Current Actions: New collection of information.

Type of Review: New collection. Affected Public: Individuals and households, businesses and organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: 125.

Respondents: 1,604,168. Annual responses: 1,604,168 responses.

Frequency of Response: Once per request.

Average minutes per response: 5.46545 minutes.

Burden hours: 146,125 hours. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Dated: March 15, 2011.

## Faye Lipsky,

 $Reports\ Clearance\ Officer,\ Center\ for\ Reports\ Clearance,\ Social\ Security\ Administration.$ 

[FR Doc. 2011-6452 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice: 7371]

Office of the Chief of Protocol; Gifts to Federal Employees From Foreign Government Sources Reported by Employing Agencies in Calendar Year 2009; Correction

**AGENCY:** Department of State. **ACTION:** Notice; Correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State published a document in the **Federal Register** of January 18, 2011 concerning Gifts to Federal Employees from Foreign Government Sources Reported to Employing Agencies in Calendar Year 2009. The document contained the incorrect title of a foreign dignitary.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Solomon, Office of the Chief of Protocol (202) 647–1333/ Solomonda@State.gov.

## Correction

In the **Federal Register** of January 18, 2011 in FR Vol. 76, No. 11, page 2983, in the third entry in the third column under "Identity of foreign donor and government", the title of the President of the Constitutional Court of Korea is incorrect and should be changed from "President Kang-Kook Lee, Constitutional Court of Korea,

Average minutes per response: 30. Burden hours: 2,500,000.

Democratic People's Republic of Korea" to read: "President Kang-Kook Lee, Constitutional Court of Korea, Republic of Korea".

Dated: March 14, 2011.

### Patrick F. Kennedy,

Under Secretary for Management, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011-6457 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-10-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice: 7324]

# Advisory Committee on International Postal and Delivery Services

**AGENCY:** Department of State. **ACTION:** Notice; FACA Committee meeting announcement.

SUMMARY: As required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, the Department of State gives notice of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on International Postal and Delivery Services. This Committee has been formed in fulfillment of the provisions of the 2006 Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (Pub. L. 109–435) and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

**DATES:** April 7, 2011 from 2 p.m. to about 5 p.m. (open to the public).

Location: The American Institute of Architects (Boardroom), 1735 New York Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20006.

Meeting agenda: The agenda of the meeting will include a review of the results of the October 2010 UPU Council of Administration, the major issues to arise at the April 2011 UPU Postal Operations Council and other subjects related to international postal and delivery services of interest to Advisory Committee members and the public.

Public input: Any member of the public interested in providing public input to the meeting should contact Mr. Mohammed Nauage, whose contact information is listed below. Each individual providing oral input is requested to limit his or her comments to five minutes. Requests to be added to the speaker list must be received in writing (letter, e-mail or fax) prior to the close of business on March 31, 2011; written comments from members of the public for distribution at this meeting must reach Mr. Nauage by letter, e-mail or fax by this same date. A member of the public requesting reasonable accommodation should make the request to Mr. Nauage by that same date.

For further information, please contact Mohammed Nauage, Office of

Global Systems (IO/GS), Bureau of International Organization Affairs, U.S. Department of State, at (202) 647–1044, NauageM@state.gov.

Dated: February 18, 2011.

#### Dennis M. Delehanty,

Foreign Affairs Officer, Department of State. [FR Doc. 2011–6454 Filed 3–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-19-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0831]

# Airport Improvement Program (AIP): Interim Policy Regarding Access to Airports From Residential Property

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

**ACTION:** Interim policy; amendment to

sponsor grant assurance 5.

**SUMMARY:** This action adopts an interim policy amending and clarifying FAA policy concerning through-the-fence access to a federally-obligated airport from an adjacent or nearby property, when that property is used as a residence, and permits continuation of existing access subject to certain standards. This action also modifies sponsor grant assurance 5, Preserving Rights and Powers, to prohibit new residential through-the-fence access to a federally-obligated airport. Prior FAA policy discouraged through-the-fence access to a federally-obligated airport from an off-airport residence. Owners of properties used both as a residence and for the storage of personal aircraft, sometimes called "hangar homes," had urged the agency to permit an exception to the through-the-fence policy for residents who own aircraft.

At this time, the FAA is adopting an interim policy. The policy review conducted in 2010 highlighted a number of differences among the airports identified as having residential through-the-fence arrangements. As a result, the FAA believes it will take more time and more detailed information to better understand these arrangements and how they impact each airport sponsor's ability to comply with its grant assurances. However, the agency also acknowledges that interested stakeholders have a more immediate need for resolution. The goal of the interim policy is to strike a careful balance by accommodating residential through-the-fence access where it already exists.

To date, the FAA has not been able to clearly define the specific criteria or