subject firm. The denial notice was signed on December 26, 2001, and was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2002 (67 FR 1513).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of connector holders at SYST-A-MATIC Tool & Design, Meadville, Pennsylvania, was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports of connector holders from Mexico or Canada, nor did SYST-A-MATIC Tool & Design shift production from Meadville, Pennsylvania to Mexico or Canada. Major customers did not import from Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that a customer of the subject firm that produced automotive wire harnesses shifted their production to Mexico. As a result of the shift, the customer is now purchasing their connector holders from a company is now purchasing their connector holders from a company located in El Paso, Texas, rather than purchasing the connector holders from the subject firm. The connector holder is a component part that is incorporated into the wire harness.

The loss of a customer to another domestic producer, a shift in production by the customer to Mexico and exports of connector holders to Mexico by the customer's new supplier do not meet the eligibility requirements of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10056 Filed 4–23–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05470]

Tyco International, Ltd, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems, Formerly Lucent Technologies Including Leased Workers of Adecco Employment, Mesquite TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA—Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on January 2, 2002, applicable to workers of Tyco International, LTD, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems, Formerly Lucent Technologies, Mesquite, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2002 (67 FR 1512).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the State shows that leased workers of Adecco Employment, Garland, Texas were employed at Tyco International, LTD, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems to produce power supplies at the Mesquite, Texas location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include leased workers of Adecco Employment, Garland, Texas employed at Tyco International, Ltd, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems, Mesquite, Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Tyco International, Ltd, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems affected by a shift in the production of power supplies to Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA–05470 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Tyco International, Ltd, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems, Mesquite, Texas including leased workers of Adecco Employment, Garland, Texas engaged in employment related to the production of power supplies at Tyco International, Ltd, A Division of Tyco Electronic Power Systems, Mesquite, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 22, 2000, through January 2, 2004, are eligible to apply for NAFTA—TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10055 Filed 4–23–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (02-053)]

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). The information obtained in this collection will assist NASA in assessing the effectiveness of aviation safety programs.

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Linda Connell, NASA Ames Research Center, MS 262–7, Moffett Field, CA 94035–1000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Nancy Kaplan, NASA Reports Officer, (202) 358–1372.

Title: National Aviation Operations Monitoring Service: General Aviation Pilots.

OMB Number: 2700-.

Type of review: New collection.
Need and Uses: The information
collected will be analyzed and used by
NASA Aviation Safety Program
managers to evaluate their progress in
improving aviation over the next
decade.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 10,000. Responses Per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 10,000.