discusses “possible alternative means of compliance” which “will include the analysis of moveable, replaceable or retractable under-rides. To date these concepts are very difficult to maintain due to the nature of the paving material.” After discussion with its customers, Reliance “will proceed to design, build and test prototype designs to meet the regulations and allow dumping asphalt into paving equipment.” It believes that it will comply by the end of a two-year exemption period.

#### Reliance’s Reasons Why It Believes That a Temporary Exemption Would Be in the Public Interest and Consistent with Objectives of Motor Vehicle Safety

Reliance argues that an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with traffic safety objectives because the trailers “represent about 80% of the output of the 38 employees” of the SturdyWeld division, and, “if this petition is denied, the operation will be closed and those people will be out of jobs.” An exemption would allow it “to continue to provide equipment needed by road building industries to expand and develop” the national transportation system.

The trailers will be built in small quantities. “Typical hauls are short” with a minimal amount of time traveling on highways compared with most freight trailers,” which “diminishes the exposure for these vehicles.” Reliance knows of no rear end collisions and consequent injuries with its type of trailer.

#### How You May Comment on Reliance’s Application

If you would like to comment on Reliance’s application, please do so in writing, in duplicate, referring to the docket and notice number, and mail to: Docket Management, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

We shall consider all comments received before the close of business on the date indicated below. Comments are available for examination in the docket in room PL–401 both before and after that date, between the hours of 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. To the extent possible, we also consider comments filed after the closing date. We will publish our decision on the application, pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: August 9, 2001.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30113; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.4.

Issued on July 5, 2001.

**Stephen R. Kratzke,**

*Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.*

[FR Doc. 01–17229 Filed 7–9–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**