

areas; on Riparian Habitat Conservation Area; and on changes to public access on roads and trails, including recreational and mining claim access.

Possible Alternatives

The NEPA implementing regulations require that an Environmental Impact Statement evaluate alternatives. possible alternatives to be considered in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement include: No activities in Inventory Roadless Areas and decommissioning of the end of #2002 Road from the junction of the #2002C Road.

Additional alternatives may arise from public comments, analysis or new information.

Decision To Be Made

The decisions to be made in response to this analysis include (1) Are vegetation management and restoration activities needed and if so where, what activities, when and how would they be implemented? (2) What transportation systems (road and trail) are necessary in the analysis area and how will they be managed? (3) Are the fish habitat and water quality improvement activities for Forest Plan upward trend requirements needed and if so where, when and how would they be implemented? (4) What mitigation is needed to assure forest management activities are consistent with the Nez Perce Forest Plan and environmental law? (5) is the amendment, for soils, to the Nez Perce Forest Plan necessary to implement the proposed actions and other future activities? (6) What implementation and effectiveness monitoring is needed?

Estimate Dates

The responsible official for this project is the Nez Perce Forest Supervisor. Comments to this notice should be sent to the address and contacts identified above and should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is expected to be available in November 2007 and a Final EIS in May 2008. Should an action alternative be selected, implementation would be initiated in the spring of 2009. Implementation of any or all of the actions authorized with this decision may occur utilizing the stewardship contracting authorities granted in Section 347 of the 1999 Interior Appropriations Bill.

Comments Requested

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency

publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

Reviewers should provide their comments during the comment period. Timely comments will enable the agency to analyze and respond to them at one time and to use them in the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement, thus avoiding undue delay in the decision-making process. Furthermore, the more specific and substantive the comments, the better for reviewers and the agency alike. Reviewers have an obligation to "structure their participation in the National Environmental Policy Act process so that it is meaningful and alerts the agency to the reviewer's position and contentions." *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 552 (1978). *Dept. of Transportation v. Public Citizen*, 541 U.S. 752, 764 (2004). Environmental concerns that could have been raised at the draft stage may therefore be forfeited if not raised until after completion of the Final Environmental Impact Statement. Comments on the draft should be specific and should address the adequacy of the draft and the merits of the alternatives discussed (40 CFR 1503.3).

Dated: June 22, 2007.

Jane L. Cottrell,

Forest Supervisor, Nez Perce National Forest.
[FR Doc. 07-3158 Filed 6-27-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

Change of Address

The office of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board staff has moved within the Herbert Clark Hoover Building (Main Commerce Building). Submissions to the FTZ Board should hereafter be directed to the address below:

Foreign-Trade-Zones Board,
U.S. Department of Commerce,
1401 Constitution Ave. NW.,
Room 2111,
Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: June 22, 2007.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-12567 Filed 6-27-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XB02

Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act Provisions; Application for Exempted Fishing Permits (EFPs)

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notification of a request for EFPs to conduct experimental fishing; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This request for the continuation of an EFP involves the non-destructive collection of size frequency and population data on legal and sublegal lobsters as part of an ongoing research project to monitor the offshore lobster fishery in Lobster Management Area 3. Continuation of this EFP, until December 31, 2008, would not involve the authorization of any additional trap gear in the area. A maximum of seven participating commercial fishing vessels will continue the collection of data on the composition of lobsters in four general offshore study areas in a collaborative effort with the Atlantic Offshore Lobstermen's Association (AOLA). Continuation of this EFP would authorize each participating commercial fishing vessel to continue to utilize one modified juvenile lobster collector trap to collect population data. The lobster trap modifications are to the escape vents, and trap entrance head. Therefore, this modified trap would impact its environment no differently than the regular lobster trap it replaces and will add no additional traps to the area. After data is collected on lobsters in the trap, all sub-legal lobsters will be immediately returned to the sea. The EFP waives the American lobster escape vent requirement for a maximum of one trap per vessel for a maximum of seven vessels in the program.

The Director, State, Federal and Constituent Programs Office, Northeast Region, NMFS (Office Director) has made a preliminary determination that the subject EFP application contains all the required information and warrants further consideration. The Office Director has also made a preliminary determination that continuation of the activities authorized under the EFPs would be consistent with the goals and objectives of Federal management of the American lobster resource. However, further review and consultation may be